O

GREEK SERIES FOR COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS

EDITED

UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF

HERBERT WEIR SMYTH, Ph.D.

ELIOT PROFESSOR OF GREEK LITERATURE IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

VOLUMES OF THE SERIES

Others to be announced later.

Euripides

(From a photograph of a bust in the National Museum, Naples)

ΕΤΡΙΠΙΔΟΤ ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

THE

MEDEA

OF

EURIPIDES

EDITED BY

MORTIMER LAMSON EARLE

PROFESSOR OF CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

—•o•o•o•o•—

NEW YORK ·:· CINCINNATI ·:· CHICAGO

AMERICAN BOOK COMPANY

PREFACE

In making this edition of the *Medea* I have tried in the Greek text to present the hand of the author, as nearly as that might be done, and in the explanatory notes to offer on the text a commentary that should shirk no difficulty. How far I have achieved this twofold purpose I leave to the judgement of my peers.

In constituting the text I have accepted a considerable number of corrections — as I believe, or have believed, them to be — made by other students of the play. I have also introduced certain conjectures of my own. The latter, of which some may have been made before me without my knowledge by others, are to be found chiefly in the following verses: 106, 133, 202, 206, 219–221, 223 (division of words), 241, 291, 300, 307, 314 (division of words), 343, 384, 435 f., 444, 459, 463, 483, 529, 550, 561, 588, 698, 705, 777, 840, 907, 915, 918, 926, 928, 993, 1064 (transposition of verse), 1118, 1189, 1194, 1237 (verse condemned), 1275, 1333, 1362, 1419 (division of words). Furthermore in the Notes on the Text that are contained in the second Appendix I have included some δεύτεραι φροντίδες that I trust will prove to be, for the most part at least, also σοφώτεραι.

A not unimportant adjunct to the Commentary is, as I venture to think, the punctuation of the text — a matter

on which I have bestowed a good deal of diligence. A few rightly set points may be worth whole pages of explanation, though it is given to few to accomplish so much by this means as — to cite eminent examples — the late F. D. Allen did in Eur. *Alc.* 205 (see Hayley's edition) and as Mr Johan Samuelsson has done in Hor. *Sat.* 2. 5. 91 (see *Eranos* IV. 5). It may be noted here that the essential relative clause is not cut off by a comma in my text. The practice of too many German editors in this regard is a greater source of misunderstanding than is commonly recognised.

In the spelling of the Greek text I have tried to do my duty according to my lights. Among other things I have retained the preposition οὕνεκα.

The Appendix on the Metres is meant to give something more than bare schemes. That the term "logaoedic" is used to embrace metres that cannot be handily or certainly named otherwise seems to me to be at least pardonable. I may remark here that I have never been able to accept Hermann's doctrine of "anacrusis".

In concluding these few words of preface it is at once a duty and a pleasure to me to thank those that have rendered me particular services in the making of the book. Miss Gertrude M. Hirst, Ph.D., tutor in Classical Philology at Barnard College, has rendered me valuable assistance in the preparation for the press of a portion of the Commentary and in the making of the Indexes. Professor Edward B. Clapp of the University of California most kindly obtained for me an excellent photograph of the bust of Euripides that is figured in the frontispiece. To

the friendly courtesy of a French artist, M. Frédéric A. Lottin of Paris, I am indebted for an excellent photograph of the Louvre Sarcophagus. All these I bear in grateful remembrance.

'Ανδρί τοι χρεὼν
μνήμην παρεῖναι, τερπνὸν εἴ τί που πάθοι.

MORTIMER LAMSON EARLE

BARNARD COLLEGE,
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

INTRODUCTION

EURIPIDES'S LIFE

1. Of the facts of Euripides's personal life little is or can be known. He left no correspondence behind him, at least none that has come down to us, much less did he write an autobiography; what he has to tell us of himself is to **Sources** be found, if anywhere, concealed in his plays. The contemporary references of Aristophanes are plainly bits of caricature, and the kernel of truth in them can hardly be extracted with any certainty. The fragments of formal biography of Euripides that have come down to us are of late composition and need to be handled with great critical care and skill; their earliest trustworthy source appears to be an account of Euripides written by Philochorus, an Attic antiquarian that flourished in the first half of the third century B.C. — more than a hundred years after Euripides's death.

2. Aristophanes's *Frogs* is known to have been brought out early in 405 B.C. In it a prominent place is occupied by a debate in the underworld between the dead poets Aes- **Birth and** chylus and Euripides, the latter of whom has claimed **death** the former's seat in the realm of the dead. Sophocles also is referred to as dead; but the reference to him is so slight and so like a concession to circumstances, that it has been shrewdly, and probably justly, conjectured that Sophocles's death occurred during the composition of the play, which had been planned and begun by Aristophanes not long after the news of Euripides's death reached Athens. 'And they say too that Sophocles on hearing of his death himself came out in a grey cloak and brought on his chorus and actors ungarlanded in the proagon (*i.e.* in the preliminary appearance, in the Odeum, of the tragic poet with his

9

troupe), and that the people burst into tears.' From what has
been said about the composition of the *Frogs* and from the tradi-
tion that Sophocles died in the archonship of Callias (406–405 B.C.)
it is evident that this would have been on the eve of the Great
Dionysia in the spring of 406 B.C. Euripides died in Macedonia,
and little news, if any, would have come south during the winter
season ; his death, therefore, may have occurred either late in
the year 407 or early in the year 406. Philochorus is authority
for the statement that Euripides died at over seventy ; and it is
plain that his approximate age at the time of his death might
easily be known. 'Over seventy' (ὑπὲρ τὰ ἐβδομήκοντα ἔτη γε-
γονώς) would mean that Euripides was born during the second
Persian War. An inscription calls him a Salaminian, and Philo-
chorus related that he used to write in a cave in Salamis. This
would seem to mean that Euripides had property — doubtless in-
herited — in Salamis. The tradition that Euripides was born in
Salamis on the very day of the great battle may have no other
foundation than that which has just been indicated. But this was
a current belief by Plutarch's time — how much earlier we can
not say — ; and it is at least a pretty invention, if not an historical
tradition, that groups the three great Attic tragedians at the birth
of the Athenian empire in such wise that Aeschylus fought in the
battle of Salamis, Sophocles led the chorus of boys in the celebra-
tion of the victory, and Euripides was born on the day when the
victory was achieved. That Euripides's life and that of the Athe-
nian empire were nearly coextensive is a fact and a significant one.

3. Euripides's parents were Mnesarchides, or Mnesarchus, and
Clito. Their home seems to have been at Phlya in southeastern

Parentage Attica. Mnesarchides is said to have been a trades-
man or huckster (κάπηλος) and Clito a market-woman
(λαχανοπῶλις). Philochorus emphatically denied the story about
Clito and declared that Euripides's parents were of very good
family. It is noticeable in the tradition that Euripides's eldest
son, Mnesarchides, is called a merchant (ἔμπορος). He very
probably followed his grandfather's calling. Then, too, we hear

of records at Phlya according to which Euripides as a lad per-
formed the function of a 'wine-pourer' (οἰνοχόος) in the worship
of the Delian Apollo at Athens — a function that was regarded
as an honour for what we should call a gentleman's son.   But
Aristophanes's gibes about the green-groceries (λάχανα) of Eurip-
ides's mother must have had some foundation to give them
point.   Perhaps we shall come near to the truth, if we say that
Euripides was the son of farming people of means and of good
stock.   His mother, from whom, like other great men, he may
well have inherited the germs of his genius, may have been a
woman of force and something of a character in her way.   But
this is conjecture.   We certainly know that Euripides lived the
life of a man of independent means.   Men of letters did not live
by their pens at Athens in those days.

   4. Euripides must have received the customary liberal educa-
tion of his country and time.   Besides bodily training he was
taught reading, writing, music and Greek literature, the   **Education**
last to be understood as Greek poetry, in which Homer
— the Bible of the Greeks — and Theognis had a prominent place.
Thus much for his schooling.   In what we should call his higher
education it would be hard to overestimate the place occupied by
the tragedies of Aeschylus, which he must often have seen upon
the stage as well as read and studied.   It is said that Mnesarchides,
following a misinterpreted oracle, tried to make an athlete of his
son.   If this is so, we have in Euripides but one of many cases
where a desire for higher knowledge has triumphed over a father's
wishes.   It is said too that Euripides studied and practised paint-
ing.   But we come now to the second of the two great influences
which were to control Euripides's future life — influences that he
was to seek always to blend without ever perfectly succeeding.
This was philosophy, and his master in it was Anaxagoras of
Clazomenae.   This great thinker, who spans the gulf between
Ionian and Attic philosophy, who by introducing mind or intelli-
gence (νοῦς) as the great ordering principle of the universe marks
the transition from the earlier natural philosophers to the later

mental and moral philosophers of whom Socrates is the first, was
some twenty years Euripides's senior.   He lived at Athens under
the patronage of Pericles.   From him Euripides seems to have
derived much of his knowledge of natural philosophy, as well as
his love of philosophical speculation in general.   It was Anaxag-
oras that said that the sun was an incandescent mass of stone or
metal larger than the Peloponnese; and it was his determined
rationalistic treatment of the ordering of the universe that caused
— or, perhaps better, occasioned — him to be banished from
Athens on the eve of the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War.
Euripides's bitter resentment of this treatment of his master seems
clearly to have found powerful, if somewhat covert, expression in
the *Medea*.   The touching description by the coryphaeus in the
Alcestis (904 sqq.) of the kinsman that had lost his only child,
'a lad worthy to be mourned', yet bore his misfortune with forti-
tude, albeit he was white-haired and well stricken in years, is con-
jectured to be a reference to Anaxagoras, whose striking fortitude
under like circumstances is recorded.   This would be a testimony
to the real affection that Euripides had for his master, as well as
to his admiration of the latter's strong and lofty character.   The
fact that Anaxagoras was Euripides's master in speculative science
does not exclude the influence upon the poet of other philosophers.
By his own testimony (*Alc.* 962 sqq.) we know that he was a dili-
gent student of the writers on philosophy and medicine, and he
seems to have had the singular distinction in those days of possess-
ing a library.   The influence of various early philosophers may be
more or less clearly traced in his writings.   He is said to have
studied under Protagoras and Prodicus, great figures among those
wandering teachers that were known as sophists, and to have been
a friend of Socrates, who was some ten years his junior.   The
rhetorical teaching of the sophists must have strengthened the
impression left on Euripides's mind by the balanced arguments
of the law-courts, the debates of the popular assembly, and the
oratory of such men as Pericles; but we must remember that,
when Euripides was growing up, oratory and rhetoric had not yet

received the formal finish that was given them in the latter part of his life by the apt pupils of the sophists.

5. Euripides's first appearance as a dramatist was in 455 B.C., under the archon Callias. The leading play — or, at least, one play — of his tetralogy at that time was the *Peliades*, in which the cutting up and boiling of Pelias by his daugh- Dramatic career
ters at the instigation of Medea was related. It is curious to see Euripides beginning his career with a play based on a northern legend. He seems to have had a sympathy with the north. He may have had, though we have no proof of it, northern blood, like Thucydides. In that case his retirement to Macedonia would have been due to a sort of homing instinct. To return to our subject, Euripides continued to write for the stage (with increasing frequency and steadiness, it would seem, from the beginning of the Peloponnesian War) until death checked him in the composition of the *Iphigenia at Aulis*. His success was less than moderate in comparison with his efforts. He gained only the third place at his first appearance and is said to have won the first place but four times during his life, the first time in 441 B.C. A fifth victory was gained for him after his death by his son Euripides, with plays presumably written in Macedonia.

6. Towards the close of the Peloponnesian War, during which he sided strongly with his native state against Sparta, Euripides retired to Macedonia to the court of King Archelaus Euripides in Macedonia
at Pella. It was partly a case of the prophet not without honour save in his own country. He was warmly received, it appears, and held in high honour by the Macedonian king. There were other men of letters from the south at Pella, among them the tragedian Agathon. Euripides cannot have been long in Macedonia; for his *Orestes* was brought out, doubtless by himself, at Athens in 408 B.C., and in less than two years from that time, as we have seen, he was dead. A tale was spread, of which Aristophanes surely knew nothing (else he would have mentioned it in the *Frogs*), that Euripides was torn to pieces by hunting-dogs, and a wretched mist of worthless and malicious scandal gathered

about his death.   He was buried in Macedonia, in the valley of
Arethusa, where his tomb was long to be seen.   At Athens a ceno-
taph was erected in his honour with this inscription, attributed
in later times to Thucydides the historian or Timotheus the
musician :

> Μνῆμα μὲν Ἑλλὰς ἅπασ' Εὐριπίδου · ὀστέα δ' ἴσχει
> γῆ Μακεδών, ᾗπερ δέξατο τέρμα βίου ·
> πατρὶς δ' Ἑλλάδος Ἑλλάς, Ἀθῆναι · πολλὰ δὲ μούσαις
> τέρψας ἐκ πολλῶν καὶ τὸν ἔπαινον ἔχει.

'The monument of Euripides is all Hellas, but his bones are held
by that same Macedonian land in which he met his end.   He was
native of the Hellas of Hellas, Athens.   Many were the delights
that he gave by his works of genius, wherefore also from many has
he his meed of praise.'   There is a certain fitness in Euripides,
who was to be the great poet of Hellenism, dying in that — to the
Athenians, as to the Greeks in general — northern and half-bar-
barous land whence should presently come with the phalanxes of
Philip and Alexander that blast which should scatter the seeds
of Hellenism to the ends of the earth.   Euripides became, as it
were, the poet of the Dispersion.

> Born at the birth of that which should be great,
> Born, as they say, upon that fatal tide
> When Salamis saw the Great King's navy ride
> Within her straits, the torrent east in spate,
> Yet saw it scattered by the stroke of fate,
> Unknowing Athens' subtle might to abide,
> While Grecian valour ploughed o'er Persian pride —
> Born with the birth of that young power elate,
> Thou wast the prophet of her soberer years,
> Thou wast the prophet of her stormy strife,
> Thou lookedst on her laughter and her tears,
> Thou saw'st her breed, unwitting, larger life;
> And in the eternal Hellas that should be
> Thou gav'st her spirit immortality.

7. Euripides is said to have married twice, his first wife being Melito, his second Choerine or Choerile, daughter of Mnesilochus. He had three sons, Mnesarchides, named, according **Domestic** to Attic custom, after Euripides's father; Mnesilochus, **relations** named after his maternal grandfather; and Euripides. Mnesarchides is said to have been a merchant, Mnesilochus an actor, and Euripides a playwright. Tradition says that both Euripides's wives were faithless; but from Aristophanes we hear of only one wife, and nothing definite of infidelity on her part. It seems not improbable that Euripides may have had two wives, the former of whom died before 438 B.C., when the *Alcestis* was brought out, and that it was the " late espoused saint " of Euripides that was, in a measure, the original of the heroine to whom Milton likened his dead wife. Mnesarchides, as well as the two younger sons, may have been the son of Mnesilochus's daughter, Euripides's second wife, who also abandoned him (cp. *Alc.* 250 for the phrase) by death before his retirement to Macedonia.

8. Euripides is said to have worn a long beard and to have had warts or freckles on his face. He was of a grave — or even grim and thoughtful — cast of countenance, and, like **Personal** his master Anaxagoras, he was little given to laughter. **appearance** He seems also to have been averse to general society. **and charac-** **teristics** He was not, in short, a genial man; in this respect, as in others, he was a foil to Sophocles the εὔκολος. He was distinctly a man of the thoughtful and scholarly type — a type rare in Greece, even in Athens, in those days. " A man that never kept good company, | The most unsociable of poet-kind, | All beard that was not freckle in his face ! " is the version that Browning gives of the tradition (*Balaustion's Adventure*, vv. 291–3). The portrait of Euripides that has come down to us, which is perhaps best represented by the Naples bust (see the frontispiece), tallies very well, it should seem, with the verbal tradition. It shews us Euripides as an elderly man, as those that remembered him longest thought of him. The face, about which the hair falls carelessly, is very grave and serious, a sternly and thoughtfully sad face, and not strikingly

Greek. This may well be the face that the statue of Euripides wore that the orator Lycurgus had set up at Athens in the latter part of the fourth century B.C. Whether it is based on a con- temporary likeness we cannot say.

9. Of Euripides's writings there have come down to us eigh- teen plays, for the most part practically complete. Of the lost **Euripides's** plays a large number of fragments, over a thousand, **writings** have been preserved by quotation in various ancient authors and collected by the diligence of modern scholars. Bits of papyrus found in Egypt have also contributed their mite, not- ably 123 verses of the *Antiope* in a papyrus of the third century B.C. Euripides did not confine his poetical ability exclusively to the composition of plays; he wrote a triumphal hymn in honour of the victories gained at Olympia by Alcibiades in (probably) 420 B.C. Of the elegiac inscription that he wrote for the monu- ment to the Athenians that fell before Syracuse during the fatal expedition (415–413 B.C.) a couplet has been preserved. The letters which are ostensibly the work of Euripides are evidently forgeries, and it may well be doubted whether any letters of his were really, for a time, preserved. It may be added here that the tragedy *Rhesus*, which figures as a work of Euripides, is pretty certainly not from his hand. It neither has been counted in the number of Euripides's extant plays given above nor will be re- garded in the sequel. Before passing the extant plays in review we may consider briefly the original extent of Euripides's dramatic writings.

10. It is said that Euripides wrote ninety-two plays. The scholars of Alexandria seem to have known, presumably as pre- served in their great library, a collection of seventy-eight plays attributed to Euripides, of which number four were considered spurious. The number ninety-two, given as the total of Euripi- des's plays, would mean twenty-three tetralogies, or groups of four plays. We know from the Greek commentary to it that the *Andromache* (and presumably three other plays with it) was brought out elsewhere than at Athens (at Argos some have

thought). The *Aulid Iphigenia*, the *Corinthian Alcmeon*, the *Bacchae* — perhaps, too (though this is not in the tradition), the *Archelaus* — were brought out at Athens after Euripides's death by the younger Euripides, as has been noted above. There would then remain in the state records at Athens on which Aristotle based his Διδασκαλίαι, or ' Annals of the Stage ', twenty-one entries of plays of Euripides from 455 B.C. (*Peliades*) to 408 B.C. (*Orestes*). We have seen above that Euripides gained the first prize first in 441 B.C. There remain now (excluding those that have just been mentioned) nineteen appearances of his plays to account for. Of these we can fix six (438, 431, 428, 415, 413, 412 B.C.), and in each case we have one of the plays. Of some of the lost plays, besides the *Peliades*, the date has been handed down, but of the other extant plays we can fix the dates only approximately and with varying degrees of probability. We turn now to the list of extant plays.

11. Because of certain marked resemblances to the earliest surely datable of Euripides's extant plays, the *Alcestis*, it seems probable that the *Cyclops* should head our list. It is a satyric play, the only example that has come down to us of that curious form of composition. The satyr-play occupied the fourth and last place in the tetralogy, as a last relic of the old crude form of tragedy, clinging to the refined and ennobled drama of high passion as a reminder of the pit from which it had been digged. The *Cyclops*, which may quite possibly be the fourth play of the successful tetralogy of 441 B.C., is a dramatisation of the adventure of Odysseus and the Cyclops narrated in Homer. It is doubtless a good example of its kind, but the coarseness and obscenity which were traditional in this form of composition seem strangely unsuited to Euripides as we know him from his other works, and it may well be thought that the satyr-play was not in general congenial to him. Of this we seem to find proof in the *Alcestis*, a drama of family-life and one in which self-devotion and selfishness are, as it were, isolated and allowed to find their fullest developement under the artificial conditions of an ancient legend. The loving

and faithful young wife Alcestis and the bluff and hearty adventurer Heracles are among Euripides's most successful and charming creations. The play took the place of a satyr-play in the tetralogy that won the second place in 438 B.C. We have here pretty certainly an innovation, and that too not an unimportant one, on the part of Euripides. His endeavour to refine the fourth play of the tetralogy seems to have met with no immediate success. The *Medea*, the great play of the revenge of an abandoned wife, was, as we learn from the remains of the argument by Aristophanes the grammarian, the first play in the tetralogy that was awarded the third place, after Euphorion and Sophocles, in 431 B.C. The *Heraclidae*, in which play again a woman — known elsewhere (for Euripides does not name her) as Macaria — gives an example of heroic self-devotion by offering herself a willing sacrifice to ensure the peace and safety of her brothers and sisters, the other children of Heracles, was brought out, as seems most probable, in 430 B.C. A portion of the play is lost. The *Andromache*, presented, as has been said, elsewhere than at Athens (perhaps at Argos) and under another author's name, ' appears ' (according to the tradition) ' to have been brought out at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War ', and there are some reasons for placing it in the year 430. Again the relations of man and woman are prominent, again the jealousy of a wife is a powerful factor, and the play has marked resemblances to the *Medea* ; but in the *Andromache* it is the new wife that plots against the old. The *Hippolytus* appeared in 428 B.C. It is a second edition, greatly altered, of a play of the same name that was produced some years earlier — perhaps in 430. In it we have again a treatment of the relations of man and woman, but in a new phase. Phaedra, the virtuous wife of Theseus, falls deeply in love with the pure and austere Hippolytus, an illegitimate son of Theseus. When her passion, against which she struggles, is betrayed to Hippolytus, who meets the telltale nurse's overtures with bitter scorn and contempt, Phaedra hangs herself, but, in order to protect her character with Theseus, writes a letter to him in which she falsely accuses Hippolytus. The latter, cursed

and banished by his father, meets a miraculous death. By the interposition of Artemis, Hippolytus's guardian angel hitherto, Theseus learns the truth in time to beg and receive his dying son's forgiveness. And all this sorrow and suffering has been wrought by the machinations of Aphrodite in revenge for Hippolytus's persistent purity of life. The *Hecuba*, a play drawn from the Trojan cycle of legend and describing the revenge of the captive Hecuba upon Pòlymestor, the Thracian king that has treacherously murdered her son Polydorus (as is also narrated in Virgil's third *Aeneid*), seems to be of 425 or 424 B.C. The *Suppliants* or *Suppliant Women* ('Ικέτιδες) and the *Heracles*, commonly called the *Hercules Furens*, seem, on internal evidence, to belong to about the year 421 B.C. Indeed, it has been not unplausibly con- jectured that they are two plays of the tetralogy of 421. In the *Suppliants*, which is distinctly a ' laudation of Athens ' (ἐγκώμιον 'Αθηνῶν) and in that character was coupled with the *Heraclidae* by Isocrates in his *Panegyricus*, the mothers of the comrades in arms of Polynices that had fallen before Thebes obtain, by the intervention of the Attic hero and king Theseus, the right to bury their dead. Very noteworthy is the sensational and spectacular incident of Capaneus's devoted wife, Evadne, throwing herself upon her husband's funeral pyre. In the *Heracles* the madness of the hero that gives his name to the play and his killing at Thebes of his wife and children are described. The broken Heracles, restored to sanity, finds refuge and comfort with his friend Theseus. As in the *Andromache* there is a savage attack upon the Spartan character, so in these two plays the feeling of hostility against Thebes is manifest. Our next date is 415 B.C., when Euripides won second place with the *Alexander, Palamedes, Troades*, and *Sisyphus*. Of this tetralogy, of which the three tragedies are all drawn from the tale of Troy, the *Troades* alone is extant. In it the sacrifice of Polyxena at the tomb of Achilles is the centre of pathetic interest. The sacrifice or self-sacrifice of a young woman or girl was, as we have seen and shall see further, a favourite motive with Euripides. The sailing of the Greek fleet

from the Troad, ordered at the close of the play, to meet the ruin
which Posidon and Athena have determined upon in the prologue,
is, as has been remarked by another, a strikingly pathetic coin-
cidence ; for it was in this same year that the great Athenian fleet
sailed for Sicily, there to meet its doom.   The *Electra*, in which
the vengeance of Orestes upon Clytaemnestra and Aegisthus is
described, appeared, as we gather from its close, in 413 B.C.   It is
parallel in plot to Aeschylus's *Choëphoroe* and Sophocles's *Electra*.
It may well be that it was Euripides's objection to what he
thought — and not unjustly — the immoral tone of Sophocles's play
that led him to write the *Electra*.   Certain it is that he criticises
by implication the treatment of the subject by Aeschylus and
(probably) Sophocles's treatment too.   His powerful but wilful
vulgarisation of the legend is one of his most singular perform-
ances.   The *Helen*, with the *Andromeda*, the loss of which latter
is matter for deep regret, appeared in 412 B.C.   In the *Helen*
a variant of the Trojan legend that Stesichorus is ultimately
responsible for is made the plot.   Helen has been spirited away
to Egypt, where she is kept in safety by the local king while the
Greeks and Trojans fight for a phantom that Paris has carried to
Troy.   On his return from Troy with the phantom Helen Mene-
laus finds the real Helen in Egypt.   A recognition takes place, the
phantom vanishes, and Menelaus and Helen outwit the new king
of the country, who is hostile to strangers and has been trying to
make Helen his wife, and escape home in one of the king's ships.
The play reads like an unsuccessful attempt to triumph again with
a plot like that of the *Tauric Iphigenia*.   If it is so, the *Tauric
Iphigenia* might well be assigned to 414 or 413 B.C.   In the
Iphigenia Orestes haunted by the Furies goes with Pylades to
the land of the Taurians (the Crimea) to bring back the idol of
Artemis that is worshipped there.   This, according to Apollo's
oracle, is to win him peace.   The sacrifices made to the Tauric
Artemis are such strangers as come into the country, and the
priestess of the goddess is Orestes's own sister Iphigenia, who,
instead of being sacrificed by Agamemnon at Aulis, has been

spirited away by Artemis to her shrine in the Crimea, a hind
being substituted by the goddess as sacrifice at Aulis. A recog-
nition between brother and sister, in which Pylades plays his part,
is ingeniously brought about when Iphigenia is about to sacrifice
Orestes.   The king of the country is outwitted, and Orestes,
Iphigenia, and Pylades escape with the statue in the ship that has
brought the friends at the beginning.   In the handling of a com-
plicated and sensational plot this is the best play of Euripides that
has survived.   It was famous in antiquity and admired by Aristotle.
The element of self-sacrifice, which Euripides loved, is supplied
by Pylades, who offers to die for Orestes.   In the *Tauric Iphigenia*
the peculiar Attic cult of Artemis at Brauron is explained at the
close of the play as that of the idol brought from the Crimea ; in
the *Ion* Attic legend is likewise drawn upon.   Ion, the son of
Apollo and the Attic princess Creusa, has been spirited away in
infancy, after he had been exposed in a grotto in the cliff of the
Acropolis, to the temple of his father Apollo at Delphi, where he
has been brought up as a sacristan.   Creusa and her husband, the
Euboean prince Xuthus, who has received the throne of Athens
with his Attic bride in gratitude for the deliverance he has wrought
for Athens, come to Delphi to seek help in their childlessness.   A
sham 'recognition' between Xuthus and Ion, in which Ion figures
as the illegitimate son of Xuthus, is got up by Apollo ; side by side
with this a true 'recognition' between Creusa and Ion is managed
by means of the cradle and tokens that had been taken to Delphi
by Hermes with the baby Ion and have been preserved by the
Pythia ever since.   Xuthus's 'recognition' reaches Creusa's ears
before she makes hers, which is led up to by her attempt to poison
Ion in a fit of jealousy of her husband's new-found heir.   Ion dis-
covers the plot by accident and is about to kill Creusa, when the
Pythia with the tokens of his birth intervenes.   Xuthus never
knows the truth.   He carries back Ion to Athens, on his return
thither with Creusa, as his son and as heir to the throne.   Tantae
molis erat Ionicam condere gentem.   That this play belongs with
the *Tauric Iphigenia* and the *Helen* is self-evident, and the view

of those that would assign it to the same year as the latter of those
two plays (412 B.C.) may be right. The *Phoenissae* bears likeness
to the *Ion* in its prologue. In that long speech of Jocasta's, less
well motived and managed than the prologue of the *Ion*, we have,
as incidents, the exposure of a baby (Oedipus) and the win-
ning of a native bride (Jocasta) and a throne by a (supposedly)
foreign prince (Oedipus) as a reward for delivering the state. The
date of the *Phoenissae* is one of the years 411–408 B.C., to give
the widest limits; possibly, to be precise, 411 B.C. is the year.
The play certainly belongs rather with the *Ion* than with the
Orestes of 408.   Its plot is that of the *Seven against Thebes* of
Aeschylus (which play Euripides tacitly criticises, as he had the
Choëphoroe)—the story of the hostile brothers Eteocles and
Polynices, who die by each other's hand before the walls of
Thebes.   There are, of course, Euripidean innovations.   The
Orestes, of 408 B.C., puts another old subject in a new light:
Orestes, gone mad after murdering his mother, has been tended
for some five days at the palace of Mycenae by the faithful
Electra ; and his madness with lucid intervals is gradually pass-
ing into that sanity with intervals of madness which is well depicted
in the *Tauric Iphigenia*.   It is the day on which the Argive people
(drawn in the guise of the Athenian δῆμος), having already laid the
matricides under the ban, are to decide whether or not they are
to be stoned to death.   At this juncture our old friend Menelaus
arrives from his wanderings with Helen.   Menelaus might be
expected, under the circumstances, to help his nephew ; but he
treacherously goes over to the side of Tyndareus, the father of
Clytaemnestra and Helen, who manages to control the assembly
so that Orestes and his sister and friend are condemned.   Euripides
thus lashed the perfidious Lacedaemonians and the degenerate
Athenian democracy with the same whip.   To return to the play,
the condemned three seize Helen and Hermione her daughter
(who has figured in the *Andromache*) and entrench themselves
in the palace.   Their plan is to kill Helen and hold Hermione as
hostage.   The former vanishes under their hands, as her phantom

had vanished before. Menelaus, arrived before the palace, is threatened by the defenders that they will kill his daughter and set fire to the palace (the ancient equivalent of blowing up the magazine) ; but Apollo intervenes, peace is restored, and Orestes and Hermione are betrothed. Thus ends this the most sensational and blood-and-thunder of extant Greek tragedies. There is abundant power in it, but it represents Euripides at his worst. In its contemporary references it is his bitter valediction to Athens and to Greece. One is tempted to say that he burned his bridges before he went to Macedonia. Never, I suppose, was he so bitter as when with the same hand he drew the portrait of the Athenian ochlocracy and pandered to it with sensational scenes. The play reads in the assembly scene like a prophecy of the infamous execution of the victors of Arginusae against which that *iustus et tenax propositi vir* Socrates held out in vain. Euripides's journey to Macedonia was in a sense a return to nature and to his own better nature. In his two extant Macedonian dramas, the *Bacchae* and the unfinished *Aulid Iphigenia*, we have undoubtedly two of his most noteworthy plays. The *Bacchae* deals with the introduction of the wild worship of Bacchus at Thebes and the opposition of the King Pentheus to the new god and his votaries. Old Cadmus and Tiresias yield to the new god and go to Cithaeron to take part in his worship, but Pentheus puts Bacchus into prison. But no bonds can confine the god, and he presently beguiles the now delirious king into going to the mountain disguised as a Bacchanal to spy upon the women's revels. Here he is detected and torn to pieces by the women, led by his mother Agave, under the spell of the god. It is hard to determine the full significance of this strange and brilliant piece, redolent of the wild free life of woodland and mountain and heralding, as it were, a new religion while harking back to the old. It may be guessed that the prophet not without honour save with the powers that be in his own country (Dionysus) and the aged seer (Tiresias) that at one moment will hear of no sophistry with gods and at the next explains the new religion (which he gladly accepts in addition to the old)

in a very rationalistic fashion — it may be guessed, I say, that
these are types of Euripides himself. But this fascinating and
elusive topic cannot be pursued here at greater length. In the
Aulid Iphigenia, which deals with the old story of the sacrifice of
Agamemnon's daughter at Aulis, Euripides has drawn the picture
of a pure, tender, loving girl at first shrinking from death with all
the horror and dread of youth, but then nerving herself to die
freely for her father and the national cause. And Euripides has
drawn this figure — this " dream of form in days of thought " —
as only he could draw it that above all the poets of Greece knew
the heart of man and woman. The Muses of the *Bacchae* and the
Graces of the *Aulid Iphigenia* worthily end Euripides's life as man
and as dramatist.

[The chronological list of Euripides's extant plays would be
approximately as follows :

Cyclops	possibly 441 B.C.,
Alcestis . ·	. 438 „
Medea	. 431 „
Heraclidae . . .	probably 430 „
Andromache . . .	perhaps 430 „
(but possibly considerably later),	
Hippolytus	. 428 B.C.,
Hecuba . . .	. apparently 424 or 425 B.C.,
Supplices . . .	about 421 B.C.,
Heracles	about 421 „
Troades	. 415 „
Tauric Iphigenia .	. apparently 414 or 413 B.C.,
Electra	. 413 B.C.,
Helen	. 412 „
Ion	perhaps 412 „
(but perhaps earlier than the *Tauric Iphigenia*),	
Phoenissae . . .	. 411–408 B.C.,
Orestes	. 408 B.C.,
Bacchae . . composed apparently	407 „
Aulid Iphigenia „ „	407 „].

12. The chronological point of view must still be ours, to a certain degree, as we seek to form an adequate conception of his art, his thought, and his influence. His plays, as we know them, fall, in point of form and style, into two great divisions. The first embraces the plays that precede in date the Peloponnesian War or belong to its former part, *i.e.* down to 421 B.C.; the second embraces the plays that · belong to the latter part of the Peloponnesian War, *i.e* from 420 B.C. From another point of view these are the plays of his middle age and the plays of his old age. But the progress of a mature and powerful human mind is not by leaps and bounds, and we cannot draw our imaginary line too sharply. Such plays as the *Supplices* and the *Heracles* belong rather to the second division than to the first. In the plays of the earlier period the prologue, *i.e.* the opening speech, which Euripides made a set form of introduction for his plays and which enabled him to indicate in outline those innovations or peculiarities in his form of the legend which it was necessary for the audience to know at the start, is in general more closely connected with the characters and the action of the piece, is more truly dramatic, than in the later plays. In the earlier plays, too, the 'god from the machine' (θεὸς ἀπὸ μηχανῆς, *deus ex machina*), the deity that interposes at the end of the play to cut the knot, even when, as in the *Tauric Iphigenia,* the knot is not *dignus vindice,* but is deliberately tied by the poet, is in its beginnings only. This device, which Euripides popularised, if he did not invent it, became more and more a feature of his art. In the *Medea* we seem to see the beginnings of the process. Here the god (Helios) does not himself appear, but he furnishes Medea with the miraculous means of her escape. In the earlier plays, too (including here, as in what follows, the *Heracles* and *Supplices* among the later plays), we find in general less of the sensational and spectacular. Strange situations in foreign lands, surprising recognitions, violent actions, madness — all these are prominent in the plays of the second division. The lyric forms of the later plays seem to have followed more and more the new music, and

Euripides's art, thought, and influence

the verse of the dialogue — the iambic trimeter — tended more
and more, by frequency of three-syllable feet and by a general re-
laxing of structure, to obliterate the old distinction between the
stableness of the verse of tragedy and the carelessness and artful
artlessness of the verse of the satyr-play and of comedy. The
sophistic arguments of the later plays mark the growing influence
of the new rhetoric upon poet and audience alike; for it must be
admitted that Euripides played, as we say, to the gallery a good
deal and that he too often gave in parts of his plays what would
catch the *popularis aura*. But of his style we shall come to speak
again presently; we must now examine briefly the effect upon
Euripides's art of that element in his education and mental de-
velopement which always, though he probably never fully realised it,
conflicted with the dramatic, namely philosophy.

13. Philosophy — perhaps we shall be better understood if we
say speculation — had, as we have seen, played a great part in
Euripides's education. To the end he was a philosopher among
poets and dramatists, a poet among philosophers. Later times
— perhaps even his own — dubbed him 'the philosopher of the
stage' (ὁ σκηνικὸς φιλόσοφος). He seems to have had a distinct
consciousness of this duality of mind and purpose, but to have
believed in the possibility of blending poetry and philosophy in
the form of composition he had chosen. But the problem was
not to be solved by him, but by an equally great poet using a
prose form — Plato in his dialogues. Euripides seems to declare
'at the threshold of old age' how he means to "obey the voice at
eve obeyed at prime", when in the *Heracles* he makes the old men
of his chorus sing (vv. 673-5):

> Οὐ παύσομαι τὰς Χάριτας
> Μούσαις συγκαταμειγνὺς
> ἁδίσταν συζυγίαν.

'I will not cease the Graces with Muses closely and thoroughly
to blend in sweetest wedlock.' If the Graces stand here for
poetry and the Muses for philosophic speculation, we have Eu-

ripides's confession of his twofold purpose. Indeed, this purpose was, in a sense, what we should call a mission ; for there was little or no "art for art's sake " in those days, and the dramatic poet was, like other poets worthy the name, teacher and preacher. The poetic form was but the fair body — the body that must be fair —, the thought was the soul. Milton, a devoted student of Euripides, well understood the function of the Greek stage and interpreted it well when he wrote, in words that apply with special force to Euripides (*Paradise Regained*, 4, 261–266) :

> " Thence what the lofty grave Tragedians taught
> In *Chorus* or *Iambic*, teachers best
> Of moral prudence, with delight receiv'd
> In brief sententious precepts, while they treat
> Of fate, and chance, and change in human life;
> High actions, and high passions best describing."

But Euripides's preaching was of a new sort. His Tiresias in the *Bacchae* may cling to the πάτριαι παραδοχαί, to the ' traditions of the fathers ', but this means after all no more than that Euripides was no atheist, no irreligious person ; but the spell of Anaxagoras's νοῦς was upon him, and he applied reason to the whole order of things, the visible and the invisible, to the World, to God, to Man, to Life, to Society. As he had seen a great light, so he sought, half unconsciously perhaps at first, to lead others into it. Philosophical speculation got more and more into his plays, and even his home- liest characters talk of matters high and deep. If he treats with bitter scorn, as notably in the *Ion*, the gods of the popular religion, it is because they are to him as " the gods of the heathen " were to the prophet. ' If gods do aught of base, no gods are they ' (Εἰ θεοί τι δρῶσιν αἰσχρόν, οὐκ εἰσὶν θεοί), is Euripides's sentiment. But, though a philosopher among the poets, he was yet not a con- sistent philosopher, and his thought developed and shifted, like Goethe's. A pantheist (*mens agitat molem* is Virgil's phrase) and no more a believer in the gods of the Greek mythology than we, a man without speculative belief in a personal immortality, a cosmopolitan in sympathy, too broad in mind to believe in such

distinctions as those of Greek and Barbarian, of bond and free, as other than accidental and conventional — such was Euripides in part. Νοῦς as a great separate principle in the world leads to the distinction of mind and matter and to their conflict; it makes us also turn our attention to the mind and heart of man : Euripides was a psychologist and a keen student of manners and morals. But to such a man the slave was an object of interest as well as the free man, the woman as well as the man. We have seen what manner of women Euripides could draw. It has been said that he discovered woman for literature. But again he was called in his own time, and has often been called since, a hater of women. That is only because he sought to know their character, as well as that of men, and to reveal it in his plays as he understood it, the bad with the good, foibles side by side with virtues. We have seen modern writers little loved by women for similar truthfulness of portraiture; but it would be as true to call Euripides a man-hater as to call him a woman-hater. Aristophanes might drag the character of his countrywomen through endless filth, Aristophanes might make buffoons of the gods; but Aristophanes was a conservative, a hater of the new wisdom, a " laudator temporis acti se puero " — or rather avis suis pueris — , and Aristophanes could write what he chose with much applause and no complaint. He was orthodox. A passage in Euripides may be noted here in which he gives us in brief his belief, or his doubts, or both, in matters of religion. It is couched in the language of polytheism, but we can read between the lines. ' Yea, greatly', says the chorus in the *Hippolytus* (1102 ff.), speaking as the mouthpiece of the poet, ' yea, greatly do the dealings of divine providence, whenever they come upon my mind, remove griefs; but because I have a spark of reason at the bottom of my faith, I am cast adrift in my contemplation of the fortunes and works of men '. (Ἦ μέγα μοι τὰ θεῶν μελεδήμαθ', ὅταν φρένας ἔλθῃ, | λύπας παραιρεῖ · ξύνεσιν δέ τιν' ἐλπίδι κεύθων | λείπομαι ἔν τε τύχαις ἀνδρῶν καὶ ἐν ἔργμασι λεύσσων.) Euripides was a lover of nature and of human nature. The picturesque entered into his poetry strikingly at times. On the

human side he studied the problems of the human mind and heart. The manifestations of emotion, domestic affection, the love of children for parents and of parents for children (and he himself was a lover of children), friendship, the love of man for woman, and of woman for man — these he studied and depicted. The aberrations of passion he studied as well as the aberrations of intellect, but in no morbid spirit. He is the first great romantic poet and merely as such has an enduring claim to fame. He was a master of pathos, even if the pathos sank dangerously at times. He held the mirror up to nature, to the face of his own time, to the face of humanity. The mirror was quaintly framed and embellished with the figures of the gods and heroes of his national mythology, but in it the men and women of his own time and of all time were reflected. 'I draw men as they should be', Sophocles is said to have remarked; 'Euripides, as they are'. The last part of the saying is true, whether the first is or not.

14. Sophocles admired the pathos in "our Euripides the human with his droppings of warm tears", even if he did not care much for his "touches of things common". Sophocles admired too Euripides's mastery of stage business, his knowledge of how to make an effective scene; so too his powerful portrayal of physical and mental suffering. Sophocles gave abundant proof of all this in his use of Euripides's *Alcestis*, *Medea*, and *Heracles* in the composition of his own *Trachinians*, — a markedly Euripidean play, though unmistakably Sophoclean too. But Euripides's leaning to philosophy, his desire to teach, his fondness for introducing pithy and weighty sentences, all that we might call in his art the putting of new wine into old bottles, made him a less perfect, or, at all events, a less even and finished, playwright than Sophocles. The philosopher spoiled the dramatist, if not the poet, at times. The harmony that he aimed at was often discord. Sophocles, without the burden of speculative thought, always the suave Athenian gentleman and man of the world, as poet kept the old wine but gave most careful thought to the bottle. Hence that wonderful packing of two meanings into the same phrase or

word, that marvellous finish of verse, that endeavour to add to the compass and scope of the trimeter verse in dialogue, which makes one think in reading him of Tennyson's best blank verse. Euripides, far simpler and more fluent, probably a more facile writer, accepts the traditional phraseology largely and even affects archaisms as part of his tragic stock in trade. The tragic diction is often with him like the traditional mask and buskin. But no poet had greater power to give his thoughts a concise and nervous form and so fit them with " wings to fly about the world ". He is immensely quotable ; and this, with other things, helped his posthumous fame. And this brings us to his later influence.

15. What Euripides's influence on Sophocles had been, we have already seen to some extent. That Plato studied Euripides is also evident. But it was in the latter part of the fourth century, when Hellenism went forth conquering and to conquer, that Euripides's career as the poet of Greek, and, later on, of Graeco-Roman, civilisation began. In his *Alcestis* and in other plays Euripides had paved the way for the New Comedy. Menander founded himself to a certain extent upon Euripides. The Roman comedians imitated the New Comedy and through it Euripides. The Roman tragedians translated the master himself. In later times Seneca imitated him — and did it badly. Of his *Medea*, as well as of Ennius's, we shall have occasion to speak later. But more than this Cicero, Brutus, Julius Caesar — generations of cultivated Romans, quoted Euripides. A passage of the *Phoenissae* (vv. 503–6) is referred to by Cicero as a sort of text of Caesar's ambition. The " Evil communications corrupt good manners " in the Apostle Paul's first letter to the Corinthians (15. 33) is a trimeter out of Euripides (Φθείρουσιν ἤθη χρήσθ' ὁμιλίαι κακαί). A Byzantine monk of (perhaps) the twelfth century composed a so-called tragedy on the death of Jesus (Χριστὸς πάσχων, Christus patiens), made up in part of verses, often more or less distorted, from Euripides. The *Medea* and the *Bacchae* figure largely. And so Euripides, the child of his own age, yet far in advance of it, who might have been a Christian had he been born five cen-

turies later, was, as it were, received into the bosom of the Church. To come down to modern times, it were long to tell of Euripides's influence upon the French Drama. Racine's *Phèdre*, for example, is a "transcript from Euripides" — from the *Hippolytus*. In German, Goethe's *Iphigenie* is a brilliant adaptation of Euripides's *Tauric Iphigenia*. Among modern English poets Browning knew and interpreted Euripides as no other. His *Balaustion's Adventure* is good because it contains so much of Euripides.

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — The sources for the life of Euripides and for an estimate of his genius are best consulted in the first volume of the Teubner text edition of Euripides. Here, after a critical edition of the traditional Εὐριπίδου γένος καὶ βίος, August Nauck writes briefly and clearly *De Euripidis Vita, Poesi, Ingenio.* The best modern monographs on Euripides of large compass, besides those contained in the histories of Greek literature, are M. Paul Decharme's *Euripide et l'esprit de son théâtre*, Paris, 1893, a good example of the best French work in this kind, and the somewhat overfull and overlaboured, but very valuable, work of Wilhelm Nestle, *Euripides der Dichter der griechischen Aufklärung*, Stuttgart, 1901. The latter author's *Untersuchungen über die philosophischen Quellen des Euripides*, Leipsic, 1902, is valuable also ; but both he and M. Decharme seem greatly in error in their treatment of Anaxagoras's influence upon Euripides. This important subject is best handled by M. Léon Parmentier in his *Euripide et Anaxagore*, Paris, 1893. Professor von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff's life of Euripides in his *Einleitung in die Attische Tragödie* (= *Euripides Herakles*, vol. I, Berlin, 1889) also deserves special mention. In English Dr. Mahaffy's *Euripides* in Green's Classical Writers series (New York, Appleton, 1879) should be named. His division of the plays into "dramas of plot" and "dramas of character" is interesting and suggestive. (Cp. also his *History of Classical Greek Literature.*) Mr. Haigh has treated Euripides pretty fully in his *Tragic Drama of the Greeks* (Oxford, 1896). The same author's *Attic Theatre* (2d ed., Oxford, 1898) should also be consulted for information about such subjects as the Διδασκαλίαι and other matters pertaining to the material side of the production of the plays of Euripides and the other Attic masters. Dr. A. W. Verrall's *Euripides the Rationalist* (Cambridge, 1895), a brilliant book and one to which Dr. Nestle's owes something besides the title, seems too often

to lack the quality singled out in its subject and should be used with much caution and reserve. Very valuable matter will also be found in M. Henri Weil's *Études sur le drame antique*, Paris, 1897. The older work of Patin, *Études sur les tragiques grecs* (*Euripide*, 7th ed., Paris, 1894), is also of permanent value.]

THE MEDEA

16. The story of the *Medea*, the μῦθος in Aristotle's term, is as follows : Jason, son of Aeson, at the bidding of Pelias, sailed with a band of heroes in the ship Argo from Iolcus The story of the Medea in Thessaly to the land of the Colchians at the eastern end of the Black Sea in quest of the Golden Fleece. To get into the Black Sea the ship had to be rowed swiftly between the rocks known as the Clashers (Συμπληγάδες). (Vv. 1-6.) On reaching the land of the Colchians Jason was compelled (by the king of the country) to yoke to the plough a pair of fire-breathing bulls and sow the Acre of Death ; besides this he must overcome the sleepless serpent that guarded in its coils the Golden Fleece. Through these adventures he was helped by the sorceress Medea, daughter to Aeetes king of the Colchians, who had fallen madly in love with him. (Vv. 476-482.) Medea then, after killing her brother (why, Euripides does not say), embarked with Jason in the Argo ; and the good ship, bearing the Golden Fleece, returned to Iolcus as it had gone. (Vv. 166 f., 1334 f., 209-212, 7, 484.) At Iolcus Medea helped to rid Jason of his enemy Pelias by inducing the latter's own daughters to kill him. For this Jason and Medea were banished from Iolcus and fled with their two young sons to Corinth. (Vv. 486 f., 9-11.) Here Medea lived beloved by the citizens and in perfect concord with Jason, until the latter basely abandoned her to marry the daughter of Creon king of Corinth. (Vv. 10-19.) The passionate nature of Medea, as strong in hate as in love, drives her to wild protests to heaven, to fasting and tears, to laments over her lost native land and the faithless Grecian husband for whom she has thrown away all that once was dear only to be cast aside herself in the end. (Vv. 20-35.)

She glowers upon her children and loathes and curses them for their father's sake. (Vv. 36, 112–114.) Some of the ladies of Corinth, neighbours of Medea, hearing her cries, come to the house. When they have learnt from her faithful old Colchian slave Medea's sad plight, they seek to induce the latter to come out and speak with them. (Vv. 131–212.) In order not to cut herself off from sympathy and help, the broken-hearted woman does come out and talk with her friends. (Vv. 214–229.) After discussing with bitter calmness the unfortunate position of woman, forced to marry and bear children, yet subject to restrictions from which men are free (vv. 230–251), Medea requests and obtains of her friends a promise of secrecy in such plan of vengeance as she may form against her faithless husband (vv. 252–268). Of Creon, the pompous and weak-headed old king, who now appears upon the scene to announce and enforce his decree of instant banishment against both herself and her children, Medea, by artful cajolery, obtains a respite of one day. (Vv. 269–356.) On Creon's departure Medea declares to her sympathising friends and confidantes that all is not yet lost, as they think (vv. 356–363), but that, having outwitted Creon, she intends to destroy her three foes, Creon, his daughter, and Jason. But how? Poisons, in the use of which she is skilled, seem to her the best means. But how can she thus destroy her foes and herself escape? Only if she can secure some asylum will this be possible. She will, therefore, wait a little for the chance of this; failing it, she will take her life in her hands and slay her foes openly with the sword. Her vengeance she will have at all cost. (Vv. 364–409.) Jason now appears to protest that he has done what he could to keep Medea from being banished and that she is responsible for her hard fate by reason of her intemperate language against the king and princess. However, he will do what he can for the exiles by means of money and letters of introduction to his friends. Medea scornfully rejects his proffered help and eloquently exposes his perfidy. Jason makes a lame sophistical defence and, after a bitter wrangle with his wronged wife, washes his hands in

innocency and takes his departure. (Vv. 446–626.) The advent
of the chivalrous king Aegeus of Athens on his way to the king
of Troezen now offers Medea her chance of an asylum, so that
she can carry out her plan of successfully poisoning her foes.
Aegeus, full of sympathy with Medea, moved by an Athenian
gentleman's natural indignation at Jason's conduct, and — not
least — urged by the hope of children, which Medea promises to
procure for him by her medicines, responds heartily to her earnest
and solemn supplication. He promises to grant her asylum at
Athens, provided always that she come thither by and of herself,
to the end that he may escape the complications of interstate
law ; and he even — though his honour is somewhat piqued here
— consents to back his promise with an oath dictated by Medea
herself. (Vv. 663–763.) After Aegeus's departure Medea, having
gained the prerequisite, as she conceives it to be, of an asylum,
proceeds to develope to her friends her full plan (as she says) of
taking vengeance on her foes by poison. The plan is to summon
Jason, profess a complete change of heart, and ask him to help
procure the remission of the sentence of banishment against
the children. To this end she will send the children to the
princess with a poisoned robe and diadem that shall destroy her
and every one that touches her. But she will go farther than
this ; she will destroy the house of Jason, root and branch, by
killing not only his new wife but the children he has had by her-
self — yes, bitter as that is, her own children. She thus improves
on her original plan by contriving for Jason a punishment worse
than his own mere death — the death of his lineage. Her friends
protest against the inhumanity of Medea's plan, but she thrusts
aside their objections and despatches her Colchian attendant to
fetch Jason. (Vv. 764–823.) Jason responds to the summons,
as Medea had expected, and, in his consummate egotism, accepts
her hypocritical professions and falls in with her plan of inter-
cession with the princess. His blindness makes it easy for Medea
to excuse her tears when she breaks down over the children.
(Vv. 866–975.) When the man-nurse, the παιδαγωγός, presently

returns with the children and joyfully announces the success of their mission to the princess, Medea, in a most powerful and affecting speech, reveals the fearful conflict in her soul between the natural affection of a mother for her children and the lust of vengeance. The lust of vengeance triumphs, and Medea awaits in impatience the further tidings from the palace. (Vv. 1002–1117.) At length one of Jason's servants appears in hot haste warning Medea to flee with all speed, by sea or by land, in order to escape the consequences of the death of the princess and Creon. In response to Medea's cheerful questioning the man consents to tell, in harrowing detail, how the poor bride has been destroyed by the poisoned robe and diadem and how her father has been killed by embracing her dead body. (Vv. 1118–1230.) Medea now declares to her confidantes her final fixed resolve to kill her children, in order — as she has already said (vv. 1060–1066), shifting her original point of view — that they may not be killed by the cruel hands of the avengers of the murdered king and princess. Stifling her heart for the moment, albeit fully conscious that she is dooming herself to lifelong mourning, she enters the house, whence the cries of the children are presently heard. (Vv. 1236–1292.) In a few moments Jason, with a band of attendants, appears before the house to warn the regicide and rescue his children, who are in danger of being killed by the relatives of the murdered king. He is apprised by the Corinthian ladies at the door of the deed that Medea has just done and is furiously urging his attendants to force an entrance into the house, when Medea appears above the house-top (it must be remembered that Greek houses were built with a central court), mounted in a magic chariot that has been furnished her by her grandfather the sun-god and holding the dead bodies of the children. She declares her triumph, answers Jason taunt for taunt, and, after refusing him the privilege of embracing and mourning his dead, takes her flight to the shrine of Hera Acraea, where she intends to bury the children before going to Athens. (Vv. 1293–1414.)

17. The story of Jason's adventures as it is conceived and re-
ferred to by Euripides in the *Medea* as preliminary to the action of
The stories   the play and the story of Medea's revenge as it is em-
of Jason and   ployed by Euripides as plot, in the narrower sense, have
Medea
before   been, in their essential features, extracted from the
Euripides   *Medea* and plainly set forth above.   It will be well at
this point briefly to examine the question, What was the relation
between Euripides's version of these stories and the versions that
existed before him ?   This will best be done by setting forth what
is known of those earlier versions.

18. The first mention of the story of Jason and the Argo in
Greek literature is in the twelfth book of the *Odyssey*, where Circe
tells Odysseus that, when he leaves her island Aeaea and has
passed the Sirens, he can choose either of two courses.   The one
will lead him by the cliffs of Scylla and Charybdis, the other by
the mysterious and terrible rocks known as the Planctae (Πλαγ-
κταί).   ' These never ship sailed by save only the Argo, known of
all men, when she sailed from Aeetes ; and her the waves had
quickly cast upon the great rocks, had not Hera sent her by
because Jason was her friend ' (vv. 69–72, οἴη δὴ κείνη γε παρέπλω
ποντοπόρος νηῦς | 'Αργὼ πᾶσι μέλουσα παρ' Αἰήταο πλέουσα· | καί νύ
κε τὴν ἔνθ' ὦκα βάλεν μεγάλας ποτὶ πέτρας, | ἀλλ' 'Ήρη παρέπεμψεν,
ἐπεὶ φίλος ἦεν 'Ιήσων).   The description of the Planctae that is
given just before in this passage, which includes reference to
' blasts of destructive fire ' (πυρός τ' ὀλοοῖο θύελλαι, v. 68) and to
disintegration and renewal of the rock (v. 64 f.), points pretty
clearly to a marine volcano.   Presumably then the Planctae were
the Lipari Islands, as Scylla and Charybdis were the Sicilian
Straits.   Besides this the language used (παρ' Αἰήταο πλέουσα)
clearly implies that the Argo took another course back from the
realm of Aeetes than that by which she had gone thither.   The
Odyssean version of the voyage of the Argo is thus quite evi-
dently essentially the same as that followed by Pindar in his
fourth *Pythian*, who makes the Argonauts carry the ship for
twelve days from the ocean across the desert to Lake Tritonis.

This version of the legend of the voyage is evidently very old, going back to a time when the Greeks supposed that the Black Sea had an eastern outlet (by way of the Phasis) and that it was possible to sail by this route around into the Red Sea. Euripides thus follows a later version of the voyage (that of the annalist and geographer Hecataeus of Miletus) that arose when the Black Sea had become better known. His Symplegades and the Planctae of the *Odyssey* have nothing to do with each other. There are other probable references to the legend of Jason and the Argo in Homer, and in Hesiod we find the genealogy of Medea (her grandparents, Helios, the sun-god, Perseis, daughter of Ocean; her parents, Aeetes and Idyia, daughter of Ocean) in the *Theogony* (vv. 956–962). In the same poem (vv. 992–1002) we learn that 'the daughter of Aeetes, Zeus-bred king, Aeson's son, by the counsels of the everlasting gods, carried off from Aeetes, after he had ended the many groanful labours which the great and haughty king (*i.e.* Aeetes) laid upon him; which having ended, he came (back) to Iolcus, after much toil, on swift ship, carrying with him the bright-eyed girl — he, the son of Aeson — and made her his wife. And she, wedded to Jason, shepherd of people, bare a son Medeüs, whom Chiron reared in the mountains, fulfilling thereby the will of great Zeus.' (Κούρην δ' Αἰήταο διοτρεφέος βασιλῆος | Αἰσονίδης βουλῇσι θεῶν αἰειγενετάων | ἦγε παρ' Αἰήτεω, τελέσας στο- νόεντας ἀέθλους | τοὺς πολλοὺς ἐπέτελλε μέγας βασιλεὺς ὑπερήνωρ · | [omitting v. 996, ὑβριστὴς Πελίης καὶ ἀτάσθαλος, ὀβριμοεργός, which spoils the reference to Aeetes in v. 995] | τοὺς τελέσας ἐς Ἰωλκὸν ἀφίκετο, πολλὰ μογήσας, | ὠκείης ἐπὶ νηὸς ἄγων ἑλικώπιδα κούρην | Αἰσονίδης καί μιν θαλερὴν ποιήσατ' ἄκοιτιν. | Καί ῥ' ἥ γε δμηθεῖσ' ὑπ' Ἰήσονι ποιμένι λαῶν | Μήδειον τέκε παῖδα, τὸν οὔρεσιν ἔτρεφε Χείρων | Φιλυρίδης· μεγάλου δὲ Διὸς νόος ἐξετελεῖτο.) Taking the references above in the older literature together with such a passage as Homer H 467–9, where there came from Lemnos wine-laden ships sent by 'Jason's son Euneüs, whom Hypsipyle bare to Jason, shepherd of people' (Ἰησονίδης Εὔνηος, | τόν ῥ' ἔτεχ' Ὑψιπύλη ὑπ' Ἰήσονι ποιμένι λαῶν: cp. Hes. *Theog.* 1000 f., just quoted), we

cannot doubt that the outward voyage of the Argonauts, their
adventures on the way, and their adventures among the Colchians,
had to Euripides (as to Aeschylus and Sophocles, who wrote
various plays touching on the tale of the Argonauts), in all essen-
tials, the same form that they have in the fullest Greek account
of the Quest of the Golden Fleece that has come down to us —
the *Argonautica of Apollonius Rhodius*, an Alexandrian poet of
the third century B.C. (Pindar, the author [in the fourth *Pythian*,
already cited] of the fullest early account of the Argonauts, is
peculiar in putting the Lemnian adventure into the return voyage.
The reason of this is given in von Christ's note on *Pyth.* 4. 50.)
It is evident, not to go into further details of evidence, that the
legend of the first Eastern quest of the Greeks, as they began to
develope sea-power, the old Minyan legend of the quest for gold
in Aea (Αἶα, 'the land,' αἶα = γαῖα, γῆ, as a proper name), the
far eastern country of the morning, of the fleecy golden and
purple clouds of dawn, and their outwitting of the 'Man of the
Country', Aeetes (Αἰήτης from αἶα), and bringing away his wise
daughter Medea (Μήδεια from μήδεα and = μήδεα ἰδυῖα) as their
chieftain's wife, and this in the generation before the other great
Asiatic adventure of the Trojan War — it is evident, I say, that
this old tale, told and retold by bard and genealogist, in verse and
in prose, and losing naught in the retelling, was well established
in all its essential features and, with Hecataeus's rationalising of
its geography, was taken over simply by Euripides. But this tale
had its sequel, the subsequent adventures of Jason and his eastern
bride. The poet of the old Νόστοι or 'Returns of the Heroes'
(from Troy) had, as we learn from a Greek preface to the *Medea*,
told — as had, doubtless, others — how Medea had made away
with Jason's arch-enemy King Pelias through the instrumentality
of his own daughters; and Euripides had used this story as the
plot of his first play, the *Peliades*, 'the Daughters of Pelias'. But
as many heroes from many parts of Greece were brought into the
Colchian, as into the Trojan, expedition, so there were other local
legends of Jason and Medea besides the Thessalian. One of these

was that of Corinth.   This seems to have had varying forms ; but
the feature that is of special interest for us is the killing by the
Corinthians of the children of Medea.   (See scholion on *Med.*
264.)   The gulf between the Iolcian and Corinthian legends was
bridged by the annalists Hippys and Hellanicus (the latter con-
temporary with Euripides, the former more ancient) by making
Jason and Medea emigrate to Corinth.   This emigration, or flight,
was motived (by Euripides at least) by Medea causing the death
of King Pelias.   So for the *crimen laesae maiestatis* she is sen-
tenced in our play to exile from Corinth ; so in the lost *Aegeus*
(seemingly later than, and a sort of sequel to, the *Medea*) she
was banished by Aegeus from Athens for plotting against his heir
Theseus.   But to Euripides, or to a contemporary tragedian (of
which latter alternative more must be said presently), seems to
belong the making Medea kill her own children.   Thus much for
the legendary background of our play.

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — The article *Argonautai* in the new edition (by
Wissowa) of *Paulys Real-Encyclopädie der classischen Altertumswis-
senschaft*, vol. II, cols. 743–787 (Stuttgart, 1895), presents a most
elaborately full account (with a wealth of references) of all that has
come down to us from antiquity about the Quest of the Golden Fleece
and also discusses the mythological foundation of the legend.   To this
should be added the article *Argo, ibid.*, cols. 721–723.   Valuable, also,
is Dr. Wecklein's *Die Medeasage vor Euripides* in the introduction to
his edition of the *Medea* (3d ed., Leipsic, Teubner, 1891), pp. 1–12.]

19. The question broached over three hundred years ago by
Paullus Manutius, whether there were two editions of our play,
still claims the attention of students of Euripides.   A **The theory
**line that has come down to us as from Ennius's *Medea* **of two edi-
tions of the
**(of which more will presently be said), " qui ipse sibi **Medea and
Neophron's
prodesse non quit sapiens, nequiquam sapit ", the **Medea
Greek original of which is evidently the verse which Cicero quotes
as Euripides's : μισῶ σοφιστὴν ὅστις οὐχ αὑτῷ σοφός, was the *fons
et origo malorum*.   Furthermore it has been remarked that a
scholion on Aristophanes's *Acharn.* 119 (Dind.) says that the

words ὦ θερμόβουλον σπλάγχνον are in the *Medea* of Euripides.
But these words appear nowhere in our text of the play, any more
than the verse previously quoted as the original of Ennius's line.
Again it has been asserted that our text of the *Medea* shews, in
several places, indications that what we have is a version of the
Medea that had, in several places, been marginally annotated with
parallels from another version and that in those same places the
two versions had been subsequently fused by bringing the marginal
quotations into the text. These three difficulties may be discussed
in inverse order. As a matter of fact, then, a careful and un-
biassed study of the text of the *Medea* that has come down to us
reveals but one place in which there are two versions. In vv.
723–730 it is pretty evident that the current text was :

> οὕτω δ᾿ ἔχει μοι· σοῦ μὲν ἐλθούσης χθόνα
> πειράσομαί σου προξενεῖν, δίκαιος ὤν,
> ἐκ τῆσδε δ᾿ αὐτὴ γῆς ἀπαλλάσσου πόδα·
> ἀναίτιος γὰρ καὶ ξένοις εἶναι θέλω.

But opposite these verses stood in the margin of the manuscript
from which ours are all descended the verses :

> τόσον γε μέντοι σοι προσημαίνω, γύναι·
> ἐκ τῆσδε μὲν γῆς οὔ σ᾿ ἄγειν βουλήσομαι,
> αὐτὴ δ᾿ ἐάνπερ εἰς ἐμοὺς ἔλθῃς δόμους,
> μενεῖς ἄσυλος κοὔ σε μὴ μεθῶ τινι.

These latter four verses have been clumsily introduced into the
text by splitting the former four in two and writing the marginal
verses between. Both quatrains are excellently written ; both, so
far as a modern can judge, are worthy of the master ; but the
former seems to have a certain prior right in the history of our
text of the *Medea*. But there is nothing else like this in our text
of the *Medea* ; the lines that are printed at the foot of the text in
this edition are due in their traditional position to actors and gram-
marians, who either made them for the place they occupy in the

tradition of the manuscripts or transplanted them thither from
some other place in the author. They represent common and
familiar types of interpolation. The condition of *Med.* 723-730
is hardly stronger as an argument for two editions of the *Medea*
by Euripides than the fact that *Alc.* 287-9 is quite probably a
doublet of *Alc.* 284-6 as an argument for two editions of the
Alcestis, or the fact that Sophocles *Trach.* 84 is probably a doublet
of the second half of the next verse as an argument for two edi-
tions of the *Trachinians*. As for the quotation in the scholion to
Aristophanes's *Acharnians*, that may easily contain an error in
the name of the play. Plenty of such errors in the assignment of
quotations can be found to match it, if it be an error. And, finally,
as for the verse in Ennius's *Medea* with its Greek original that does
not appear in our *Medea*, we know too much about the tendency
of the Romans to 'contaminate' a translation of one Greek play
with parts of another to be greatly moved by what can be ex-
plained as due to this cause. For it is plain that the striking, and,
perhaps, proverbial, verse of Euripides in question may have seemed
to Ennius to fit better after (let us say) *Med.* 1223 than what stands
there now. Thus, it appears, the question about the two editions
of the *Medea* seems to admit of a fairly positive negative answer,
so far as reasons for it that have been cited thus far are concerned.
But the matter is complicated by the existence of certain quota-
tions from a *Medea* said to be the work of one Neophron, a Sicyo-
nian, and said further (see the Greek prefatory matter to the
Medea) to have been 'adapted' (to use the modern phrase) by
Euripides into the form that has come down to us under his name.
Thus a scholion on *Med.* 666 tells us : ' But Neophron says that
Aegeus came to Corinth to Medea for the sake of having his oracle
cleared up by her, thus :

> καὶ γάρ τιν' αὐτὸς ἥλυθον λύσιν μαθεῖν
> σοῦ· Πυθίαν γὰρ ὅσσαν ἦν ἔχρησέ μοι
> Φοίβου πρόμαντις συμβαλεῖν ἀμηχανῶ,
> σοὶ δ' εἰς λόγους μολὼν ἂν ἤλπιζον μαθεῖν.'

Again in Stobaeus (*Flor.* 20. 34) we have quoted as from Neophron's *Medea* (Νεόφρονος ἐν Μηδείᾳ) these verses, which are a very striking parallel to *Med.* 1051 ff. :

> Εἶεν· τί δράσεις, θυμέ; βούλευσαι καλῶς
> πρὶν ἐξαμαρτεῖν καὶ τὰ προσφιλέστατα
> ἔχθιστα θέσθαι. ποῖ ποτ᾽ ἐξῇξας, τάλας;
> κάτισχε λῆμα καὶ σθένος θεοστυγές.
> καὶ πρὸς τί ταῦτα δύρομαι, τύχην ἐμὴν
> ὁρῶσ᾽ ἔρημον καὶ παρημελημένην
> πρὸς ὧν ἐχρῆν ἥκιστα; μαλθακοὶ δὲ δὴ
> τοιαῦτα γιγνόμεσθα πάσχοντες κακά;
> οὐ μὴ προδώσεις, θυμέ, σαυτὸν ἐν κακοῖς;
> οἴ μοι, δέδοκται· παῖδες, ἐκτὸς ὀμμάτων
> ἀπέλθετ᾽· ἤδη γάρ με φοινία μέλαν
> δέδυκε λύσσα θυμόν. ὦ χέρες χέρες,
> πρὸς οἷον ἔργον ἐξοπλιζόμεσθα. φεῦ,
> τάλαινα, τόλμης, ἧ πόλυν πόνον βραχεῖ
> διαφθεροῦσα τὸν ἐμὸν ἔρχομαι χρόνῳ.

Finally in a scholion on *Med.* 1386 we read that 'whereas others say that, in accordance with Medea's order, Jason having fallen asleep under the stern of the Argo was killed by a piece of timber falling on him, Neophron is peculiar in asserting that he died by hanging; for he makes Medea say to him :

> φθερῇ τέλος γὰρ αὐτὸς αἰσχίστῳ μόρῳ
> δέρῃ βροχωτὸν ἀγχόνην ἐπισπάσας·
> τοία σε μοῖρα σῶν κακῶν ἔργων μένει,
> δίδαξις ἄλλοις μυρίοις ἐφημέροις
> θεῶν ὕπερθε μή ποτ᾽ ἄρασθαι βροτούς.'

In the last passage it seems strange to prophesy to a man his suicide and the manner of it, and one fails to see how Jason had been guilty of exalting himself above the gods, unless it was in ignoring and violating his oaths to Medea. Apart from this criticism, the lines of this Neophron are fine lines and worthy of an able poet.

But they have a deeper interest for us than that : they are from a
play that must have been, in its essential features, the same as our
Medea — a play in which Aegeus appeared on the scene to afford
Medea a chance of asylum, in which Medea killed her children
after a mighty battle in her soul between passion for revenge and
a mother's love, in which there was an altercation at the close be-
tween Medea and Jason.   If Euripides took up such a play of a
contemporary to turn to his own use, he took practically the com-
plete skeleton, — nay, more — and far more —, he took the very
flesh and blood nearest the heart, in taking the foundation of what
is in many ways the most powerful and touching part of his own
play, Medea's revelation of the conflict in her soul.   The ancient
notions of literary proprietorship were far simpler and looser than
ours, but such a state of things as has just been described leaves
far less ground for originality on Euripides's part than even a con-
temporary friend would have been like to demand.   We may say
that Euripides, by setting himself such narrow limits of originality
(assuming that the relation of the plays was what it is said to have
been), forced himself, as it were, to display greater ingenuity, as
in Medea's debate with her θυμός, where he shews amazing power
as compared with his assumed original.   But this is not altogether
satisfactory.   Indeed, it is far from satisfactory.   The question of
plagiarism, as we should call it, we must resolutely set aside as
such.   The question is not simply whether Euripides took over
and revamped another man's play ; it is whether a play that falls
so neatly into place in Euripides's treatment of the legend of Me-
dea (*Peliades*, *Medea*, *Aegeus*), that is so perfused and permeated
with Euripides's spirit, as we know it from his other works, can
be so much founded upon another tragedian's creation.   One's
instinctive answer to this is, No.   And yet if one is to defend such
a denial, but one course is open, namely to claim Neophron's play
for Euripides.   For Neophron's peculiar version of the manner of
Jason's death can hardly weigh as an argument for the priority
in time of the Euripidean play against the treatment of Medea's
great speech and the fact that Aegeus's oracle sticks to Euripides's

play about as loosely as a bit of eggshell to a chick. In both these latter points 'Neophron' seems clearly to have the right of way. If, then, we cannot believe that Euripides borrowed so much from a contemporary dramatist, we shall maintain that ' Neophron' is only (so far as the *Medea* is concerned) Euripides masquerading under Neophron's name (just as he is said to have brought out the *Andromache* under another's name) and that there were two editions of the *Medea*, of the earlier of which (brought out perhaps at Sicyon, Neophron's town?) we have lost all trace save the passages quoted above (and perhaps vv. 725–8 of our *Medea*) and the tradition about Neophron's authorship. We should then explain the story of Euripides's borrowing of Neophron's play as founded on the malicious gossip of his enemies. Certainly Aristophanes, Sophocles, and Aristotle treat the *Medea* as fully entitled to be called Euripides's work, and the story (see the scholion on *Med.* 9) that Euripides received five talents from the Corinthians for transferring the guilt of the killing of Medea's children from their shoulders to hers, looks in the same direction. But *adhuc sub iudice lis est.*

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — Paullus Manutius's remarks are to be found in his admirable *Commentarius in M. Tullii Ciceronis epistolas quae familiares vocantur* in a note on *ad fam.* 7. 6 (pp. 446–450 in C. G. Richter's ed., Leipsic, 1780; Manutius's dedicatory epistle to the original edition is dated " Romae. Id. Iun. MDLXXIX "). Manutius suggested the theory of two *Medeas* by Euripides, only to reject it. His own view was that two *Medeas* were translated by Ennius, that which we have, by the elder Euripides, and one by the younger Euripides, now lost. Manutius' put together, with equal learning and lucidity, in a note not very long, though too long to quote here, practically all he knew about *Medeas*, — and it was a good deal. Further should be compared Dr. Wecklein's introduction to his annotated edition of the *Medea* (already cited), pp. 26–30. The view (set forth above) that Neophron's *Medea* was by Euripides seems to have been propounded first by Ribbeck. (See Wecklein *ut supra*, p. 30[2)].) In several points my discussion the Neophron question coincides with Ribbeck's, but my argm were drawn up independently. Ribbeck's view that *Med.* 798

a doublet can be pretty clearly shewn to be false. His view of the early date of the ' Neophron ' play seems hardly plausible. — The quotations of the fragments of ' Neophron ' above are based on the second edition of Nauck's *Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta* (pp. 729-732).]

20. In making a brief examination of the characters of the *Medea* in supplement of what has been said above about the contents of the play, we may conveniently proceed from the less important to the more important. The old Colchian woman slave (the τροφός, or nurse, as she is traditionally termed, albeit there is nothing in the play that marks her plainly as Medea's nurse) and the man slave that attends the two children (the παιδαγωγός) come first. The woman is deeply attached to her mistress and in full sympathy with her. She is also very fond of the children, and her anxiety is divided between them and her mistress. She philosophises on kingship and democracy (vv. 119–123), on moderate means and great wealth (vv. 123–130), and on the misapplication of music (vv. 190–203). She seems a sort of preliminary study of Phaedra's nurse in the *Hippolytus*. She lacks the somewhat coarse realism of Orestes's Cilician nurse in Aeschylus's *Choëphoroe* (v. 734 ff.). However, she fills her place, in general, well. Both she and the παιδαγωγός are curious, but they can hold their tongues when they should. This παιδαγωγός is another worthy slave, a faithful old servant, and devoted to his young charges. He shews a certain vein of cynicism, but is less keen of wit than his woman companion. He is less fully characterised than the old slave of Hippolytus, not to compare him with such figures as the guard of the corpse in Sophocles's *Antigone* or that wonderful bit of concise characterisation, the watcher of the beacon at the opening of the *Agamemnon*. The Messenger has only to come in breathless to warn Medea to flee and then, at her request, describe the death of the bride and Creon. This he does in a fine garrulous narrative, with an appropriate dash of the homely and commonplace in it and a bit of philosophising at the close (vv. 1224–1230). Thus much for the

Characters and plot of the Medea

vulgar characters of the piece. The minor characters of high
rank are Creon and Aegeus. The former is a pompous person,
weak and good-natured, priding himself, too, on his good-nature.
He is a fond and indulgent father. He is a man, in short, in
whom softness of head does more mischief than hardness of heart
would have done. He is an altogether natural and vivid charac-
terisation of a type. Aegeus, the chivalrous Athenian gentleman
who feels that his word is as good as his bond, is a somewhat
wooden figure perhaps. He is the embodiment of εὐήθεια. Still,
he is neither silly nor priggish : his is γενναία εὐήθεια. Of the major
characters Jason is an inimitable type of selfishness. Euripides
had drawn selfish characters in his *Alcestis* in Admetus and
Pheres, but his Jason is a more perfect exemplification of that
vice. Admetus lacks courage, but he is not without virtue. Ja-
son's physical courage is not above question, and as for moral
courage, he has none at all. He is a fine example of the handsome,
charming, showy, and unprincipled Greek adventurer, the sort of
man that made the name of Greek hateful among honest foreign-
ers and caused a certain Persian king to remark that he had met
but one Greek that kept his word. Such as he is, he is drawn to
the life. It is fairly amazing that the creator of so perfect a type
of the unprincipled man could be celebrated as a woman-hater.
And now at last we come to the crowning figure of the play, to
the heroine herself. In her again Euripides has drawn a type.
Of the two sorts of women, the woman that is bound, and will-
ingly bound, by ties of race and family, the woman that will sac-
rifice everything, even to life itself, for her flesh and blood, and
that other sort of woman that will throw away everything for the
man she has fallen in love with, — of these two kinds of women
Medea represents the latter. Antigone, as she stands before us
in all her stern loyalty and rigid conscientiousness, in Sophocles's
play that bears her name, has indeed 'a warm heart in a chilly
business', but to all beyond her nearest blood-kin she is a woman
of ice. Haemon, her betrothed, may die beside her with her dead
arm about his neck; but the embrace of the living woman would

have had in it as much — and no more — of real personal love for him. It is only the woman that will burst and trample under foot the bonds of blood to bind herself with the fetters — if so they prove to be — of her own passionate individual choice that can be a great and glowing — albeit, perhaps, a lurid — figure of romance. And such is Medea. She has the defects of her qualities. It is the passionate intensity of her love that leads her into crime. She breaks the ties of blood with the murder of a brother; she avenges the breaking of the ties of love with the murder of her children. So much for the outline; for the details Euripides is his own best interpreter.

21. The plot of the *Medea* has been sufficiently well indicated for general purposes in the story of the play that has been already given. Here a few remarks may properly be made on certain details of Euripides's treatment. Of prime importance is the formation and the execution of Medea's vengeance from the pyschological point of view. In the opening of the play (down to verse 213) we have, as it were, a chaos out of which a cosmos soon begins to emerge. At the opening of the play Medea is in a gloomy cloud of passion out of which the lightnings of her wrath ever and anon burst forth. We know not what definitely to fear: her faithless husband, his bride and her father, her own children, — all are objects of her hatred. Then, when she has mastered herself, at least outwardly, her mind — the νοῦς in the warring elements — begins to work. Her interviews with Creon and with Aegeus mature the plan. After she has gained her respite from the former, she designs to kill Creon and his daughter together with Jason (vv. 369–375); after she has gained her asylum from Aegeus, she has her plan fully matured (v. 772), and in this the death of the children is involved: she will destroy 'the whole house of Jason' (v. 794). Later she wavers and would save her children; but she will not give her foes the satisfaction of killing either them or her, and she conceives that she cannot effect her flight with them. As it is, she escapes only by the intervention of Helios, who provides her with a winged car (or a car drawn by

flying creatures).   There is a bitter irony, as one may say, in this
means of escape that would have carried her living sons, just as
well as their corpses, being provided so late.   Indeed, the some-
what wilful limitations that Euripides sets to Medea's magic, or
rather the way in which he forgets, as it were, the magic *vis a tergo*
in his vivid portrayal of the intensely real and human figure of
Medea, may justly be counted at once a blemish and a beauty in
the play.   It may at least be doubted whether he would not have
lost more than he would have gained had he made the story more
natural and consistent in its framework.   At any rate the amount
of *neglegentia*, as a Roman might have called it, in the structure of
the plot is of the smallest.   Such a criticism as that Medea would
not have found Aegeus at home when her car had carried her to
Athens need not be seriously discussed.   The greatest offence has
been given to certain readers of the *Medea* by the episode of
Aegeus.   Aristotle, in the *Poetics* (1461 *b* = xxv. 19), says that
irrationality (ἀλογία) in tragedy is censurable when the irrational
element (τὸ ἄλογον) is employed unnecessarily, and he cites as an
instance Euripides's Aegeus (ὥσπερ Εὐριπίδης τῷ Αἰγεῖ, *sc.* χρῆται),
meaning, it would seem, Aegeus the character and not the play
called *Aegeus*.   If that is Aristotle's meaning, and he has reference
to the *Medea*, the criticism can hardly be called sound or just,
notwithstanding the approval of certain eminent moderns (*e.g.*
Gottfried Hermann, who says that the character of Aegeus in the
Medea " plane inutilis in ea fabula est ").   The oracle is, to our
way of thinking, very clumsily handled ; for Medea, although it is
told her as a compliment to her intelligence, makes no attempt to
solve it ; but Aegeus, or a character to play the part of sure host
and patron, is a necessary feature of the plot and has been pre-
pared for in the preceding scene.   That Medea lived with Aegeus
was also a well-known feature of the Attic legend of Theseus.
Furthermore, that Aegeus is an Attic hero and exhibits upon the
Attic stage at a time when Greece was on the eve of a war in
which many a tie was broken, at a time when good faith was
seemingly threatened with extinction, the virtues on which the

Athenians prided themselves (however justly), — this also is a fact
that may be taken into consideration, though not to the confusion
of the main issue, in considering the part of the *Medea* in which
he appears.   It must be repeated here, however, that the episode
of Aegeus is closely woven into the plot of the *Medea* and calls for
justification, if at all, only in minor details.

22. Euripides seems to have made the story of Medea as a
tragic subject his own peculiar property, so to say, as Sophocles
made the tale of Oedipus his.   He is for all time the Influence of
poet of Medea the wronged and revengeful wife ; and the Medea: (a) in litera-
the literary influence of his powerful play was imme- ture
diate, as well as profound and lasting.   The impression made by
the *Medea* on Euripides's great rival, Sophocles, as shewn in the
latter's *Trachinians*, has been mentioned above (p. 29) ; and
that in writing the *Oedipus at Colonus*, according to tradition his
latest play, the aged Sophocles still bore the *Medea* in mind is
shewn in a curious way.   When the suppliant Oedipus desires
Theseus, as king of Athens, to guarantee him against extradition
to Creon, he says (*O.C.* 650) : Οὔ τοι σ᾽ ὑφ᾽ ὅρκου γ᾽ ὡς κακὸν
πιστώσομαι, 'I will not bind you by oath, as though you were a
base man', and Theseus answers proudly (v. 651) : Οὐκ οὖν πέρα
γ᾽ ἂν οὐδὲν ἢ λόγῳ φέροις, 'Certainly you would obtain nothing
more than on the strength of my word ', *i.e.* 'You would find my
word as good as my bond '.   Surely this is a tacit criticism of the
way in which Medea as a suppliant forces Theseus's father, Aegeus,
to bind himself by oath that he will not permit her extradition.

23. Several of the later Greek tragedians, among them the
younger Euripides, are said to have composed *Medeas*.   The plays
would be of great interest and value to us, had they been pre-
served ; but they are irrevocably lost.

24. It would be a long task to collect the allusions to Euripi-
des's *Medea* in Greek literature.   It was parodied here and there
by Aristophanes, by Eupolis, by Philemon.   The last-named paro-
died *Med.* 57 f. thus : ὡς ἵμερός μ᾽ ὑπῆλθε γῇ τε κοὐρανῷ | λέξαι
μολόντι τοὐψον ὡς ἐσκεύασα, — a parody that is particularly interest-

ing as attesting the reading μολούσῃ in Euripides's text.   Aristotle
criticised a scene in the *Medea* (see above p. 48), — the same
scene that Sophocles had criticised, but from another point of
view.   It is, perhaps, not going too far to think that Apollonius
Rhodius's powerful portrayal, in the third and fourth books of his
Argonautica (see above p. 38), of Medea's passion for Jason and
her help of him in his adventures in Aea owes something to the
writer's desire to produce a picture of Medea's early relations with
Jason that shall be worthy of Euripides's picture of the ending of
that great love.   Certain it is that Apollonius first warms to his
subject with the appearance of Medea upon the scene of action.

25.   The reference to Apollonius has brought us to the time of
Medea's introduction to Roman literature.   Ennius (239–169 B.C.)
turned Euripides's play into Latin verses.   The translation aimed
at literalness and was greatly admired by Cicero.   But his literary
judgement in this was warped by patriotism ; for the fragments pre-
served for us, largely by Cicero himself, shew small literary taste
or skill, and but an indifferent understanding of the original.
Such as they are, however, the fragments of this early translation
(made only some two centuries after Euripides's death) are very
interesting and make us regret that we have not the whole.   They
are set forth below for comparison with Euripides on the basis of
Ribbeck's publication in the *Tragicorum Romanorum Fragmenta*[2]
(p. 43 ff., Medea Exul).

> Utinám ne in nemore Pélio secúribus
> caesa áccedisset ábiegna ad terrám trabes,
> neve índe navis íncohandi exórdium
> cepísset quae nunc nóminatur nómine
> Argó, quia Argiui ín ea delectí viri
> vectí petebant péllem inauratam árietis
> Colchís imperio régis Peliae pér dolum ;
> nam númquam era errans méa domo ecferrét pedem,
> Medéa, animo aegra, amóre saeuo saúcia.

. These verses represent Eur. *Med.* 1–8.   It is curio~~
that Ennius seems to have misunderstood Euri|

the Commentary), and that he took the same line as Timachidas (see the Ὑπόθεσις) in thinking that Euripides had shewn a poor taste in his arrangement of the opening of the prologue. (With Ennius here one should compare Phaedrus 4. 7. 6 ff.)

> Antíqua erilis fída custos córporis,
> quid síc te extra aedis éxanimata elíminas?

= Eur. *Med.* 49–51. Ennius's *custos corporis* represents τροφός rather than οἴκων κτῆμα. His copy of the text must, in the designation of the characters, have named the old Colchian woman τροφός. His text in these two verses was pretty certainly the same as ours.

> cupído cepit míseram nunc me próloqui
> caelo átque terrae Médeaï míserias.

= Eur. *Med.* 57 f. Ennius's copy had Μηδείας, not δεσποίνης, in v. 57. See on this variant the Critical Appendix.

> . . . fluctus uérborum aures aúcupant.

Apparently = Eur. *Med.* 131.

> Quaé Corinthi arcem áltam habetis mátronae opulentae óptumates,
> ⟨né mihi uitio uós uortatis á patria quod ábsiem :⟩
> múlti suam rem béne gessere et públicam patriá procul;
> múlti qui domi aétatem agerent própter ea sunt ínprobati.

Intended to represent Eur. *Med.* 214–218. The second verse is Elmsley's practically certain restoration from Cicero's prose (*ad fam.* 7. 6), persuasit ne sibi uitio uerterent quod abesset a patria. This is a painful mistranslation of a harsh original. Ennius almost certainly had the same text that has come down to us in the codices, save that he very probably had δύσνοιαν in v. 218. He surely read μέμψησθ᾽ in v. 215 and began his mistranslating by understanding ἐξῆλθον δόμων as 'I left home' and μή . . . μέμψησθ᾽ as a prohibition. He seems to have divided v. 217 at the caesura, thus: τοὺς δ᾽ ἐν θυραίοις — οἶδ᾽ ἀφ᾽ ἡσύχου ποδός, 'while others at home — these from their quiet walk', with an anacoluthon. See further *Trans. of the Am. Phil. Assoc.*, 32 (1901), *Proc.* xxviii f.

> . . . nam tér sub armis málim uitam cérnere
> quám semel modo párere.

= Eur. *Med.* 250 f.

> Si té secundo lúmine hic offéndero
> moriére.

= Eur. *Med.* 352 and the first word of 354. Perhaps Ennius's copy had not v. 353.

> Néquaquam istuc ístac ibit : mágna inest certátio.

= Eur. *Med.* 365 and part of 366.

> Nám ut ego illi súpplicarem tánta blandiloquéntia —?

= Eur. *Med.* 368.

> Ílle trauersa ménte mi hodie trádidit repágula
> quíbus ego iram omném recludam atque ílli perniciém dabo,
> míhi maerores, ílli luctum, exítium illi, exiliúm mihi.

Seemingly a free and vigorous rendering of Eur. *Med.* 371–5 and 398 f. fused together.

> Quo núnc me uortam? Quód iter incipiam íngredi?
> Domúm paternamne ánne ad Peliae fílias?

= Eur. *Med.* 502 and 504. Ennius omits v. 503.

> Tú me amoris mágis quam honoris séruauisti grátia.

From Eur. *Med.* 526–8.

> Sol, quí candentem in caélo sublimát facem.

Perhaps from Eur. *Med.* 764. In that case, we should read *sublimas.*

> . . . saluete, óptima corpora ;
> cétte manus uestrás measque accipite.

From Eur. *Med.* 1069–72.

> Iúppiter tuque ádeo summe Sól, qui res omnís spicis
> quíque tuo ⟨cum⟩ lúmine mare térram caelum cóntines,
> ínspice hoc facínús prius quam fíat, prohibessés scelus.

= Eur. *Med.* 1251-4.— Another fragment (XVI, Ribbeck) :

Útinam ne umquam, Méde, Colchis cúpido corde pédem extulisses,

is perhaps translated from Eur. *Med.* 431 f. The fragment XXV, p. 68 in Ribbeck :

• Út tibi Titánis Trivia déderit stirpem líberum,

may be Ennius's version of Eur. *Med.* 714 and 715 (first half). Frag. XCIV, p. 260 Ribbeck :

Nón commemoro quód draconis saévi sopivi ímpetum,

may be from Ennius's version of Eur. *Med.* 480-482. If this be so, Ennius would seem to have had κοιμῶσ᾽, not κτείνασ᾽, in his text of v. 482. See the Critical Appendix. The verse (frag. XV, p. 50 Ribbeck) :

Qui ípse sapiéns prodesse nón quit, nequiquám sapit,

has been dealt with already at p. 39 f.

26. The great admirer of this translation of Ennius's, Cicero, is said to have been overtaken by his executioners while reading Euripides's *Medea*. His younger contemporary Catullus gives us an interesting reminiscence of the *Medea* in his 64th poem, on the marriage of Peleus and Thetis. The poem begins with an account of the voyage of the Argonauts and reminds us in its opening lines of the opening of the *Medea*. But it is where the story of the forsaken Ariadne is told that we are most distinctly reminded of our play. Ariadne's cry (v. 180 f.) :

An patris auxilium sperem? Quemne ipsa reliqui
Respersum iuvenem fraterna caede secuta?,

bears more than an accidental likeness to Eur. *Med.* 502 f. and supports the pointing followed in this edition. In the time of Augustus we find Ovid under the spell of the *Medea*. His own *Medea* has not been preserved to us; but his imaginary letter of Medea to Jason (*Heroid.* XII) is redolent of Euripides's play, and in the seventh book of the *Metamorphoses*, where he describes

Medea's struggle against her rising love for Jason, he makes her say :

Video meliora proboque : | deteriora sequor

(v. 20 f.), — words that seem to be an adaptation to a new situation of the close of Medea's great soliloquy (Eur. *Med.* 1079).

27. We come now to Seneca's *Medea*, a composition of considerable power and more interesting for its general unlikeness than from its occasional likenesses to Euripides's play. In Seneca's play, which runs to only some 1027 verses, Aegeus does not appear and no refuge is provided for Medea. She simply flies away, we know not whither, at the end of the play from the house-top in the dragon-car, after throwing to Jason the bodies of the two boys, one of whom she has reserved to kill before his eyes. Again, the marriage of Jason and the princess is not consummated. The wedding is in progress at the beginning of the play, and Medea, furious and invoking all the powers to grant death to the bride and Creon and a life of misery to Jason, hears the chorus chant the hymeneal. Furious as she is and bent, as she says at the end of her prologue, upon signalising the end of her wedlock with Jason by greater crimes than those which marked its beginning, she can yet hardly believe that the wedding is a reality, that Jason can have proved so untrue to her. She excuses him in his difficult position as exiled and in need of support against Acastus, who is seeking to avenge the death of Pelias ; but she blames Creon bitterly as responsible for the marriage and declares her intention to be revenged on him. It will be observed that Seneca, by a not unhappy thought, makes Medea waver in her feelings toward Jason. She cannot get rid of her great love for him all at once. In the sequel we. find Creon, at his appearance on his way to solemnise the marriage, assuring Medea that he would have killed her but for Jason's intercession and explaining that the putting away of her by Jason is the condition of his support of the latter against Acastus. Medea is made alone responsible for the death of Pelias. As in Euripides, Creon grants Medea one day of grace, but he allows the children to remain a'

Medea, to whom the nurse in vain preaches submission to over-
whelming force, cannot be checked in her furious purpose of
vengeance. She is now fully hardened against Jason, but resolves
to dissemble her hate. Jason presently appears to do what
Euripides's Medea reproaches him with not having done — try
to reason with her before his marriage and convince her of its
justification. Seneca's Jason is a coward self-deceived. He has
persuaded himself that the safety of his children demands the line
of conduct he is following with Creon, whom he fears as much as
he does Acastus. In this one interview with Medea Jason reveals
his great love for his children and thus shews Medea his most
vulnerable spot. Medea's mind is now made up. She bids the
nurse prepare for the magic rites that shall give their fatal power
to the robe and diadem that the children are to carry as a wed-
ding gift to the bride. The nurse's account of the gathering of
the poisons by Medea and the latter's incantation occupy, together
with a couple of choral odes, most of the central portion of the
play. The latter of these odes represents the space of time
necessary for the boys to perform their task and for the fatal
result of the gifts. The messenger that announces this result does
so in very few words, and it is the nurse that urges Medea's flight.
But the latter, in a vigorous speech, nerves herself to the killing
of the children, which she accomplishes, as indicated above, at
the approach of Jason to seize her. No small beauty of this
piece lies in the choral odes, but this is not the place to discuss
them. Enough has been said to indicate the dramatic structure
of the play. It should be added that the nervous rhetoric of the
author, albeit at times overwrought, seems at its very best here ;
and it cannot be wondered at that the play was much read and
greatly admired by those that were ill able to cope with Euripides's
Greek — read, too, and admired by the *docti sermones utriusque
linguae*. From it we may pass at once to the modern *Medeas*.

28. What is said to have been the earliest French *Medea*, the
Médée of Jean de la Péruse (1553), is a translation of Seneca's
play. Pierre Corneille's *Médée*, first performed in 1639, is based

on Euripides with an admixture of Seneca. But the author introduced new minor characters and changed Euripides's plot in details. The result is anything but happy. Aegeus appears as the superannuated lover of Creusa. His plan to carry off Creusa, who prefers Jason to him, is frustrated just in time. Medea wins Aegeus's gratitude by delivering him by her magic from prison. The poisoned robe is suspected, and Creon has it tried on a condemned woman-slave. But the poison will work only on Creusa. Medea makes frequent use of magic. Her magic ring plays quite a prominent minor part. In general, the play is very mediocre. It merits more than a bare notice on account of its author's fame. Other *Médées* to be mentioned before the nineteenth century are Longepierre's (1694) and Clément's (1779). In the latter's work the supernatural elements of Euripides's play are eliminated. To the eighteenth century belongs Glover's *Medea*, played for the first time in 1761. Glover's Medea is not the ‘fierce Colchian’, but a gentle and tender woman. There are several German *Medeas*, one of them by Grillparzer. But these can hardly be dwelt upon here, and the present notice of modern *Medeas* must close with an account of a very interesting modern French *Médée*, that of M. Catulle Mendès. In this play, “représentée pour la première fois sur la scène de la Renaissance, le 28 Octobre 1898”, Mme. Sarah Bernhardt sustained the title rôle. The plot is based on Euripides and Seneca with ingenious modifications. The wedding is in progress at the opening, as in Seneca; and, as in Seneca also, Medea's love for Jason is not yet dead, nor is Jason's love for her dead, as is shewn in a strong scene between them. But Creusa wins the “époux jamais fidèle et toujours attendu” from her rival. The Aegeus episode is essentially as in Euripides; but Aegeus leaves behind some of his suite, who at the close protect Medea in her flight, which she thus makes without supernatural aid. In the interest of spectacular effect the imaginary thunderstorm of the nurse in Euripides's play becomes a real thunderstorm in M. Mendès's. It should be noted that in some places M. Mendès's piece serves as a valuable com

mentary to the *Medea*. He interprets vv. 3–5 better than the commentators (see the Commentary *ad loc.*). He seems, too, to have divined the right reading in v. 424 when he makes his chorus of young women sing (Acte II) :

> O Chant! que n'avons-nous, fileuses que nous sommes,
> La lyre en main au lieu de la quenouille, pour
>   Faire enfin, — c'est bien notre tour —
>   Des poèmes contre les hommes.

The first half of the choral ode that begins at v. 627 of the *Medea* is very briefly and happily rendered thus (Acte I) :

> Aux illustres amours
> Hélas ! qu'il est de peine.
> Mes sœurs, filons la laine
> En nos humbles sejours.
> Tant d'amour ? trop de haine ;
> Mieux vaut la paix toujours.

The following happy renderings may also be noted. Of vv. 244–8 (in Acte I) :

> Quand les hommes sont las des plaisirs trop permis
> Ils ont les jeux, les vieux et les jeunes amis ;
> Ils boivent aux festins sans encourir de blâme . . .
> Mais la femme vit seule, et pour une seule âme !

Of vv. 263–6 (in Acte I) :

> La femme est peu hardie et, rien qu'au bruit du fer,
> Défaille . . .   Mais, lésée en les droits de sa couche,
> Elle est, plus que la louve et que l'aigle, farouche !

Effective, too, is this for *Med.* 1165 f. (Acte III) :

> Ou bien, tournant le cou, le coin de l'œil qui guette,
> De voir la frange à son talon levé . . .
>   Médée [interrupting]
>   Coquette!

Verisimilitude is consulted, it may be observed, in the case of Medea's recognition of Aegeus, at which the nurse expresses surprise, by Medea's answer (Acte II) : Hécate est la triple voyante.

In the case of the poisoned drapery, Medea gives the order (Acte
II) :

> Dans la corbeille d'or apporte-moi les voiles,
> . Nourrice!

Thus the deadly things need not be touched. But enough has
been said of this interesting modern treatment of an ancient sub-
ject, and we may proceed to a brief survey of the influence of the
Medea in art.

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — On modern *Medeas* may be profitably consulted,
besides the works cited in the note to Dr. Wecklein's *Medea*, p. 24 f.
(third edition), Brumoy, *Le théâtre des Grecs*, second ed. by Raoul-
Rochette, Paris, 1821, vol. VI, pp. 296-354.]

29. Medea meditating the murder of her unsuspecting children
would form an admirable subject for a painter skilled in depicting
Influence   the play of emotion as expressed by the face. This
of the   subject was chosen and treated with power by the last
Medea:
(β) in art.   great Greek painter, Timomachus of Byzantium, a con-
temporary of Julius Caesar. His work is said, by the elder Pliny
(*N.H.* 35. 136), to have been purchased, at a high price, by
Caesar and placed in the temple of Venus Genetrix at Rome.
The familiar painting from the so-called House of the Dioscuri at
Pompeii, in which Medea is represented gazing at the two children
as they play at knuckle-bones under the guardianship of their
paedagogus, her hand the while upon the hilt of the sword at
her side, is thought to be an indifferent copy of Timomachus's
masterpiece. Though the scene has no precise counterpart in the
play, it is natural to suppose that the artist drew his inspiration
from Euripides. The subject of Medea meditating the murder
of her children would seem to have been used by other painters
and by statuaries ; but for the depicting of the story of our play
we must look to Roman sarcophagi. A number of these present,
in a group of reliefs, what is essentially the same treatment of the
subject. This treatment seems pretty clearly to be based on
Euripides's play and to preserve, in at least two not unimportant

Fig. 1.

particulars, the stage tradition.   The sarcophagi in question are
thought to belong to about the second century A.D.   That in
the Louvre, which is here reproduced (figure 1), has been
patched together out of various fragments that do not belong
together.   One of these fragments is the side that tells the story
of the *Medea*.   It consists of four scenes not sharply divided.
These scenes are not well distributed; for the last two together
occupy the same space as the first.   In the first scene from the
left, which is marked by the pillars as an interior, a man, meant
apparently for Jason, stands at the left, while the princess sits at
the right.   They are both looking down at the two little boys, who
are bringing to the princess the poisoned diadem and robe.   The
fact that the diadem and robe are thus carried severally and
openly by the children probably represents the stage tradition,
established by Euripides himself (see note on v. 956) and tacitly
criticised by Sophocles in the *Trachinians* as lacking in verisimili-
tude (see *Trans. Am. Philol. Assoc.*, 33 [1902], p. 18 f.).   Near
to and facing the princess in the same scene stands an old
woman, probably meant for the princess's nurse.   Near Jason
stands a young man with filleted hair and what appears to be two
poppies in his left hand.   He has been identified as Hymenaeus,
the presiding genius of marriage.   In the second scene the fatal
gifts are taking effect.   At the right the tortured princess, with
head thrown back and arms uplifted, is running madly.   Behind
her is Creon with his right hand at his head in token of horror
and despair.   The two young men behind Creon, of one of whom
the head only appears in the present relief, cannot be certainly
identified.   In the third scene the children, whether at play or
running to escape their mother (probably the former), are in the
presence of Medea, who is about to kill them.   In the present
copy she has no sword, as she has in the relief figured in Dr.
Wecklein's *Medea*.   In the last scene Medea is mounting the car
drawn by winged serpents.   In the other copy of this relief that
has just been mentioned the bodies of the children may be seen,
the one thrown over Medea's left shoulder, the other lying in the

box of the chariot with the feet hanging out. The car drawn by winged serpents seems to reproduce the stage tradition. It is noticeable in this relief that it is the children and their fate that markedly link the scenes together — a sympathetic touch. Among the traces of the influence of Euripides's *Medea* in ancient art a prominent place has been often assigned to a vase of the fourth century B.C., found in 1813 at Canosa (the ancient Canusium) (figure 2). But the scenes depicted with elaborate care by the painter of this vase can hardly have been inspired by witnessing Euripides's play upon the stage; for, to say nothing of persons and details that are οὐδὲν πρὸς Εὐριπίδην, nothing is represented that was shewn to the eyes of the audience in the case of our *Medea* — unless we except the dragon-car, here driven by Oestrus (OIΣTPOΣ), the demon of madness. At the left of the chariot Medea (MHΔEIA), in an elaborate foreign dress, is about to kill with a sword one of the boys, who stands upon a small altar. Behind Medea a young man, with petasus at neck and two spears in his left hand, seems to be helping the other boy to escape. At the right of the chariot Jason (IAΣΩN), with spear in right hand and scabbarded sword in left, is rushing towards Medea. He is attended by a young man with a petasus on his head and two spears in his left hand, evidently, like the other young man, a retainer (δορυφόρος). Above and to the left of this young man appears the ghost of Aeetes (EἴΔΩΛON AHTOY), in all the pomp and state of a barbarian king and with his right hand outstretched toward the scene of horror at which he is gazing. Above the head of Oestrus, in a portico or vestibule, we see the death of the princess — ' Creon's daughter ' (KPEONTEIA, sc. παῖς). She has fallen contortedly upon a chair. Creon (KPEΩN), with his right hand (from which he has just dropped his sceptre) raised to his head with a gesture of horror and despair, somewhat as he is depicted on the sarcophagus, supports her with his left hand. A young man, Hippotes (IΠΠOTHΣ), presumably the princess's brother, who has hastened up from the right, is trying to take the diadem, at which she herself is pushing with her left hand, from

her head. Behind him a woman is hastening away in terror. She seems to be a servant, possibly the princess's nurse. From the left is hastening toward Creon, whose face is turned toward her as though he were calling her, a woman designated as Merope (ΜΕΡΟΠΗ). This must be Creon's wife, of whom Euripides tells us as little as he does of Hippotes. Behind her the paedagogus

FIG. 2.

is making forward, but is restrained by a young woman. Near the princess, on the ground, is an opened box. The painter seems to wish to indicate this as the receptacle of the poisoned gifts — another un-Euripidean detail. Above we see divine figures, who serve merely to fill up space — Heracles and Athena on the left, the Dioscuri on the right. As an illustration of certain details of stage dress in our play, this painting may be of value; but it is not a representation of the story of Euripides's *Medea*.

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — On the representations of Medea in art, see
Wecklein's *Medea*⁸ pp. 19–22 (footnotes); Baumeister's *Denkmäler*,
art. *Medeia*; and, for the sarcophagi and the Canosa vase, Huddilston's
Greek Tragedy in the Light of Vase Paintings, London, 1898, pp. 144 ff.
I cannot subscribe the last-mentioned author's view of the relation
of the Canosa vase to Euripides's play. On Timomachus see further
Brunn, *Geschichte der Griechischen Künstler*², II, pp. 185 ff.]

30. The entire visible action of the *Medea* is supposed to take
place before the house at Corinth that had been occupied by
Jason together with his wife and children and ser-
vants and that is now occupied by Medea with the
children and servants. The front of this house —
which may be called, for convenience, Medea's house — formed
the background as the play was originally produced. The house
appears to have been represented with but one entrance. By this
door the old woman-servant, Medea, the paedagogus, and the
children leave and enter the house. The houses of the members
of the chorus, the palace of Jason, and the house of Jason and the
princess (if that is to be thought of as separate from the palace)
would all be in the town, which was supposed to lie, together with
the port, at the spectator's right, just as the town and port of
Athens lay to a spectator in the Dionysiac Theatre. With the
exception, therefore, of the old Colchian woman and Medea, all
the characters of the play would make their entrances from the
spectator's right; and all would make their exits also to the spec-
tator's right, save Aegeus with his suite and Medea herself when
she is swung out of view in the dragon-car at the close of the play.
It is true that Aegeus is bound for Troezen immediately, Medea
for Athens direct; but Aegeus has just come from the port
(Lechaeum would be thought of, as he comes from Delphi) and
would naturally follow, in leaving, the direction in which he had
made his entrance, and Medea would appropriately make her
exit in the direction her future protector had taken.

The scenery of the Medea

[BIBLIOGRAPHY. — On the scenery of the *Medea*, see Dr. Weck-
lein's brief and conclusive discussion in *Philologus*, 34, pp. 182 ff. On

the Attic convention about the right and left entrances, see Haigh, *Attic Theatre*², p. 221 f.]

31. An examination of the *Medea* shews that the parts would naturally be divided as follows among the three actors employed:

Protagonist (πρωταγωνιστής): Medea;

Deuteragonist (δευτεραγωνιστής): Colchian woman-slave (τροφός), Jason;

Tritagonist (τριταγωνιστής): Paedagogus, Creon, Aegeus, Messenger.

Distribution of rôles in the Medea

It seems reasonable to suppose that the τροφός summons Jason (see vv. 820–3). If this is so, she does not appear with him at v. 866, or else a mute appears in her dress and mask. The cries of the two boys behind the scene (v. 1271 f.) may have been uttered by the deuteragonist and tritagonist. The two little boys, who are mute characters (κωφὰ πρόσωπα), would be reckoned in the setting of the piece as a παραχορήγημα. (See Haigh, *Attic Theatre*², p. 264.)

32. According to the quantitative division of a Greek tragedy set forth in the twelfth chapter of Aristotle's *Poetics*, the *Medea* falls into the following parts:

Divisions of the play

 I. Πρόλογος, vv. 1–130;
 II. Πάροδος (in an irregular form), vv. 131–213;
 III. Ἐπεισόδιον πρῶτον, vv. 214–409;
 IV. Στάσιμον πρῶτον, vv. 410–445;
 V. Ἐπεισόδιον δεύτερον, vv. 446–626;
 VI. Στάσιμον δεύτερον, vv. 627–662;
 VII. Ἐπεισόδιον τρίτον, vv. 663–823;
 VIII. Στάσιμον τρίτον, vv. 824–865;
 IX. Ἐπεισόδιον τέταρτον, vv. 866–975;
 X. Στάσιμον τέταρτον, vv. 976–1001;
 XI. Ἐπεισόδιον πέμπτον, vv. 1002–1250;
 XII. Στάσιμον πέμπτον, vv. 1251–1292;
 XIII. Ἔξοδος, vv. 1293–1419.

The irregularity in the parodos consists in this, that a choral song (χορικόν), consisting of a proöde (vv. 131–138), a strophic couplet (vv. 148–159 and vv. 173–184), and an epode (vv. 204–

212), is interwoven, as it were, with the anapaests of Medea and her servant. The fifth stasimon partakes of the character of a commos (κομμός). The episodia, the connection of which with our modern "acts", through the *actus* of the Roman plays, is patent, are subdivided in three cases in the *Medea* (vv. 357–363, 759–763, and, most noticeably, 1081–1115) by anapaests spoken by the leader of the chorus (κορυφαῖος), who serves in this play, as in others, as a rudimentary fourth actor. It may be noted as an interesting matter of nomenclature, too often overlooked, that to Euripides, as well as to most ancient writers on the drama, the term πρόλογος meant merely the opening speech. Thus in the *Medea* the prologue would be vv. 1–48, and vv. 1–130 would be fairly termed the Aristotelian prologue.

ΕΥΡΙΠΙΔΟΥ

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ ΜΗΔΕΙΑΣ

Ἰάσων εἰς Κόρινθον ἐλθὼν ἐπαγόμενος καὶ Μήδειαν ἐγγυᾶται καὶ
τὴν Κρέοντος τοῦ Κορινθίων βασιλέως θυγατέρα Γλαύκην πρὸς γάμον.
μέλλουσα δὲ ἡ Μήδεια φυγαδεύεσθαι ὑπὸ Κρέοντος ἐκ τῆς Κορίνθου
παραιτησαμένη πρὸς μίαν ἡμέραν μεῖναι καὶ τυχοῦσα μισθὸν τῆς
χάριτος δῶρα διὰ τῶν παίδων πέμπει τῇ Γλαύκῃ ἐσθῆτα καὶ χρυσοῦν 5
στέφανον, οἷς ἐκείνη χρησαμένη διαφθείρεται· καὶ ὁ Κρέων δὲ περι-
πλακεὶς τῇ θυγατρὶ ἀπόλλυται.   Μήδεια δὲ τοὺς ἑαυτῆς παῖδας ἀπο-

In this ὑπόθεσις or 'argument'
(*argumentum*), which has been trans-
mitted to us prefixed to the play
in Mss., we find three divisions:
1) a brief and very inaccurate out-
line of the play (the ὑπόθεσις proper);
2) a note on the Greek poets' accounts
of Medea's rejuvenation of Jason and
other people and, appended thereto,
Staphylus's version of Jason's death,
as caused by Medea; 3) the story of
Euripides borrowing the *Medea* from
Neophron and two bits of criticism
on the play.   Part of 2) appears also
in a different order in a scholion on
Aristophanes's *Knights* 1318 Dind.

1. ἐπαγόμενος : 'introducing'.
Tawdry for ἄγων.   1–2. ἐγγυᾶται
πρὸς γάμον : the last two words are
tautological; and the phrase is grossly
inaccurate (for Jason and the princess
are already married when the play
opens), unless the writer is using a
bit of frippery for the plain γαμεῖ.
2. Γλαύκην : the name that is gener-

ally given by the later mythologists to
Creon's daughter, though some called
her Creusa.   Euripides gives her no
name.   3. φυγαδεύεσθαι : =the plain
classical Greek φεύγειν.   4. παραι-
τησαμένη : viz. from Creon. — πρὸς
μίαν ἡμέραν : for the classical μίαν
ἡμέραν. — καὶ τυχοῦσα : tautological
after παραιτησαμένη.   Cp. πρὸς γάμον
above.   4–5. μισθὸν τῆς χάριτος :
'in payment for the favour' (= ἀντὶ
τῆς χάριτος).   Utterly false, so far
as the present play is concerned.
6. διαφθείρεται : 'is destroyed';
put instead of ἀπόλλυται 'perishes',
because that word is used in the next
sentence.   7. τοὺς ἑαυτῆς παῖδας :
simply 'her children'.   The words
ἀνήρ, γυνή, and παῖς in the sense of
'husband', 'wife', and 'son' or
'daughter' have that special meaning
marked, when necessary, by the addi-
tion of the genitive of the proper re-
flexive.   Instead of the reflexive post-
classical Greek used also ἴδιος 'own'.

κτείνασα ἐπὶ ἄρματος δρακόντων πτερωτῶν ὁ παρ' Ἡλίου ἔλαβεν
ἔποχος γενομένη ἀποδιδράσκει εἰς Ἀθήνας κἀκεῖ Αἰγεῖ τῷ Πανδίονος
γαμεῖται.   10

Φερεκύδης δὲ καὶ Σιμωνίδης φασὶν ὡς ἡ Μήδεια ἀνεψήσασα τὸν
Ἰάσονα νέον ποιήσειε. περὶ δὲ τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ Αἴσονος ὁ τοὺς
Νόστους ποιήσας φησὶν οὕτως ·

> αὐτίκα δ' Αἴσονα θῆκε φίλον κόρον ἡβώοντα
> γῆρας ἀποξύσασα ἰδυίῃσι πραπίδεσσι
> φάρμακα πόλλ' ἕψουσ' ἐπὶ χρυσείοισι λέβησιν.

8. δρακόντων πτερωτῶν : *i.e.*
drawn by them. The winged ser-
pents seem to have been a stage-
tradition from Euripides's time. Cp.
on v. 1294 and Introd., p. 60. 9. ἔπο-
χος γενομένη : tawdry for ἐπιβᾶσα. —
ἀποδιδράσκει : ἀποφεύγει is the proper
word. She escapes not by stealth but
by speed. — εἰς Ἀθήνας : = Ἀθήναζε.
10. γαμεῖται : that she is to marry
Aegeus is not said in the play. —
The inaccuracies of this sketch of the
story of Medea, considered as an out-
line of the play, are such as to justify
the suspicion that it (together with
what follows immediately) may be an
extract from some manual of mythol-
ogy and not originally intended as an
argument to Euripides's tragedy.

11. Φερεκύδης : a writer of the
middle of the fifth century B.C., who
seems to have been born in Leros
and to have spent a large part of
his life at Athens. He composed a
genealogical work in ten books, in
a portion of which he treated of the
Argonauts. — Σιμωνίδης : the famous
poet of Ceos (556–467 B.C.). He
seems to have touched more than

once in his poems on the legend
of the Argonauts. — Both Pherecydes
and Simonides seem to have referred
to a form of the legend of Jason and
Medea in which they lived together
to old age. 11–12. ἀνεψήσασα νέον
ποιήσειε : 'boiled him back and made
him young', 'boiled him back to
youth'; = ἀνηβᾶν ποιήσειεν ἑψήσασα.
12. αὐτοῦ : sc. Ἰάσονος. 12–13. ὁ
τοὺς Νόστους ποιήσας : *i.e.* the poet
of the Νόστοι, whoever he was, the
writer not wishing to commit himself
to a particular name. The Νόστοι
or 'Returns' (of the heroes from
Troy) was an epic poem ascribed to
one Hagias of Troezen. It supple-
mented, so to say, the *Odyssey*, which
is itself a great Νόστος of Odysseus
and contains part of the Νόστος of
Menelaus. How the reference to
Aeson was brought in we do not know.
—The verses would seem to imply
that Medea boiled together various
ingredients (presumably herbs), that
Aeson was then smeared with the
compound and, when it had been
scraped off, was found to have re-
gained his youth.

Αἰσχύλος δὲ ἐν ταῖς Τροφοῖς ἱστορεῖ ὅτι καὶ τὰς Διονύσου τροφοὺς
μετὰ τῶν ἀνδρῶν αὐτῶν ἀνεψήσασα ἐνεοποίησε. Στάφυλος δέ φησι 15
τὸν Ἰάσονα τρόπον τινὰ ὑπὸ τῆς Μηδείας ἀναιρεθῆναι· ἐγκελεύσασθαι
γὰρ αὐτὴν οὕτως ὑπὸ τῇ πρύμνῃ τῆς Ἀργοῦς κατακοιμηθῆναι, μελ-
λούσης τῆς νεὼς διαλύεσθαι ὑπὸ τοῦ χρόνου· ἐπιπεσούσης οὖν τῆς
πρύμνης τῷ Ἰάσονι τελευτῆσαι αὐτόν.

Τὸ δρᾶμα δοκεῖ ὑποβαλέσθαι παρὰ Νεόφρονος διασκευάσας, ὡς 20
Δικαίαρχος γ̄ Ἑλλάδος Βίου καὶ Ἀριστοτέλης ἐν Ὑπομνήμασι.
μέμφονται δὲ αὐτῷ τὸ μὴ πεφυλαχέναι τὴν ὑπόκρισιν τὴν Μήδειαν,
ἀλλὰ προπεσεῖν εἰς δάκρυα ὅτε ἐπεβούλευσεν Ἰάσονι καὶ τῇ γυ-
ναικί. ἐπαινεῖται δὲ ἡ εἰσβολὴ διὰ τὸ παθητικῶς ἄγαν ἔχειν καὶ ἡ

14. **Τροφοῖς**: a lost play of Aes-
chylus of the contents of which we
know really nothing save what we
are told here. 15. **Στάφυλος**: of
Naucratis, in Egypt, author of a
work περὶ Θετταλῶν. 17. **οὕτως**:
anticipating the clause μελλούσης
. . . χρόνου. — Here again we seem
to find Jason and Medea living to-
gether to old age. On this story
about Neophron see Introduction,
p. 41 ff.

20. **ὑποβαλέσθαι**: 'to have adopt-
ed', a metaphor from a woman putting
to her breast a child not her own.
The author as mother is a figure that
appears in Aristophanes (*Clouds* 530).
21. **Δικαίαρχος γ̄ Ἑλλάδος Βίου**:
i.e. Δ. ἐν τῷ τρίτῳ (sc. βιβλίῳ) 'E. B.
Dicaearchus of Messene in Sicily, a
pupil of Aristotle, was the author of
a work in three books dealing with
Greek civilisation (Ἑλλάδος βίος)
from the Golden Age to Alexander.
It appears to have been the first his-
torical work of its kind. It naturally
included literature. — **Ὑπομνήμασι**:

'Notes' (*Commentariis*), a lost work
to be assigned, it would seem, rather
to Aristotle's pupil Theophrastus.
22. **μέμφονται**: not Aristotle and Di-
caearchus but certain would-be critics.
The criticism (which refers primarily
to vv. 899–905) is crude and unjust.
This criticism, it has been noted, is
much like that of Aristotle where, in
the *Poetics* (chap. 15 = 1454 a), he
insists that a dramatic character be
consistent and, though admitting the
right of the poet to draw a 'consist-
ently inconsistent' (ὁμαλῶς ἀνώ-
μαλον) character, unjustly condemns
the change of attitude toward her
death of Euripides's Iphigenia at
Aulis. Aristotle as a critic of litera-
ture has enjoyed more favour than he
ever deserved. — **πεφυλαχέναι τὴν
ὑπόκρισιν**: *i.e.* played her part con-
sistently. 24. **ἐπαινεῖται**: presum-
ably by the same critics. — **εἰσβολή**:
technical for the first verse, as is
shewn by the context. — **παθητικῶς
ἄγαν ἔχειν**: 'its highly emotional
character'.

ἐπεξεργασία μηδ᾿ ἐν νάπαισι καὶ τὰ ἑξῆς · ὅπερ ἀγνοήσας 25
Τιμαχίδας τῷ ὑστέρῳ φησὶ πρώτῳ κεχρῆσθαι, ὡς Ὅμηρος ·
    εἵματα τ᾿ ἀμφιέσασα θυώδεα καὶ λούσασα.

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΦΑΝΟΥΣ ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΙΚΟΥ ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ

Μήδεια διὰ τὴν πρὸς Ἰάσονα ἔχθραν τῷ ἐκεῖνον γεγαμηκέναι
τὴν Κρέοντος θυγατέρα ἀπέκτεινε μὲν ταύτην καὶ Κρέοντα καὶ τοὺς

25. ἐπεξεργασία : 'subsequent working out', 'elaboration' (of the thought expressed in the first verse). The following words of the play as far as Πελίᾳ μετῆλθον seem to be included in the καὶ τὰ ἑξῆς (*et cetera*). — ὅπερ : = ὅ. The reference is to the fact referred to in the last sentence — τὸ παθητικῶς ἄγαν ἔχειν καὶ τὴν εἰσβολὴν καὶ τὴν ἐπεξεργασίαν. — ἀγνοήσας : 'failing to understand'. **26. Τιμαχίδας :** of Rhodes. Athenaeus refers to him several times, naming his Γλῶσσαι (explanations of terms used in the Classic Greek writers) and his Δεῖπνα. This bit of criticism may have been in the latter book, but we do not know — any more than we know what was the date of Timachidas. — τῷ ὑστέρῳ πρώτῳ κεχρῆσθαι : 'that he (Euripides) put the cart before the horse', *i.e.* used the figure πρωθύστερον. The essence of that form of expression seems to consist (though Timachidas and his kind probably did not so understand it) in visualising past events and describing first what is in the foreground of the picture. It is frequent in Homer. **27. εἵματα κτέ. :** said of Calypso in ε 264.

Aristophanes of Byzantium or Aristophanes the Grammarian (γραμματικός — 'scholar' would perhaps be a better rendering) was the successor of Apollonius Rhodius (the author of the *Argonautica*) and the predecessor of the great Homeric critic Aristarchus as curator of the Alexandrian Library. He is said to have died at the age of seventy-seven in 185 B.C. He seems to have been the first editor of the works of the Great Tragedians, as preserved at Alexandria. His prefaces ('Υποθέσεις) to several plays have come down to us in various degrees of completeness. They contained besides the outline of the play (the ὑπόθεσις proper) such information as is given in the present one about the use or non-use of the same subject by the other two great tragedians, Aeschyles and Sophocles; about the scene of the action; about the make-up of the chorus; about the first speaker (ὁ προλογίζων or ἡ προλογίζουσα); about the date, the other contestant in the first three places, and the other plays of the three tetralogies. The last item of information — the date, etc. — was

ἰδίους υἱούς, ἐχωρίσθη δὲ Ἰάσονος Αἰγεῖ συνοικήσουσα. παρ' οὐδε-
τέρῳ κεῖται ἡ μυθοποιία. ἡ μὲν σκηνὴ τοῦ δράματος ὑπόκειται ἐν
Κορίνθῳ, ὁ δὲ χορὸς συνέστηκεν ἐκ γυναικῶν πολιτίδων. προλογίζει 5
δὲ τροφὸς Μηδείας. ἐδιδάχθη ἐπὶ Πυθοδώρου ἄρχοντος ὀλυμπιά-
δος π̅ζ̅ ἔτει ᾱ. πρῶτος Εὐφορίων, δεύτερος Σοφοκλῆς, τρίτος

derived from Aristotle's work Διδα-
σκαλίαι ('Annals of the Stage,' as
we might term it), which was based
on the official records of the dramatic
contests preserved at Athens and is
now, unhappily, lost. (See Haigh,
The Attic Theatre,[2] pp. 60–65.) One
of Aristophanes's Ὑποθέσεις might
also contain remarks at the end about
noteworthy points in the play in
question and bits of aesthetic criti-
cism. In the present case such re-
marks were either never added or
have been lost.

3. **ἰδίους**: see above on τοὺς
ἐαυτῆς παῖδας. — **Αἰγεῖ συνοικήσου-
σα**: the appropriate language for
marriage, though marriage with
Aegeus is not mentioned in the play.
See above on the first argument (at
the end). 3–4. **οὐδετέρῳ**: sc. τῶν
ἄλλων τραγικῶν. 4. **κεῖται**: 'is laid
up', 'is to be found', probably with
reference to the works of the Tra-
gedians as preserved at Alexandria.
— **ἡ μυθοποιία**: 'the legend-making'
in the sense of 'the use of this story
as a plot'. — **ἡ σκηνὴ τοῦ δράματος**:
'the background of the action is
supposed to be'. Technical language
having reference to the conditions of
the theatre, in which the background
represented some feature of a given
locality, as in this play the front of

the house of Jason and Medea at
Corinth. Our phrase "the scene is
laid" is a very rough rendering of
the Greek. 5. **γυναικῶν πολιτίδων**:
'citizenesses'. γυνὴ πολῖτις is the
fem. to ἀνὴρ πολίτης 'citizen'. —
προλογίζει: 'delivers the opening
speech', a technical term. 6. **τροφός**:
that she was Medea's nurse may be
a stage tradition that goes back to
Euripides. — **ἐδιδάχθη** : *docta est*
(*fabula*), '(the play) was brought
out'. In the old days the poet was
said to teach (διδάσκειν) the play to
his actors and chorus, because he
really did drill them in their parts.
Hence 'to teach an action' (δρᾶμα
διδάσκειν) came to mean to bring
out a play. The date is Ol. 87, 1,
i.e. 432 B.C. (midsummer) to 431 B.C.
(midsummer). As tragedies were
brought out in the spring, the date
of the *Medea* would be 431 B.C.
7. **πρῶτος**: sc. ἐγένετο ἐν τῷ ἀγῶνι
('the contest'). — **Εὐφορίων**: the
plays with which Euphorion (Aeschy-
lus's son) achieved this success may
have been named in the original text
of Aristophanes, as also Sophocles's
plays at this contest. It has been
thought, with considerable probability,
that they were plays of his great father.
If that be so, we have here an epit-
ome of the relative fame in their day

Εὐριπίδης Μηδείᾳ, Φιλοκτήτῃ, Δίκτυι, Θερισταῖς σατύροις. οὐ σώζεται.

and generation of the three great Tragedians. — Σοφοκλῆς: Sophocles later paid Euripides the great compliment of imitating the *Medea*. (See Introd. pp. 29 f. and 59.)

8. Μηδείᾳ κτλ.: the dative marks the instrument with which Euripides τρίτος ἐγένετο 'gained third place'. — Of the other plays of the tetralogy we know the plot of the *Philoctetes* from Dio Chrysostomus (*or*. 52), though but meagre fragments have come down to us. Of the *Dictys* too we have but fragments. The Θερισταί or 'Reap-ers' was lost in Aristophanes's time; for the words οὐ σώζεται apply to it and indicate that it was not among the official copies of the plays of the Tragedians preserved at Alexandria. — There was no connection of plot between the plays of this tetralogy. Sophocles is said to have been the first to depart from the Aeschylean form of tetralogy, or plays closely connected in subject, of which the *Agamemnon*, *Choëphoroe* and *Eumenides* present an example (minus the satyr play).

ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΡΑΜΑΤΟΣ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ	ΚΡΕΩΝ
ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ	ΙΑΣΩΝ
ΠΑΙΔΕΣ ΜΗΔΕΙΑΣ	ΑΙΓΕΥΣ
ΧΟΡΟΣ ΓΥΝΑΙΚΩΝ	ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ
ΜΗΔΕΙΑ	

The *dramatis personae* are arranged in the above list, for this edition, on the basis of the order in which the persons appear in the play. For the distribution of the parts among the three actors and for the children's parts see Introduction, p. 63.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

Εἴθ᾽ ὤφελ᾽ Ἀργοῦς μὴ διαπτάσθαι σκάφος,
Κόλχων ἐς αἶαν, κυανέας Συμπληγάδας,

Vv. 1–48. This opening speech (called in Euripides's time πρόλογος) is delivered by an old woman slave, who has evidently come with Medea from Aea and is traditionally termed Medea's nurse (τροφός). The old woman issues from the door of Medea's house, the front of which is represented by the theatrical scenery in the background, and, standing at the doorway (πρὸς πύλαισι v. 50), gives vent to her emotions. Whatever might seem unnatural in such conduct she is made to explain presently in her conversation with the man slave (vv. 56–58). The speech into which she now breaks forth is made up, strictly speaking, of but two sentences, a very long one (vv. 1–45) and a short one introducing the persons that appear next upon the scene (vv. 46–48). In the long sentence vv. 1–15 deal with past events and circumstances; vv. 17–36 with present events and circumstances; vv. 37–45 with the speaker's fears for the future.

From vv. 37–45 we — and so too the ancient audience — gain but vague information about the actual further course of the play. The natural inference from these verses would be that Medea is to kill her children or else Jason and his bride, — probably that she is to commit both crimes and for the latter meet with heavy punishment. — The dramatic excellence of this πρόλογος, harsh and crabbed as it is in several details, seems to have impressed so competent an ancient judge as Sophocles quite as much as it has modern critics. The πρόλογος of Sophocles's *Trachinians* would probably not be what it is, were it not for the πρόλογος of the *Medea*. (See *Transactions of the Am. Philological Assoc.*, 1902, p. 15 ff.)

1. **Εἴθ᾽ ὤφελε μὴ διαπτάσθαι**: = εἴθε μὴ διέπτατο. HA. 871 a, G. 1513, GMT. 734. — **Ἀργοῦς σκάφος**: poetical for Ἀργώ; cp. v. 1335 and *I.T.* 1345 Ἑλλάδος νεὼς σκάφος. — **διαπτάσθαι**: the preposition

82

μηδ' ἐν νάπαισι Πηλίου πεσεῖν ποτε
τμηθεῖσα πεύκη μηδ' ἐρετμῶσαι χέρας

has the force of 'between' in this case; but in *Suppl.* 860 διέπτατο means 'flew through'. Cp. with the present passage v. 432 διδύμας ὁρίσασα Πόντου πέτρας. The ship is likened to a bird; her oars are her wings. See on v. 3 f. and cp. *I.T.* 1345 f. 2. **Κόλχων ἐς αἶαν**: these words are out of their logical position. Elsewhere, too, we find the second of two trimeters thus arranged, *e.g.* Soph. *Ant.* 173 f. ἐγὼ κράτη δὴ πάντα καὶ θρόνους ἔχω, | γένους κατ' ἀγχιστεῖα, τῶν ὀλωλότων (τοῖν ὀλωλότοιν?), 'it is I that have all the royal powers, according to the right of next of kin, of them that are dead'. The words in vv. 1–2 are an interlacing (σύγχυσις) of εἴθ' ὤφελε μὴ διαπτάσθαι κυανέας Συμπληγάδας Ἀργοῦς σκάφος Κόλχων ἐς αἶαν. — **κυανέας Συμπληγάδας**: the shores, or a part of the shores, of the narrow strait of the Bosporus gave rise to the myth of the 'blue Clashers' (συμπληγάδες, sc. πέτραι). Anyone that in sailing has seen the distant blue shores of a rocky channel seem to open before him, as he approaches it, and close behind him, after he has passed it, will readily understand how the early Greek voyagers to the Black Sea came to invent the story of the blue rocks that came together

and crushed ships between them. Pliny (following Eratosthenes : see Schol. on v. 2) gives practically the same explanation of the myth of the Symplegades. " Quoniam ", says he (*Nat. Hist.* 4. 13), " parvo discretae intervallo ex adverso introeuntibus geminae cernebantur paulumque deflexa acie coeuntium speciem praebebant ". The Symplegades had nothing to do with the Homeric Πλαγκταί. (See Introd. p. 36 f.) Pindar (*Pyth.* 4. 209) calls the Symplegades σύνδρομοι πέτραι. Other references to the Symplegades in Euripides are, besides v. 1263 in the present play, *Androm.* 794 (Ποντιᾶν Συμπληγάδων), *Androm.* 864 (κυανέας Ἀκτάς), *I.T.* 124 f. (Πόντου δισσὰς συγχωρούσας | πέτρας Εὐξείνου), *I.T.* 241 (κυανέαν Συμπληγάδα), *I.T.* 421 (Πέτρας τὰς Συνδρομάδας).

3 f. **πεσεῖν τμηθεῖσα**: 'been felled'. — **μηδ' ἐρετμῶσαι** : = καὶ ἐρετμῶσαι. The μηδ' is due, by a familiar Greek idiom (cp., for instance, Dem. *de cor.* 2), to the preceding negative. The connection of thought here seems to have been persistently misunderstood. The speaker wishes that the Argo had not flown between the Symplegades so as to reach Colchis, nay more, that the fir had never

ἀνδρῶν ἀριστ⟨έ⟩ων οἳ τὸ πάγχρυσον δέρος   5
Πελίᾳ μετῆλθον · οὐ γὰρ ἂν δέσποιν' ἐμὴ

been felled on Pelion to put the oars that were the Argo's wings in the hands of her crew. Catulle Mendès renders the thought rightly thus (*Médée*, Acte I): Oh! sur le Pélion que n'est-il arbre encor, | Intact de la cognée et fier de l'or des gommes, | Le pin qui fut la rame aux mains des jeunes hommes! For Ennius's translation, see Introduction, p. 50. For oars as the wings of ships, see Hom. λ 125 ἐνήρε' ἐρετμά, τά τε πτερὰ νηυσὶ πέλονται. — ἐρετμῶσαι: -όω verbs derived from substantives denote commonly either (1) the making the object of the verbs that which is indicated by the noun whence the verb is derived; or (2) the providing the object of the verb with that which is signified by the noun whence the verb is derived; thus ἐρετμός ' oar ', ἐρετμοῦν ' equip with oars ', ' oar ' (*remis instruere*). The verb ἐρετμοῦν occurs only here. Seneca *Agam.* 425 speaks of ad militares remus aptatus manus.

5 f. ἀνδρῶν ἀριστ⟨έ⟩ων: common circumlocution = ἀριστέων. Cp. ἄνδρες πολῖται and the like. — οἳ ... μετῆλθον: a restrictive and essential relative clause and therefore not to be set off by a comma. In prose we should have had with the antecedent an article to indicate this relation ; thus : τῶν ἀριστέων οἳ ' the chieftains that '. — τὸ πάγχρυσον δέρος : τὸ seems to be more than a mere article. The sense is *aureum illud vellus*. — Πελίᾳ: the dative of advantage, HA. 767, G. 1165 (or, better, here of service), implies that Pelias had ordered the quest —as he had. So Ennius understood (imperio regis Peliae). Cp. Apollonius Rhodius *Argon.* 1. 3 ἐφημοσύνῃ Πελίαο. — Thus far we have had a vain wish — a wish for what is impossible, because the conditions belong to the irrevocable past. From οὐ γάρ through verse 16 is told what would not have happened in the past nor be happening in the present, could the previous wish be fulfilled. Thus in the words οὐ γὰρ ἄν to νοσεῖ τὰ φίλτατα (v. 16) the story of Medea is told rhetorically from the time when she left her father's house to the time represented by the speaker. Strike out οὐ γὰρ ἄν (v. 6) and οὐδ' ἄν (v. 9), and you have the plain story. — We have something similar to this in Soph. *El.* 1505-7 χρῆν δ' εὐθὺς εἶναι τήνδε τοῖς πᾶσιν δίκην | ὅστις πέρα πράσσειν γε τῶν νόμων θέλει | κτείνειν · τὸ γὰρ πανοῦργον οὐκ ἂν ἦν πολύ ('it ought to be right straightway for everybody to kill whoever will transgress the

Μήδεια πύργους γῆς ἔπλευσ' Ἰωλκίας
ἔρωτι θυμὸν ἐκπλαγεῖσ' Ἰάσονος
οὐδ' ἂν κτανεῖν πείσασα Πελιάδας κόρας
πατέρα κατῷκει τήνδε γῆν Κορινθίαν   10
ξὺν ἀνδρὶ καὶ τέκνοισιν ἀνδάνουσα μὲν
φυγῇ πολιτῶν ὧν ἀφίκετο χθόνα

laws; in that case there would be little crime'), where χρῆν εἶναι τήνδε δίκην is a tamer εἴθ' ὤφελ' εἶναι ἥδε δίκη. — 6. γάρ: 'in that case' (εἰ μὴ διέπτατο Ἀργοῦς σκάφος κτέ.). The wish (of vv. 1–6) and its conclusion (οὐ γὰρ ἂν κτέ.) are the raw material of an unreal conditional period.

7. πύργους γῆς Ἰωλκίας: poetical acc. of goal. HA. 722, G. 1065. The phrase is = Ἰωλκόν. πύργους is = τεῖχος. The towers, that is, are those of the city wall. The words call up the picture of the city as seen from the sea.— 8. ἔρωτι θυμὸν ἐκπλαγεῖσ': = ἐρασθεῖσα. The particip. is causal. θυμόν is acc. of extent (of application). — 9 f. κτανεῖν: = ἀποκτεῖναι. See Hogue, *Irregular Verbs of Attic Prose*, s.v. κτείνω. — Πελιάδας κόρας πατέρα: = Πελίαν τὰς ἑαυτοῦ κόρας. The adj. Πελιάδας, though it agrees only with κόρας, seems to extend its force over the closely related and juxtaposed terms κόρας and πατέρα. The verses were of course pronounced closely together, so that the effect of the contrasted and juxtaposed words

would have been fully felt. — κατῷκει: past unreal, not present unreal. This is evident, if we reduce the passage to a plain positive narrative as above. With κατῷκει must be taken very closely in thought the contrasted participles ἀνδάνουσα (11) and ξυμφέρουσ' (13). With κατῷκει . . . Ἰάσονι is contrasted νῦν . . . τὰ φίλτατα (16). The μέν that anticipates the δέ in νῦν δ' is postponed to verse 11. — τήνδε γῆν Κορινθίαν: artistically informing the audience where the action of the piece is laid. — 11. ἀνδάνουσα μέν: the particle does double duty. On the one hand it helps to contrast the sentence κατῷκει . . . Ἰάσονι with νῦν δ' . . . φίλτατα (16); on the other hand it helps to contrast ἀνδάνουσα with ξυμφέρουσ' (13). Theoretically we should have a μέν after κατῷκει (10) and a τε after ἀνδάνουσα here. — 12. A crabbed arrangement of the words ὧν φυγῇ πολιτῶν ἀφίκετο χθόνα, which again are = τοῖς πολίταις ὧν φυγῇ ἀφίκετο χθόνα. Translate 'what citizens she came to the land of by flight'. The jux-

αὐτῷ τε πάντα ξυμφέρουσ᾽ Ἰάσονι —
ἥπερ μεγίστη γίγνεται σωτηρία,
ὅταν γυνὴ πρὸς ἄνδρα μὴ διχοστατῇ —,
νῦν δ᾽ ἐχθρὰ πάντα, καὶ νοσεῖ τὰ φίλτατα·
προδοὺς γὰρ αὐτοῦ τέκνα δεσπότιν τ᾽ ἐμὴν
λέκτροις Ἰάσων βασιλικοῖς εὐνάζεται

15

taposition of φυγῇ and πολιτῶν suggests the contrast between the exile (φυγάς) and the natives (πολῖται). Cp. μητρυιὰν τέκνοις Alc. 305. χθόνα is poetic acc. of the goal.

13. αὐτῷ: heightening the contrast between her husband and the Corinthians. — τε: setting off a second and contrasted division of the μέν clause, the main contrast being between the μέν clause (compound) and the δέ clause. — πάντα: adverbial acc. of extent or of inner object. G. 1051, 1054. — ξυμφέρουσ᾽: understand (though the ellipsis is hardly felt) τὸν ξυγόν 'the yoke' (of wedlock); cp. v. 241 f. Tr. 'in perfect accord with'. — 14. ἥπερ: attracted by the predicate subst. σωτηρία from the logical ὅπερ (= τὸ πάντα ξυμφέρειν ἀνδρί, as is explained in a slightly different form in verse 15). HA. 631. — 15. In apposition to ἥπερ and added for perspicuity, though the thought could quite easily have been supplied. See the last note. The thought might (barring metre) have been expressed

by γυναῖκα ἀνδρὶ πάντα συμφέρειν. — μὴ διχοστατεῖν is the negative equivalent of πάντα ξυμφέρειν. — 16. Though this is logically part of the long clause begun in verse 6, it is not influenced in form by the unreal construction begun in that verse. The second half of a dependent compound sentence in Greek has a marked tendency to revert to the independent form. — ἐχθρὰ πάντα (sc. ἐστι) is contrasted with ἀνδάνουσα φυγῇ . . . χθόνα. Everything is hostile to Medea at Corinth, since she is opposed to the king (as we presently learn). — νοσεῖ (= στασιάζει: the νόσος of state and family is στάσις) τὰ φίλτατα means πρὸς ἄνδρα διχοστατῇ. The νόσος τῶν φιλτάτων is explained in verses 17 ff. — 17. προδούς: 'abandoning'. — αὐτοῦ . . . ἐμήν: a false antithesis. Note the chiasmus. — 18. Ἰάσων: contrasted in thought (though there is no μέν with it) with Μήδεια in v. 20. So too λέκτροις βασιλικοῖς εὐνάζεται seems to be contrasted with κεῖται δ᾽ ἄσιτος κτέ. (v. 24). — λέκτροις: local dative (= ἐν λέκτροις).

γήμας Κρέοντος παῖδ' ὃς αἰσυμνᾷ χθονός ᾿
Μήδεια δ' — ἡ δύστηνος — ἠτιμασμένη   20
βοᾷ μὲν ὅρκους ἀνακαλεῖ τε δεξιάς,
πίστιν μεγίστην, καὶ θεοὺς μαρτύρεται
οἵας ἀμοιβῆς ἐξ Ἰάσονος κυρεῖ,
κεῖται δ' ἄσιτος σῶμ', ὑφεῖσ' ἀλγηδόσι,
τὸν πάντα συντήκουσα δακρύοις χρόνον   25

19. Explanation of the last verse. The participle denotes manner. — αἰσυμνᾷ χθονός: for the genitive see HA. 741, G. 1109. αἰσυμνᾶν seems to be dialectic for ἄρχειν. For example, the αἰσιμῆνται (so spelt in inscriptions) at Megara answered to the ἄρχοντες at Athens. — 20. ἡ δύστηνος: an ejaculation of the speaker. The article is regular in such cases. — ἠτιμασμένη: this strikes the key-note of the play. So the μῆνις of Achilles springs from the slight put upon him by Agamemnon (A 412 ὅ τ' ἄριστον Ἀχαιῶν οὐδὲν ἔτεισεν); so the tragedy of the younger Cyrus began when he went away ἀτιμασθείς (Xen. Anab. I. I. 4). — 21 f. βοᾷ, ἀνακαλεῖ and μαρτύρεται are close synonyms, 'cries upon', 'calls up', 'calls to witness'. — ὅρκους: are the words, δεξιάς the gestures, θεούς the higher powers involved in the oaths which Jason took to Medea only to break. — μέν does double duty; it is contrasted with δ' in v. 24, and it is balanced with the τε and καί in

the subdivisions of its own clause. — 23. Indirect exclamation. Medea cries Οἵας ἀμοιβῆς ἐξ Ἰάσονος κυρῶ. — κυρεῖ: = τυγχάνει. — 24 ff. Euripides does not write quite clearly here. He means his speaker to say that Medea sometimes does what is mentioned in vv. 21–23, at other times, by uttering love-sick lamentations (vv. 31–35), she breaks the mute and tearful despondency which, fasting, she has for the most part maintained since the discovery of her husband's faithlessness in marrying the princess. If we had εὐθὺς μὲν βοᾷ in v. 21 and νῦν δὲ κεῖται in v. 24 the sense would be much clearer. Vv. 24–35 read as though Euripides had added them on second thought, which would explain the lack of clearness. — 24. σῶμ': object of συντήκουσα (25). — ὑφεῖσ': sc. αὐτό, i.e. τὸ σῶμα τοῖς ἀλγηδόσι. For this parenthetical use of the particip. cp. Soph. O.T. 57, 795. — ἀλγη-δόσι: the pains of fasting seem to. be meant. 25. τὸν πάντα χρόνον

ἐπεὶ πρὸς ἀνδρὸς ᾔσθετ᾽ ἠδικημένη,
οὔτ᾽ ὄμμ᾽ ἐπαίρουσ᾽ οὔτ᾽ ἀπαλλάσσουσα γῆς
πρόσωπον, ὡς δὲ πέτρος ἢ θαλάσσιος
κλύδων ἀκούει, νουθετουμένη, φίλων —
ἢν μή ποτε στρέψασα πάλλευκον δέρην   30
αὐτὴ πρὸς αὑτὴν πατέρ᾽ ἀποιμώζῃ φίλον
καὶ γαῖαν οἴκους θ᾽ οὓς προδοῦσ᾽ ἀφίκετο

acc. of extent (of time) and anticipating ἐπεί (26). Tr. 'ever (since)'. — δακρύοις: instrumental with συντήκουσα. Medea is "dissolved in tears".

27 f. οὔτ᾽ ὄμμ᾽ . . . πρόσωπον: explaining, tautologically, the posture in which Medea κεῖται ἄσιτος. 28 f. πέτρος ἢ θαλάσσιος κλύδων: for the metaphor of the rock cp. Virg. *Aen.* 6. 469 (of Dido in Hades) illa solo fixos oculos aversa tenebat | nec magis incepto vultum sermone movetur | quam si dura silex aut stet Marpesia cautes. Cp. also v. 1279 and, for rock and sea together again as symbols of the unfeeling, *Androm.* 537 f. τί με προσπίτνεις, ἀλίαν πέτραν | ἢ κῦμα λιταῖς ὡς ἱκετεύων; . Add *Hipp.* 304 f. (the nurse to Phaedra) πρὸς τάδ᾽ αὐθαδεστέρα ('more stubborn') | γίγνου θαλάσσης. — νουθετουμένη: = ὅταν νουθετῆται. — With vv. 21–29 cp. Soph. *Ai.* 317–326, which Euripides may well have had in mind here. 30. ἢν μή ποτε: 'unless at some time', 'unless now and again',

modo ne aliquando; = πλὴν ὅταν. — στρέψασα . . . δέρην: she has been looking down; here she is described as turning indeed her face towards her attendants, but speaking to herself. — πάλλευκον: poetical for λευκόν, as πάγχρυσον in v. 5 for χρυσοῦν. The epithet is picturesque and hints at Medea's beauty. We should say 'snowy'. 31. ἀποιμώζῃ: 'bitterly bewails' (the loss of). — φίλον: suggesting Medea's own language, — indeed from here to the end of v. 35 we have an informal indirect version of Medea's laments. We can even restore the words as the narrator would imagine them, thus: Ὦ πάτερ φίλε καὶ γαῖα οἴκοί θ᾽ οὓς προδοῦσ᾽ ἀφικόμην μετ᾽ ἀνδρὸς ὅς με νῦν ἀτιμάσας ἔχει, ἔγνωκα δ᾽ — ἡ τάλαινα — ξυμφορᾶς ὑπο οἷον . . . χθονός. 32. οὓς though referring in form only to οἴκους may be referred in thought to the preceding two nouns. — ἀφίκετο: seemingly in the simple sense of 'came away'.

μετ' ἀνδρὸς ὅς σφε νῦν ἀτιμάσας ἔχει,
ἔγνωκε δ' — ἡ τάλαινα — συμφορᾶς ὕπο
οἷον πατρῴας μὴ ἀπολείπεσθαι χθονός·   35
στυγεῖ δὲ παῖδας οὐδ' ὁρῶσ' εὐφραίνεται,
δέδοικα δ' αὐτὴν μή τι βουλεύσῃ νέον —
βαρεῖα γὰρ φρήν, οὐδ' ἀνέξεται κακῶς
πάσχουσ', ἐγῷδα, τῇδε —, δειμαίνω δέ νιν
μὴ θηκτὸν ὤσῃ φάσγανον δι' ἥπατος,   40

33. ἀνδρὸς ὅς: 'a man that'; the rel. clause is essential. — ἀτιμάσας ἔχει: = ἠτίμακε. This analytical perf. is noticeably common in Sophocles. In such a verb as ἵστημι it is the only possible form for the transitive perf. act. 34. ἡ τάλαινα: apparently informal quotation of Medea's self-commiseration. See above. — ὕπο personifies ξυμφορᾶς, 'under stress of circumstance', 'moved by misfortune'. 35. οἷον: sc. ἐστι. οἷον is = ὡς ἀγαθόν. The sentence is an ind. exclamation. — μὴ ἀπολείπεσθαι: = στέρεσθαι. The negative expression is more emphatic than the positive ἔχειν would be. The pres. is a practical perf. 36. στυγεῖ: 'loathes', with special reference to expression of face. The same thought is expressed negatively (a common Greek practice) in the latter half of the verse. 37. αὐτήν: proleptic object of δέδοικα. — βουλεύσῃ: we might expect ποιήσῃ (cp. v. 40), but the speaker goes a step further back. We under-

stand, of course, αὐτοῖς, i.e. τοῖς παισί. — νέον: common euphemism for κακόν. With this verse cp. Soph. *Ai.* 326 (of the despondent Ajax) καὶ δῆλός ἐστιν ὥς τι δρασείων ('meaning to do') κακόν. 38–9. βαρεῖα γὰρ . . . τῇδε: giving the reason for the fear expressed in v. 37. — βαρεῖα γάρ: sc. αὐτῇ ἐστι. — ἐγῷδα: = ἐγὼ οἶδα. — τῇδε: = ὧδε. Construe with ἀνέξεται κακῶς πάσχουσ'. Tr.: 'for she has a savage temper, nor will brook ill-treatment, I'm sure of it, in this way' (*i.e.* as she is doing, so tamely). 39 f. The words δειμαίνω δέ νιν resume v. 37 after the parenthesis and are = δέδοικα δ' αὐτήν: the δέ after δειμαίνω is resumptive (= *autem*, 'I say'). So μὴ θηκτὸν . . . ἥπατος resumes, and also defines, μή τι . . . νέον. — δι' ἥπατος: sc. αὐτῶν, *i.e.* τῶν παίδων. The whole context shews that this is the meaning. The graceful interlocking of the words in v. 40 should be noted.

ἦ, καὶ τύραννον τόν τε γήμαντα κτάνῃ 42
σιγῇ δόμους ἐσβᾶσ' ἵν' ἔστρωται λέχος 41
κἄπειτα μείζω συμφορὰν λάβῃ τινά· 43
δεινὴ γάρ· οὔ τοι ῥαδίως γε συμβαλὼν
ἔχθραν τις αὐτῇ καλλίνικον ᾄσεται. 45
ἀλλ' οἵδε παῖδες ἐκ τρόχων πεπαυμένοι
στείχουσι μητρὸς οὐδὲν ἐννοούμενοι
κακῶν· νέα γὰρ φροντὶς οὐκ ἀλγεῖν φιλεῖ.

42. ἦ καὶ: 'or else'. — τύραννον: shewn by the context to be feminine, — 'the princess'. — γήμαντα: sc. αὐτήν, i.e. τὴν τύραννον. This verse puts Jason's sin in the briefest and sharpest form: he has abandoned Medea to marry a powerful princess. He is utterly selfish. 41 = 380. — δόμους: poet. acc. of goal without prep. — λέχος: sc. τὸ νυμφικόν. The house is naturally supposed to be near Creon's palace. 43. κἄπειτα: i.e. τύραννον τόν τε γήμαντα κτείνασα. — μείζω: sc. τῆς παρούσης. The fear is that Medea may be put to death. Cp. vv. 381-3. 44 f. δεινή: the secondary sense of 'clever', 'cunning', seems to prevail here. This is shewn by what follows. The cunning consists, of course, in taking vengeance, not in getting caught. V. 43 is disregarded for the moment. — τοι: intensifying, 'I can assure you'. — ῥαδίως: with καλλίνικον ᾄσεται. 45. αὐτῇ: sociative dat. with συμβαλὼν ἔχθραν. — καλλίνικον: sc. ᾆσμα.

καλλίνικον ᾄσεται is picturesque for νικήσει. Our 'triumph' is less picturesque but renders the essential thought. Inasmuch as the speaker fears primarily for the children, and it is only her fear for the children that is realised in the play (for Jason is not killed and the princess dies in another way than that here suggested), vv. 40-45 must be held to obscure the connection of thought. They form a parenthesis; for vv. 46-8 follow immediately in thought v. 40. Euripides doubtless felt that this speech would not form a sufficient introduction to the action of the play, if only apprehension for the children were expressed here. 46. οἵδε: virtually adverbial, = ὧδε, 'hither'. — τρόχων: = δρόμων. τρόχος is to be distinguished from τροχός = 'runner', i.e. 'wheel'. Cp. τρόπος and τροπός. 47. στείχουσι: tragic diction (incedunt) for ἔρχονται. — οὐδέν: inner object with ἐννοούμενοι. = φροντίζοντες and

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

παλαιὸν οἴκων κτῆμα δεσποίνης ἐμῆς,
τί πρὸς πύλαισι τήνδ᾽ ἄγουσ᾽ ἐρημίαν   50
ἕστηκας αὐτὴ θρεομένη σαυτῇ κακά;
πῶς σοῦ μόνη Μήδεια λείπεσθαι θέλει;

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

τέκνων ὀπαδὲ πρέσβυ τῶν Ἰάσονος,
χρηστοῖσι δούλοις ξυμφορὰ τὰ δεσποτῶν
κακῶς πίτνοντα καὶ φρενῶν ἀνθάπτεται·   55
ἐγὼ γὰρ ἐς τοῦτ᾽ ἐκβέβηκ᾽ ἀλγηδόνος

with the same case construction.
48. κακῶν: genitive with ἐννοούμε-
νοι, as with φροντίζειν. HA. 742,
G. 1102. This is the gen. that
generally takes περί in prose. — νέα
φροντίς: = ἡ τῶν νέων φροντίς. —
φιλεῖ: = εἴωθε. The proverbial end-
ing of the speech is wholly in the
Greek style. — The two young boys
who now enter from the spectator's
right (they had been in the town)
are of course accompanied by
an old man-servant, the familiar
παιδαγωγός of the Greek house-
hold. The man is doubtless an
old slave of Jason's family, as the
woman is of Medea's. Cp. v. 53.
49. οἴκων κτῆμα: practically
one word, 'chattel'. The person
addressed is an οἰκέτις, a house ser-
vant. 50. ἄγειν ἐρημίαν: = ἔρημον
εἶναι. So τήνδ᾽ ἄγουσ᾽ ἐρημίαν is
= ὧδ᾽ ἔρημος οὖσα. 52. σοῦ:
with μόνη rather than λείπεσθαι,

albeit σου μόνη λείπεσθαι is = σοῦ
ἀπολείπεσθαι. Cp. Soph. Ai. 511
σοῦ διοίσεται μόνος. 53 balances
v. 49 and, incidentally, introduces
the new character to the audience.
— τέκνων ὀπαδί is, of course, a
poetical circumlocution for παι-
δαγωγέ. 54 f. χρηστοῖσι: em-
phatic, as its position in the
sentence shews. — ξυμφορά: sc.
ἐστί. — τὰ . . . πίτνοντα: concrete
for τὸ τὰ δεσποτῶν κακῶς πίτνειν.
The metaphor is from dice. Cp.
Aesch. Ag. 32 f. τὰ δεσποτῶν
γὰρ εὐτυχοῦντα θήσομαι | τρὶς ἓξ
βαλούσης τῆσδ᾽ ἐμοὶ φρυκτωρίας
'for my masters' game I'll count a
lucky one now that this beacon-
watch has thrown me treble six'. —
φρενῶν ἀνθάπτεται: cp. Alc. 108
ἔθιγες ψυχῆς, ἔθιγες δὲ φρενῶν.
56. γάρ: 'at all events', like the
later development, parallel to γάρ,
viz. γ᾽ οὖν (quidem certe). This

ὥσθ' ἵμερός μ' ὑπῆλθε γῇ τε κοὐρανῷ
λέξαι μολούσῃ δεῦρο δεσποίνης τύχας.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟC

οὔ πω γὰρ ἡ τάλαινα παύεται γόων;

ΤΡΟΦΟC

ζηλῶ σ᾽· ἐν ἀρχῇ πῆμα κοὐδέ πω μεσοῖ. 60

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟC

ὦ μῶρος — εἰ χρὴ δεσπότας εἰπεῖν τόδε —,
ὡς οὐδὲν οἶδε τῶν νεωτέρων κακῶν.

γ᾽ οὖν passed into γοῦν = 'for'.
— ἐς τοῦτ': = eo, 'to such a pitch',
and anticipating ὡς (57). — ἐκβέ-
βηκ': 'have mounted'.

57. ἵμερος : 'yearning', in the
sense of 'impulse', ὁρμή, ὄρεξις.
— ὑπῆλθε: we should say 'over-
came'; but the Greek is really 'un-
dercame', the figure being drawn
from getting under an opponent's
guard, or surprising a stronghold.
58. μολούσῃ: the dative is used
as though μοι ἐγένετο' had stood
where μ' ὑπῆλθε stands. — In mak-
ing the old slave explain how she
came to deliver before the house
the soliloquy contained in the pro-
logue, Euripides appears to be
slily ridiculing one of the conven-
tions of his own art. 59. γάρ:
'then', in a surprised question.
It is not necessary to write οὔ πώ
γ᾽ ἄρ', though that indicates the

sense. 60. ζηλῶ σ᾽: sc. τῆς ἀ-
γνοίας, 'blissful ignorance', sancta
simplicitas — ἐν τῷ φρονεῖν γὰρ
μηδὲν ἥδιστος βίος. — ἐν ἀρχῇ: the
asyndeton is causal. The figure
in this sentence (of which the one
half is the negative version of the
other) is taken from atmospheric
phenomena (τὰ μετέωρα), more
precisely, as we learn in the sequel,
from a thunderstorm. — One is re-
minded here of Propertius's "haec
est venturi prima favilla mali" (I.
9. 18). 61. μῶρος: exclamatory
nominative, 'what a fool !'. The
disrespect is immediately apolo-
gised for. — δεσπότας: = περὶ δε-
σποτῶν. HA. 725 a, G. 1073.
62. ὡς: exclamatory, 'how utterly
ignorant she is of her more recent
misfortunes !'. — τῶν . . . κακῶν:
= περὶ τῶν κακῶν: cp. note on
κακῶν v. 48.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

τί δ' ἔστιν, ὦ γεραιέ; μὴ φθόνει φράσαι.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

οὐδέν· μετέγνων καὶ τὰ πρόσθ' εἰρημένα.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

μή — πρὸς γενείου — κρύπτε σύνδουλον σέθεν·   65
σιγὴν χάρ, εἰ χρή, τῶνδε θήσομαι πέρι.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

ἤκουσά του λέγοντος, οὐ δοκῶν κλύειν,
πεσσοὺς προσελθὼν ἔνθα δὴ παλαίτεροι
θάσσουσι σεμνὸν ἀμφὶ Πειρήνης ὕδωρ,

63. τί δ' ἔστιν: 'why, what's the matter?', more literally, 'what new evil (τί νεώτερον κακόν) is there?'. 64. οὐδέν: sc. ἐστί. — μετέγνων . . . εἰρημένα: 'I am sorry for saying as much as I have'. The καί connects τὰ πρόσθ' εἰρημένα with the unexpressed future things that the speaker refuses to utter. The aorist is virtually a perfect. 65. πρὸς γενείου: sc. ἱκετεύω. — κρύπτε: 'be secret with'; the object expressing the thing concealed is not distinctly thought of. — σέθεν for σου is one of the archaisms affected by Euripides. Incidentally, it serves admirably to fill out the last foot of the line. 66. σιγὴν θήσομαι: = σιγὴν ποιήσομαι for σιγήσομαι. — εἰ χρή: naïve on the part of the speaker, mali-

cious on the part of the poet. 67. του: = τινος. — οὐ δοκῶν κλύειν: 'without seeming to hear'. κλύειν is a mere poetical substitute for ἀκούειν. 68 f. = προσελθὼν ἔνθα δὴ παλαίτεροι πεσσοὺς θάσσουσι κτέ. — πεσσούς is accusative of the inner object with θάσσουσι = παίζουσι θάσσοντες. — ἔνθα δή: 'to that spot where'. The antecedent, ἐκεῖσε, is suppressed; or perhaps it were better to say that the antecedent Πειρήνης ὕδατι has been incorporated in the relative clause in a slightly changed form. — Πειρήνης ὕδωρ: a celebrated fountain of Corinth. It has been uncovered again by recent excavations of the American School of Classical Studies Athens.

ὡς τούσδε παῖδας γῆς ἐλᾶν Κορινθίας   70
σὺν μητρὶ μέλλοι τῆσδε κοίρανος χθονὸς
Κρέων· ὁ μέντοι μῦθος εἰ σαφὴς ὅδε
οὐκ οἶδα, βουλοίμην δ' ἂν οὐκ εἶναι τάδε.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

καὶ ταῦτ' Ἰάσων παῖδας ἐξανέξεται
πάσχοντας, εἰ καὶ μητρὶ διαφορὰν ἔχει;   75

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

παλαιὰ καινῶν λείπεται κηδευμάτων,
κοὐκ ἔστ' ἐκεῖνα τοῖσδε δώμασιν φίλα.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

ἀπωλόμεσθ' ἄρ', εἰ κακὸν προσοίσομεν
νέον παλαιῷ πρὶν τόδ' ἐξηντληκέναι.

70. **ὡς κτέ.** : depending, of course, on λέγοντος (67). 71. **μέλ-λοι** : = ἐν νῷ ἔχοι. — **κοίρανος** : = τύραννος. 72. **μέντοι** : 'however', 'though', introducing a qualification. — **μῦθος** seems also (if we think of its prose sense) to cast doubt upon the truth of the remark. — **σαφής** : = ἀληθής. 73. **βουλοίμην ἄν** : *velim*. — **οὐκ εἶναι** : sc. σαφῆ. The form of the negative is due to its close connection with the infinitive with which it stands, unless we should pause after οὐκ and throw it back to βουλοίμην ἄν. 74. **καί** is *καί indignantis*. Our 'and' may intro-

duce an indignant question in the same way. — **ἐξανέξεται** : stronger than ἀνέξεται. Tr. 'will endure tamely'. 75. **εἰ καί** : *etsi*, 'although'. — **διαφορὰν ἔχει** : = διαφέρεται. 76. Seemingly proverbial. 'Old love's a laggard in the race with new.' For the genitive see HA. 749, G. 1120. 77. **ἐκεῖνα** : sc. δώματα, *i.e.* the household of Jason and the princess. — **τοῖσδε** : with a gesture towards the house. The far and near are contrasted in ἐκεῖνα τοῖσδε. 78 f. **ἀπωλό-μεσθ'** : aorist for perfect, as often in this verb. The plural in this and the following verb includes

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

ἀτὰρ σύ γ’ — οὐ γὰρ καιρὸς εἰδέναι τάδε   80
δέσποιναν — ἡσύχαζε καὶ σίγα λόγον.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

ὦ τέκν’, ἀκούεθ’ οἷος εἰς ὑμᾶς πατήρ;
ὄλοιτο μὲν μή· δεσπότης γάρ ἐστ’ ἐμός·
ἀτὰρ κακός γ’ ὢν ἐς φίλους ἁλίσκεται.

the mistress and the maid. — **ἆρ’**: looking backward (inferential) and further defined by the following clause. — **εἰ προσοίσομεν**: the form of the minatory condition is here, as often, transferred from the second person, where it took its rise, to the first. As you can say to another ὄλωλας εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσεις ('if you will do that'), so you can say ὄλωλα εἰ τοῦτο ποιήσω (where, however, we cannot say, 'if I will do so and so'). The sense is 'if I ship a new wave of trouble on top of the old one before I have baled that out'. The servant fears for herself the increased violence of her mistress's passion. The 'we' is rhetorical. — **πρὶν τόδ’ ἐξηντληκέναι** is strictly speaking tautological. νέον and παλαιῷ are juxtaposed for emphasis and contrast and connected by the preposition in προσοίσομεν. τόδ’ is =

τὸ παλαιὸν κακόν. The nautical figure is natural in Greek, especially so in Attic Greek. Sea-power ἔργῳ breeds sea-power λόγῳ.

80. **γ’**: sharply restrictive. "But do you at all events ", says the paedagogus, "keep quiet and hold your tongue ". **82.** The slave-woman addresses the children and refuses (nominally) to curse Jason for his treatment of them. — **οἷος**: i.e. ὡς κακός. — **οἷος . . . πατήρ**, sc. ἐστί, is an indirect exclamation. **83. ὄλοιτο μὲν μή**: 'perish indeed may he not', a limited, not an arrested curse: the μέν anticipating ἀτάρ (84) shews this. **84. ἀτάρ** (cp. v. 80) is a vigorous substitute for δέ. — **ἁλίσκεται**: = ἐλέγχεται, 'is caught ' for 'is convicted of '. — **κακὸς ἐς φίλους**: = 'disloyal'. φίλους is practically = οἰκείους, or rather, it keeps its old sense of 'own '.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

τίς δ' οὐχὶ θνητῶν; ἄρτι γιγνώσκεις τόδε,   85
ὡς πᾶς τις αὑτὸν τοῦ πέλας μᾶλλον φιλεῖ,   86
εἰ τούσδε γ' εὐνῆς οὕνεκ' οὐ στέργει πατήρ;   88

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

ἴτ' — εὖ γὰρ ἔστω — δωμάτων ἔσω, τέκνα·   89
σὺ δ' ὡς μάλιστα τούσδ' ἐρημώσας ἔχε   90
καὶ μὴ πέλαζε μητρὶ δυσθυμουμένῃ·

οἱ μὲν δικαίως, οἱ δὲ καὶ κέρδους χάριν   87

---

85. τίς δ' οὐχὶ θνητῶν : sc. κακὸς ἐς φίλους ἐστίν. The old man seems proud of the worldly wisdom that makes him so cynical. Perhaps he is squaring accounts for the superior tone of v. 60. — **τόδε** : anticipatory of the following. **86. τοῦ πέλας** : = τοῦ πλησίον, 'his neighbour'. Menander seems to have taken over this remark as a proverb and Terence to have copied from him. Cp. Ter. *Andr.* 426 f. Verum illud verbum est volgo quod dici solet, | omnis sibi malle melius esse quam alteri. **88.** Closely connected, of course, with the second half of v. 85 and particularly with the emphatic ἄρτι. — **εὐνῆς οὕνεκ'** : contemptuous, = ὑφ' ἡδονῆς γυναικὸς οὕνεκ', Soph. *Antig.* 648 f.

The contempt is heightened by the sneering γε in τούσδε γ', 'these mere children'. Cp. Soph. *O.T.* 383 εἰ τῆσδε γ' ἀρχῆς οὕνεχ' 'if for this kingship forsooth', which, as the speaker goes on to say, he had justly acquired. — **οὐ στέργει** : practically one word, like οὐ φημι : hence the form of the neg. **89.** The old woman speaks first to the children, then turns again to their attendant. **εὖ γὰρ ἔστω** is a parenthetical prayer : cp. Aesch. *Ag.* 121 τὸ δ' εὖ νικάτω for the imv. and for the γάρ *Alc.* 1023 νοστήσαιμι γάρ 'for I pray that I may return'. The old woman sends the children in with misgivings. **90. ἐρημώσας ἔχε** : more than ἠρήμωκε. It is rather 'keep aloof' (ἠρημωμένους ἔχε).

ἤδη γὰρ εἶδον ὄμμα νιν ταυρουμένην
τοῖσδ᾽ ὡς τι δρασείουσαν, οὐδὲ παύσεται
χόλου — σάφ᾽ οἶδα — πρὶν κατασκῆψαί τινα ·
ἐχθρούς γε μέντοι, μὴ φίλους, δράσειέ τι.   95

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἰώ,
δύστανος ἐγὼ μελέα τε πόνων ·
ἰώ μοί μοι, πῶς ἂν ὀλοίμαν.

92. **ὄμμα**: acc. of extent (of application) with ταυρουμένην. ὄμμα ταυρουμένην seems to mean literally 'making herself a bull in eye', *i.e.* 'glaring like a bull'. Cp. τεταύρωσαι γὰρ οὖν 'you have certainly turned yourself into a bull', *Bacch.* 922. 93. **τοῖσδ᾽**: dativus incommodi for ἐς τούσδε, *i.e.* the children. — **ὡς τι δρασείουσαν**: a more vigorous ὡς τι δράσουσαν, from which it differs as ἐπιθυμοῦσάν τι δρᾶσαι from βουλομένην τι δρᾶσαι. Cp. Soph. *Ai.* 326. 94. **κατασκῆψαι**: means 'come down on like a thunderbolt', 'strike with a thunderbolt' (κεραυνῶσαι), and hence is used with the acc. The figure of the storm already introduced by the slave-woman (v. 60) is here made more definite, and we are prepared for v. 106 ff. 95. **μὴ φίλους**: strictly speaking redundant after ἐχθρούς γε. — **τι**: *i.e.* τι ἄχαρι. — For the double accusative with δράσειε see HA. 725 a, G. 1073, B. 340. — In vv.

46–95 there seems to be a deliberate symmetry of arrangement, thus: 7 (3+4), 6, 8 (1+1+2+ 1+1+2), 7 (the speech of the παιδαγωγός, the centre of interest), 8 (2+2+2+2), 6 (3+3), 7. The breaking up in a different way of the groups in each of the two parts (7+6+8 and 8+6+7) that enclose the central speech (vv. 67–73) adds to the art. Other symmetrical arrangements in the dialogue parts of the *Medea* will be noted in the sequel. **96 ff.** The voice of Medea is heard at this point from behind the scenes. The slave-woman appears in the sequel to carry on a dialogue with her; but we should understand that, though the words of Medea are heard by the characters before the eyes of the audience, as well as by the audience, the words of the servant are not addressed to Medea, but are merely a running commentary on her utterances. — In vv. 96–212 we find

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

τόδ' ἐκεῖνο, φίλοι παῖδες· μήτηρ
κινεῖ κραδίαν, κινεῖ δὲ χόλον.|
σπεύδετε θᾶσσον δώματος εἴσω   100
καὶ μὴ πελάσητ' ὄμματος ἐγγὺς
μηδὲ προσέλθητ', ἀλλὰ φυλάσσεσθ'

exemplification of what the old woman had said in the opening speech about Medea's condition and conduct. Cp. vv. 112-114 with v. 36 and vv. 160-167 with vv. 20-35. — The change in the metre answers to a change in the action — to a general movement. The anapaestic rhythm (a march movement) strikes the note (v. 96 f.) that causes the old woman to hurry the boys into the house (vv. 98-110) as the storm of Medea's anger begins to move. The same metre ushers in the chorus (vv. 115-130) and is kept up by the old woman and Medea through the scene. Cp. with this the parodus of Sophocles's *Antigone*, where however the anapaests that are mingled with the lyrics are recited by the leader of the chorus. In the *Hippolytus* the anapaests of the nurse and Phaedra are appended to the entering song of the chorus. **96 f. ἰώ**: stands *extra metrum*. — **δύστανος** and **ὀλοίμαν** are Doric forms, used to indicate the emotional exaltation of Medea and to set off her

speeches against the background of the servant's remarks. Cp. Medea's subsequent speeches (vv. 111-114, 144-147, 160-168). Similarly in the *Hippolytus* (vv. 170-265), a scene in which Euripides is in a measure copying himself here, we have the speeches of Phaedra set off by a Doric colouring from those of the nurse. Cp. also *Alc.* 244 ff., the scene between Admetus and Alcestis, and my note on *Alc.* 246. — **πόνων**: for the genitive see HA. 761. — **πῶς ἂν ὀλοίμαν**: = εἰ γὰρ ὀλοίμαν. For this idiomatic form of wish see HA. 870 e and B. 587, note 2.

98 f. τόδ' ἐκεῖνο: sc. ἐστι, *hoc illud*, 'there it is', 'I told you so'. The reference is to vv. 92-95. — **μήτηρ . . . χόλον** recapitulates vv. 92-95 for the sake of clearness. — Vv. 100-104 repeat and emphasise v. 89 f. — **θᾶσσον**: *i.e.* than you are already doing (ἢ ὡς νῦν). The children have already started to enter the house. — **προσέλθητ'**, 'go up to', indicates a closer approach than πελάσητ' ὄμματος ἐγγύς. — **ἀλλά**: contradicts both

ἄγριον ἦθος στυγεράν τε φύσιν
φρενὸς αὐθάδους.
ἴτε νυν χωρεῖθ᾽ ὡς τάχος εἴσω ·   105
δῆλον γὰρ γῆς ἐξαιρόμενον
νέφος οἰμωγαῖς ὡς τάχ᾽ ἀνάψει
μείζονι θυμῷ. τί ποτ᾽ ἐργάσεται
μεγαλόσπλαγχνος δυσκαταπαύστο⟨ι⟩s
ψυχὴ δηχθεῖσα κακοῖσιν;   110

the preceding negatives. 'No'
gives the tone. — φυλάσσεσθ᾽: =
εὐλαβεῖσθε, *cavete*. — στυγεράν :
active. — φρενὸς αὐθάδους : 'arbi-
trary temper'. The gen. depends
on both the preceding substan-
tives.

105. The preceding command
is resumed in a still more em-
phatic form. In the play as given
in the theatre the tempo of
the marching music which would
seem to have accompanied this
speech was accelerando. — νυν is
= οὖν, and ὡς τάχος is = ὡς τά-
χιστα. 106 ff. δῆλον: sc. ἐστί.
— γῆς ἐξαιρόμενον: *i.e.* rising up
the sky towards the zenith. —
νέφος : a thunder-cloud is of course
meant. — οἰμωγαῖς (' by wailings ',
to be construed w. δῆλον) applies
to the thing signified, to the anger
of Medea, and not to the figurative
storm. This is distinctly Greek.
Perhaps the best example of the
fusion of sign and thing signified
is the army-eagle in Sophocles's
Antigone 110-126. — ὡς ἀνάψει :

instead of ἀνάψον after δῆλον,
because a participle (ἐξαιρόμενον)
has been used already, though
not in immediate construction
w. δῆλον. — ἀνάψει : intr. and =
ἀστράψει. The previous speech of
Medea is to what the servant fears
will follow but as the rumbling of
the distant storm to the stroke of
the thunderbolt. — The woman
speaks to the children as though
she were really hurrying them
into the house to escape a rising
thunderstorm. It is somewhat
awkward that they are really hur-
ried into the teeth of the storm.
108. μείζονι : = ἔτι μείζονι. —
ἐργάσεται : the servant fears such
deeds as she has hinted at in
vv. 37-40. Cp. also vv. 94 f.
109 f. μεγαλόσπλαγχνος ψυχή : a
good example of an idiom very
common in Greek tragedy, whereby
a substantive is modified by an
adjective having its latter half
derived from a synonym of the
substantive modified. — δυσκατα-
παύστοις : cp. Aesch. *Cho.* 407

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

αἰαῖ,
ἔπαθον — τλάμων — ἔπαθον μεγάλων
ἄξι' ὀδυρμῶν· ὦ κατάρατοι
παῖδες, ὄλοισθε, στυγερᾶς ματρός,
σὺν πατρί, καὶ πᾶς δόμος ἔρροι.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

ἰώ μοί μοι, ἰὼ τλήμων,   115
τί δέ σοι παῖδες πατρὸς ἀμπλακίας
μετέχουσι; τί τοῦσδ' ἔχθεις; οἴ μοι,
τέκνα, μή τι πάθηθ' ὡς ὑπεραλγῶ.

δυσκατάπαυστον ἄλγος and in this play v. 93 f. οὐδὲ παύσεται χόλου ... πρὶν κατασκῆψαί τινα. — δηχθεῖσα κακοῖσιν: 'stung by injuries'. κακοῖσιν is = ὀνείδεσι or ἀδικίαις.

111. αἰαῖ is *extra metrum*; cp. ἰὼ v. 96. — τλάμων: a mere ejaculation of self-pity and nom. not voc. The repetition of ἔπαθον is passionate. For the form of the anapaestic dimeter here cp. v. 99. 112 ff. ὦ κατάρατοι παῖδες: at this point Medea catches sight of the children, who have just entered with the παιδαγωγός. (ἑωρακυῖα τοὺς παῖδας εἰσιόντας ἅμα τῷ παιδαγωγῷ ἐπιβοᾷ Schol.) — στυγερᾶς: active, as in v. 103, 'of a mother that hates you'. — σὺν πατρί: to be joined with ὄλοισθε. — πᾶς δόμος: = ὁ πᾶς οἶκος, 'the whole household', 'the

whole family'. Cp. vv. 794 ff. 115. ἰὼ τλήμων: a mere ejaculation. Cp. v. 111. 116. δέ: introducing the sentence proper after the ejaculation, as though the latter were a vocative. Cp. the earliest example of this Hom. A 282 Ἀτρείδη, σὺ δὲ παῦε τεὸν μένος; 'and thou, son of Atreus, quell thine anger'. The δέ is said in a tone of protest. — παῖδες: at once strongly emphatic and contrasted with the juxtaposed πατρός. — ἀμπλακίας: = ἁμαρτίας, 'crime', 'sin'. — σοι: 'in your eyes', 'from your point of view'. 117. τοῦσδ': emphatic, like παῖδες above. — ἔχθεις: referring to στυγερᾶς v. 113. 118. ὑπεραλγῶ: = ὑπερδέδοικα, 'I am pained (*i.e.* with fear) for you'. Supply ὑμῶν. — Vv. 119-130 contain more or less apposite moralising on the part of the old servant.

δεινὰ τυράννων λήματα, καί πως —
ὀλίγ᾽ ἀρχόμενοι, πολλὰ κρατοῦντες —   120
χαλεπῶς ὀργὰς μεταβάλλουσιν.
τό γ᾽ ἄρ᾽ εἰθίσθαι ζῆν ἐπ᾽ ἴσοισιν
κρεῖσσον· ἐμοὶ γ᾽ οὖν ἐν μὴ μεγάλοις

Euripides has been frequently cen-
sured for making even his charac-
ters from low life philosophise.
Surely in this case, however, the
homely wisdom and popular super-
stition contained in the verses are
not above the capacity of the
speaker. — In the similar scene in
the *Hippolytus*, it has been noted,
the two moralisings of Phaedra's
nurse (186-197 and 252-266) are
like the two moralisings of our
Colchian woman (here and in
vv. 190-203). 119. δεινὰ τυράν-
νων λήματα: Euripides may have
had in mind Homer A 80-83.
(ἔχομεν δὲ καὶ τὴν ἔννοιαν παρ᾽
Ὁμήρῳ [A 81] · εἴπερ γάρ τε χό-
λον γε καὶ αὐτῆμαρ καταπέψῃ
καὶ [B 196] · θυμὸς δὲ μέγας
ἐστὶ διοτρεφέων βασιλήων
Schol.) At any rate, δεινά
means here 'terrible' rather than
'strange'.— πως: notwithstanding
the parenthetical v. 120 the particle
is probably to be joined with χαλε-
πῶς v. 121, 'in a violent sort of
way'. Τὸ δὲ πως παρέλκει Schol.
Cp. εὖ πως *Hipp*. 477. 120. Note
the vigorous asyndeton. The accu-
satives are inner objects. 121. χα-
λεπῶς μεταβάλλουσιν: 'shift vio-

lently'. Cp. *Hipp*. 203 f. μὴ χαλε-
πῶς | μετάβαλλε δέμας, 'don't turn
your body violently from side
to side, shift your position vio-
lently'. — ὀργάς: in neutral sense
'moods'. 122. The connection of
thought between the sentence that
begins here and the preceding is
neither necessary nor very obvious.
A remark on the uncontrolled fic-
kleness of princes is made to serve
as a text, not of an encomium of
democracy, as we might expect
from ἐπ᾽ ἴσοισιν (v. 122), but of
the praise of humble circumstances
— "he that is down need fear no
fall, he that is low no pride[n].
122. γ᾽ ἄρ᾽: = γ᾽ οὖν, *quidem certe*
'at all events'. — εἰθίσθαι . . . ἴσοι-
σιν: 'being in the habit of living
on the basis of share and share
alike'; = πολιτεία, 'democracy'.
123. κρεῖσσον: sc. ἐστι τοῦ ἐπὶ
τυράννου ζῆν. — ἐμοὶ γ᾽ οὖν εἴη:
'howe'er it be, mine be it'. — We
should expect the speaker to say
something equivalent to 'to live
under a democracy'; what she
does say, quite inconsequently, is
'to live my life out in safe pov-
erty'. We can see the link in the
tortuous argument, if after ἐν μὴ

ὀχυρῶς εἴη καταγηράσκειν·
τῶν γὰρ μετρίων πρῶτα μὲν εἰπεῖν   125
τοὔνομα νικᾷ, χρῆσθαί τε μακρῷ
λῷστα βροτοῖσιν· τὰ δ' ὑπερβάλλοντ'
οὐδένα καιρὸν δύναται θνητοῖς,
μείζους δ' ἄτας, ὅταν ὀργισθῇ,
δαίμων ὄγκοις ἀπέδωκεν.   130

μεγάλοις we supply ἀλλ' ἴσοις in the sense of ἀλλὰ μετρίοις.

124. καταγηράσκειν: 'end my old age', = (in the case of one already old) 'live out my life'. 125. Here follows the praise of the μέτρια implied above. Vv. 125-130 are quite in the spirit and power of Herodotus. Cp. Hdt. 380 πλῆθος δὲ ἄρχον ('a democracy') πρῶτα μὲν οὔνομα πάντων κάλλιστον ἔχει (= τοὔνομα νικᾷ), ἰσονομίην, δεύτερα δὲ τούτων τῶν (= ὦν) ὁ μούναρχος ποιέει οὐδὲν (sc. ποιέει), a passage which Euripides seems to have had in mind. — εἰπεῖν: 'in the telling', fando. 126. νικᾷ: = κρατεῖ = κρεῖσσόν ἐστι. — χρῆσθαι: 'in the using'. — τε: marking the second division of the compound first member of a μὲν — δὲ complex. If we look backward and forward, we shall see that the particles in this sentence are economised; μέν does double duty, at once correlative with τε and = πε, and correlative to δ', v. 127. τῶν μὲν γὰρ μετρίων πρῶτα μὲν εἰπεῖν τοὐνόμα νικᾷ

(κρεῖσσόν ἐστιν εἰπεῖν), ἔπειτα χρῆσθαι μακρῷ λῷστα βροτοῖσιν· τὰ δ' ὑπερβάλλοντ' κτὲ. would be a more normal type of sentence. — μακρῷ: longe; cp. Alc. 151 γυνή τ' ἀρίστη τῶν ὑφ' ἡλίῳ μακρῷ, 'and best woman of those under the sun by far'. 127. λῷστα: we return to τὰ μέτρια, which is after all the logical subject. — τὰ δ' ὑπερβάλλοντ': opposed to τὰ μὲν μέτρια, which is the logical subject of the foregoing clause. 129. οὐδένα . . . θνητοῖς: = οὐκ ὠφελεῖ θνητούς. καιρόν is = καιρίαν δύναμιν. It is acc. of inner obj. Cp. fr. 80 βροτοῖς τὰ μείζω τῶν μέσων τίκτει νόσους. — δ': 'nay', 'on the contrary'; used instead of an ἀλλά at the head of the clause. 130. δαίμων: apparently about equivalent to τύχη, or perhaps better a sort of cross between τύχη and ὁ θεός. — ὄγκοις: = τοῖς ὑπερβάλλουσιν. — ἀπέδωκεν: 'renders', as the due of surpassing good fortune. — Cp. Hdt. 7. 10 ὁρᾷς τὰ ὑπερέχοντα ('very large') ζῷα ὡς κεραυνοῖ ὁ θεὸς

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἔκλυον φωνάν, ἔκλυον δὲ βοὰν
τᾶς δυστάνου
Κολχίδος οὐδέ πω ἠπίου· ἀλλά, γε-

(= δαίμων here) οὐδὲ ἐᾷ φαντά-
ζεσθαι, τὰ δὲ σμικρὰ οὐδέν μιν
(= τὸν θεὸν) κνίζει ('vex')·
ὁρᾷς δὲ ὡς ἐς οἰκήματα τὰ μέγιστα
αἰεὶ καὶ δένδρεα τὰ τοιαῦτα (i.e. τὰ
μέγιστα) ἀποσκήπτει τὰ βέλεα·
φιλέει γὰρ ὁ θεὸς τὰ ὑπερέχοντα
(= ὑπερβάλλοντα) πάντα κολούειν.
Horace seems to imitate this
Carm. 2. 10. 9–12. Saepius ven-
tis agitatur ingens | pinus et cel-
sae graviore casu | decidunt turres
feriuntque summos | fulgura mon-
tes. In these two passages, as in
the present, we have the praise of
the "aurea mediocritas".

131. The repetition has refer-
ence to Medea's two cries, vv. 96 and
97 and vv. 111–114. 132. δυστά-
νου : so Medea had called herself, as
they have heard (v. 96). 133. Κολ-
χίδος : she is still a βάρβαρος,
still a half-savage, to the Greek
women, as they hint in calling her
the Colchian when they mention
her fierce cries that have brought
them to the door. Yet they are
full of sympathy. 131 ff. The
chorus, made up of Corinthian
matrons, now comes upon the
scene. They explain their ap-
pearance at this juncture in their

first utterance. For a somewhat
similar explanation of the appear-
ance of a chorus of women cp.
Hipp. 121 ff. Those verses
read like a deliberate improvement
on verses 131 ff. here. Cp. also
Hel. 179 ff., where the chorus
come at Helen's cry, and (as an
earlier example) the coming of the
chorus of Oceanids in Aeschylus's
Prometheus at the sound of the
riveting of Prometheus's fetters.
— The chorus, although neigh-
bours, do not yet know, inconsist-
ent as this may seem (ἄλογον
Aristotle would have called it),
that Jason has abandoned Medea
and taken a new wife. Medea
had been screaming before (v. 20
ff.), but only her attendant had
heard her — another inconsistency
but outside the plot of the play
(ἔξω τοῦ μυθεύματος) and so jus-
tified. — οὐδέ πω ἠπίου : sc. γενο-
μένης, ἀλλ' ἔτι ἀγρίας. Medea is
'not even yet gentle,' although
she has been so much among
Greeks. She is still the "torva
Colchis" (Juv. 6. 643). — ἀλλά :
marks transition from general
speech (ἐς μέσον) to and
to a particular person, as

ραιά, λέξον· ἐπ' ἀμφιπύλου γὰρ ἔ-
σω μελάθρου γόον ἔκλυον, οὐδὲ συν-   135
ήδομαι, ὦ γύναι, ἄλγεσι δώματος,
εἴ τι μὴ φίλον κέκρανται.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

οὐκ εἰσὶ δόμοι, φροῦδα τάδ' ἤδη·
τὸν μὲν γὰρ ἔχει λέκτρα τυράννων,   140
ἧ δ' ἐν θαλάμοις τήκει βιοτήν,
δέσποινα, φίλων οὐδενὸς οὐδὲν
παραθαλπομένη φρένα μύθοις.

134 f. **λέξον** : what the old woman is asked to say is not perhaps clear at the first glance. What seems to be asked for is the reason for the cries of Medea, as is implied in the following sentence. — **ἀμφιπύλου** : seemingly = προθύρου. The reference is then to the houses of the chorus. With ἀμφιπύλου supply in thought οὖσα. Had they not been at their doors they could not well have heard the sound from Medea's house. (ἐγὼ οὖν, φησίν, ἐπὶ τοῦ ἀμφιπύλου οὖσα, τουτέστιν ἐπὶ τοῦ πυλῶνος, ἤκουσα φωνῆς ἔσω τοῦ μελάθρου Schol.) — **ἔσω μελάθρου γόον** : apparently Medea's 'wailing within her house'. ἔσω is then = ἔσωθεν. 135 sq. **οὐδὲ συνήδομαι** : = καὶ συναλγῶ. — **δώματος** : = οἴκου

in the sense of 'household', 'family', and including Jason, of whose infidelity (as has been said above) the neighbours are not yet aware. 137. **μὴ φίλον** : i.e. 'unloving', 'unkind'. — **κέκρανται** : = πέπρακται, πέποιηται, γέγονε. The ladies suppose merely that Jason and Medea have quarrelled. — This proöde seems to have been chanted by the coryphaeus as the chorus entered. 139. **δόμοι** : = οἶκος, 'family'. — **τάδ'** : = τὰ τῶν δόμων = οἱ δόμοι. 140. **τὸν μέν** : i.e. Jason. — **ἔχει λέκτρα τυράννων** : cp. v. 18. 141-3. Cp. vv. 20-29. — **οὐδενός** : dependent on μύθοις. — **οὐδέν** : omnino non. — **φρένα** : acc. of extent (of application). — **δέσποινα** : in defining apposition to ἧ in the Homeric fashion.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

αἰαῖ,
διά μου κεφαλᾶς φλὸξ οὐρανία
βαίη · τί δέ μοι ζῆν ἔτι κέρδος ;   145
φεῦ φεῦ, θανάτῳ καταλυσαίμαν
βιοτὰν στυγερὰν προλιποῦσα.

ΧΟΡΟC

ἄιες, ὦ Ζεῦ καὶ γᾶ καὶ φῶς,
ἀχὰν οἵαν ἁ δύστανος
μέλπει νύμφα ;   150
τίς σοί ποτε τᾶς ἀπλάτου
κοίτας ἔρος, ὦ ματαία ;
σπεύσει θανάτου τελευτά ·

144. αἰαῖ: *extra metrum*, as in v. 111. — διά μου κεφαλᾶς: cp. *Hipp.* 1351, where the dying Hippolytus cries, διά μου κεφαλῆς ᾄσσουσ᾽ ὀδύναι. — φλὸξ οὐρανία : = κεραυνός. φλόξ without qualification may be used in this sense, as in *Alc.* 4. 146. καταλυσαίμαν : 'may I bring to an end'. Cp. *Suppl.* 1004 f. καταλύσουσ᾽ ἔμμοχθον βίοτον. The metaphor is from the unyoking of the animals at the end of a day's journey. 147. βιοτὰν στυγεράν : object of καταλυσαίμαν. With προλιποῦσα understand αὐτάν, *i.e.* τὰν στυγερὰν βιοτάν. στυγερός is here passive, 'hateful', μισητός. — προλιποῦσα : προλείπειν seems to be a sort of fusion of προδιδόναι and λείπειν. 148. ἄιες : sing., as though one person only (Zeus) were to be invoked. Zeus and earth and light are = heaven and earth and light. 149 f. ἀχὰν οἵαν : for οἵαν ἰαχάν. The sentence is, of course, an indirect exclamation. — νύμφα : of one that has long ceased to be a bride, as we understand that term. See L. and S. *s.v.* νύμφη. 151 f. τᾶς ἀπλάτου κοίτας : = τοῦ τάφου. 153. The asyndeton is causal (σπεύσει γὰρ κτέ.). — θανάτου τελευτά : circumlocution for θάνατος. The gen. is defining, almost appositional : 'the end which consists in death'. — Cp. Seneca *H.F.* 867 Quid iuvat durum properare fatum ?

μηδὲν τόδε λίσσου.
εἰ δὲ σὸς πόσις   155
καινὰ λέχη σεβίζει,
κοινὸν τόδε· μὴ χαράσσου·
Ζεύς σοι σύνδικος ἔσται · μὴ λίαν
τάκου δυρομένα σὸν εὐνάταν.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ μεγάλε Ζεῦ καὶ Θέμι πότνια,   160
λεύσσεθ᾽ ἃ πάσχω μεγάλοις ὅρκοις
ἐνδησαμένα τὸν κατάρατον
πόσιν ὅν ποτ᾽ ἐγὼ νύμφαν τ᾽ ἐσίδοιμ᾽
αὐτοῖς μελάθροις διακναιομένους,

154 = μηδὲν οὖν τόδε λίσσου, ὥστε μηδὲν τόδε λίσσου. — τόδε λίσσου: = τήνδε τὴν λιτὴν λίσσου, with reference to the prayer for death in v. 145 f. τόδε is = τοῦτο. 157. κοινὸν τόδε: sc. ἐστί. τόδε is = τὸ πόσιν καινὰ λέχη σεβίζειν. With κοινόν understand πασῶν γυναικῶν, 'the common fate of women'. — μὴ χαράσσου: the asyndeton is like that in μηδὲν τόδε λίσσου above. 158 = Ζεὺς γάρ σοι κτέ. ὥστε μὴ λίαν (or μὴ οὖν λίαν) κτέ. Cp. Heracl. 766 Ζεὺς σύμμαχος· οὐ φοβοῦμαι. — σύνδικος: 'advocate'. The figure is drawn from the courts. — λίαν: = ἄγαν. In the following sentence we have an application of the familiar Greek principle μηδὲν ἄγαν. 159. δυρομένα: = ὀδυρομένα. — This apostrophe of

the chorus to Medea (vv. 151–159) would contain for the latter, if she heard it, no comfort at all. It is of a piece with the ordinary Job's comfort of a Greek chorus. 160. Θέμις is the personification of the oath. The reference is to the oath of faithfulness that Jason took to Medea before he carried her away in the Argo. Cp. vv. 207–212. 161. ὅρκοις: locative with ἐνδησαμένα. 162. ἐνδησαμένα: adversative (καίπερ ἐνδησαμένα). — τόν: 'that', anticipating ὅν in the next verse. 164. αὐτοῖς μελάθροις: 'house and all'. See HA. 774 a, G. 1191, B. 392, note. — διακναιομένους: properly 'crumbling', corruentes, and more appropriate of the house than of its inmates. But cp. Alc. 109.

οἵ γ' ἐμὲ πρόσθεν τολμῶσ' ἀδικεῖν.　　165
ὦ πάτερ, ὦ πόλις, ὧν κάσιν αἰσχρῶς
τὸν ἐμὸν κτείνασ' ἀπενάσθην.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

κλύεθ' οἷα λέγει κἀπιβοᾶται
Θέμιν εὐκταίαν Ζῆνά θ' ὃς ὅρκων
θνητοῖς ταμίας νενόμισται;　　170
οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἔν τινι μικρῷ
δέσποινα χόλον καταπαύσει.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

πῶς ἂν ἐς ὄψιν τὰν ἁμετέραν
ἔλθοι μύθων τ' αὐδαθέντων
δέξαιτ' ὀμφάν,　　175
εἴ πως βαρύθυμον ὀργὰν

165. **οἵ γ'** : qui quidem = ἐπεί γ'
οὗτοι, quando quidem ei, 'foras-
much as they'. — **πρόσθεν** : sc. πρὶν
ὑπ' ἐμοῦ ἀδικηθῆναι. — **τολμῶσ'** :
'have the hardihood'. 166 f. Cp.
vv. 31-35. — **πόλις** : a common form
of the vocative. Cp. Soph. *OT.* 629
ὦ πόλις πόλις. — **κάσιν** : = κασί-
γνητον = ἀδελφόν. The reference
is, of course, to Apsyrtus. See
Introd. p. 32, and cp. v. 1334.
168. **οἷα λέγει κἀπιβοᾶται** : indirect
exclamation. The words are =
οἷα λέγουσα (*i.e.* οἵοις λόγοις) ἐπι-
βοᾶται. 169 f. **εὐκταίαν** : = εὐ-
χαῖς, 'with prayers', and to be
taken closely with ἐπιβοᾶται. —
Ζῆνα ὃς ὅρκων . . . νενόμισται : =

Ζῆνα ὅρκιον, a special phase of
Zeus, like Ζεὺς φίλιος, Ζεὺς ξένιος,
Ζεὺς ἑρκεῖος. — **θνητοῖς** : dative of
apparent agent. — **ταμίας** : 'trea-
surer', cp. v. 1415, πολλῶν ταμίας
Ζεὺς ἐν Ὀλύμπῳ. 171 f. **οὐκ
ἔστιν ὅπως καταπαύσει** : = emphatic
for οὐ πως (οὐδαμῶς) καταπαύσει.
— **ἔν τινι μικρῷ** : = τι μικρὸν ποι-
ήσασα. — **καταπαύσει** : καταπαύσῃ
is also possible in this idiom.
173-5 **πῶς ἂν ἔλθοι** : for the idiom
cp. v. 97. — **αὐδαθέντων** : redun-
dant. — **δέξαιτ' ὀμφάν** : high style
for ἀκούσειεν. 176. **εἴ πως** : si
forte. — **βαρύθυμον ὀργάν** : for the
idiom cp. μεγαλόσπλαγχνος ψυχή
109 f. The idiom here is ex-

καὶ λῆμα φρενῶν μεθείη.
μή τοι τό γ᾽ ἐμὸν πρόθυμον
φίλοισιν ἀπέστω,
ἀλλὰ βᾶσά νιν   180
δεῦρο πόρευσον οἴκων
ἔξω, † φίλα καὶ τάδ᾽ αὔδα.†
σπεῦσον πρίν τι κακῶσαι τοὺς εἴσω·
πένθος γὰρ μέγ᾽ ἄλαστον ὁρμᾶται.

ΤΡΟΦΟΣ

δράσω τάδ᾽ ἀτὰρ φόβος εἰ πείσω   184·
δέσποιναν ἐμήν,   185

tended and complicated by the addition of καὶ λῆμα φρενῶν. The notion 'temper', 'spirit', is expressed four times. The whole clause is = εἴ πως ὀργιζομένη παύσαιτο.

178. τό γ᾽ ἐμὸν πρόθυμον: = ἢ γ᾽ ἐμὴ προθυμία. The γε emphasises and restricts ἐμόν: they would be faithful, however others may be. **179. φίλοισιν ἀπέστω**: *amicos deficiat.* ἀπέστω is not used instead of the optative, as the following imperatives shew. The thought is, 'I forbid my zeal to fail friends; no, do you, etc.'. **182. φίλα . . . αὔδα**: 'tell her that we too (*i.e.* as well as you) are friends'. The asyndeton is exceedingly harsh and the words can hardly be as Euripides wrote them. For τάδε used of persons cp. Aesch. *Pers.* 1-2: Τάδε μὲν

Περσῶν τῶν οἰχομένων | Ἑλλάδ᾽ ἐς αἶαν πιστὰ καλεῖται, 'we are they that are called the faithful, etc. '. — **αὔδα**: = φάθι εἶναι. **183. σπεῦσον**: the asyndeton heightens the urgency of the command. — **τοὺς ἔσω**: the children are referred to. **184. πένθος ἄλαστον**: Homeric. See L. and S. *s.v.* ἄλαστος. — **μέγ᾽**: adverbial with ἄλαστον. Cp. Aesch. *Pers.* 1019. — **ὁρμᾶται**: suggesting the marching forth of an army. — **τάδ᾽**: = ταῦτα. — **φόβος** (sc. ἐστὶν) **εἰ πείσω** (fut. ind.) is = φόβος μὴ οὐ πείσω (aor. subj.). Fear is expressed in the main clause, doubt in the subordinate clause. It is 'fear if' for 'doubt if'. This shews the blending of doubt and fear in the speaker's mind. The fut. ind. πείσω has a potential force ('can persuade').

μόχθου δὲ χάρω τήνδ᾽ ἐπιδώσω.
καίτοι τοκάδος δέργμα λεαίνης
ἀποταυροῦται δμωσίν, ὅταν τις
μῦθον προφέρων πέλας ὁρμηθῇ.
σκαιούς γε λέγων κοὐδέν τι σοφοὺς   190
τοὺς πρόσθε βροτοὺς οὐκ ἂν ἁμάρτοις,
οἵτινες ὕμνους ἐπὶ μὲν θαλίαις
ἐπί τ᾽ εἰλαπίναις καὶ παρὰ δείπνοις
ηὗροντ᾽, ὄλβου τερπνὰς ἀκοάς·.

186. **μόχθου χάριν**: a virtual compound; hence the gender of τήνδ᾽. μόχθου is gen. of material. ἐπιδώσω involves by virtue of the ἐπι- ('besides', 'over and above') the notion of willingness. The whole line is = μόχθον δὲ τόνδε χαριοῦμαι. δέ, like the preceding ἀτάρ and the following καίτοι, marks the speaker's reluctancy and hesitation. 187. **δέργμα**: acc. of the effected object. Οὕτως, φησίν, ἀποβλέπει εἰς ἡμᾶς, ὀργίλως, ὡς λέαινα ὀργισθεῖσα ὑπὲρ τῶν τέκνων αὐτῆς Schol. 188. **ἀποταυροῦται**: ἀποταυροῦσθαι properly 'to turn oneself into a bull'; then 'to glare like a bull'; then (as here) simply 'to glare' (= ἀγρίως δέρκεσθαι), with the construction (δέργμα) of δέρκεσθαι. Cp. v. 92. Strictly speaking v. 187 f. involve a mixed metaphor. — **δμωσίν**: dat. of interest. We must render with 'at'. — **τις**: sc. αὐτῶν (i.e. τῶν δμώων). 189. **μῦθον προφέρων**: = βουλόμενός τι λέγειν. — **πέλας ὁρμηθῇ**: = πελάσῃ. 190–203. In this moralising passage Euripides makes the old slave-woman the vehicle of his regret that musicians have not realised the *vis medicatrix musicae*, the power of music to "minister to a mind diseased" with passion and to "soothe the savage breast". Music is made the spice of joy, not the solace of melancholy. The connection of the thought is this, that if music had been rightly developed, it might now be used to cure Medea of her revengeful despondency. We inevitably think of David charming Saul's melancholia. 190. **σκαιούς**: = ἄφρονας, as is shewn by κοὐδέν τι σοφούς. — **λέγων**: 'counting', 'accounting'. 192–194. **οἵτινες ... ηὗροντ᾽**: generic clause of characteristic = qui ... invenerint. — **ἐπὶ ... δείπνοις**: adjective to ὕμνους, not adverb to

Στυγίους δὲ βροτῶν οὐδεὶς λύπας   195
ἠύρετο μούσῃ καὶ πολυχόρδοις
ᾠδαῖς παύειν, ἐξ ὧν θάνατοι
δειναί τε τύχαι σφάλλουσι δόμους.
καίτοι τάδε μὲν κέρδος ἀκεῖσθαι
μολπαῖσι βροτούς, ἵνα δ' εὔδειπνοι   200
δαῖτες, τί μάτην τείνουσι βοήν;
τὸ πάρον γὰρ ἔχει τέρψιν ἐφ' αὐτοῦ
γαστρὸς πλήρωμα βροτοῖσιν.

ἤυροντο. The μέν does double
duty (cp. v. 11), it helps to contrast
οἵτινες . . . ἀκοάς with Στυγίους
. . . δόμους and helps to balance
ἐπὶ θαλίαις with ἐπὶ εἰλαπίναις.
οἵτινες μὲν ἐπί τε θαλίαις κτέ·
would be regular. — ὄλβου τερπ-
νὰς ἀκοάς: 'the pleasing sounds
(ἀκοάς = ἀκροάματα) that wealth
and happiness hear'. Cp. *I.T.*
454 τερπνῶν ὕμνων ἀπόλαυσιν, κοι-
νὰν χάριν ὄλβῳ, 'the enjoyment
of pleasing hymns, an universal
joy for wealth and happiness'.

195. Στυγίους: here and in *Hel.*
1339 f. (Στυγίους ὀργάς) a vig-
orous and picturesque substitute
for στυγνάς or στυγεράς: for what
can be more sullen than the River
of Sullenness (Στύξ)? From this
passage Milton, a close student
of Euripides, may have drawn the
opening of his *L'Allegro*: Hence
loathed Melancholy (= στυγερὰ
Λύπη), | Of *Cerberus* and black-
est Midnight born, | In *Stygian*

Cave forlorn. 196. ἠύρετο: = (in
meaning and construction) ἔμαθε.
197. ἐξ ὧν: sc. γενόμενοι. The rel.
refers to λύπας. —θάνατοι: = φόνοι.
198. σφάλλουσι: *subvertunt*. —
δόμους: = οἴκους. 199. καίτοι:
argumentative and = *at*. 200 f.
εὔδειπνοι δαῖτες: cp. v. 109 and the
note thereon. — τείνουσι βοήν: a
contemptuous phrase for κατα-
τείνοντες ('vigorously') βοῶσι.
(Ἀντὶ τοῦ ᾄδουσι μετὰ τόνου
Schol.) We find a similar phrase
in Aesch. *Pers.* 574 τεῖνε δὲ δυσ-
βάυκτον | βόατιν τάλαιναν αὐδάν.
But here Euripides seems to in-
sist on the meaning of τείνουσι
('stretch', 'strain') in a con-
temptuous sense. 202 f. ἐφ' αὐ-
τοῦ: 'by itself', *i.e.* without the
addition of any τερπνὴ ἀκοή.—
The old woman now goes into the
house, presently to appear with
her mistress. In the meantime
the coryphaeus (presumably)
chants the epode, which serves

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἀχὰν ἄιον πολύστονον γόων,
λιγυρὰ δ' ἄχεα μογερὰ βοᾷ   205
τὸν λέχεος προδόταν κακονύμφου,
θεοκλυτεῖ δ', ἄδικα παθοῦσα,
τὰν Ζηνὸς ὁρκίαν θέμιν
ἅ νιν ἔβασεν
Ἑλλάδ' ἐς ἀντίπορον   210
δι' ἅλα μύχιον ἐφ' ἁλμυρὰν
Πόντου κλῇδ' ἀπέραντον.

as a foil to the proöde (vv. 131–137) and sums up what the chorus have learned of Medea's condition thus far. ἄιον (v. 204) views the former utterance in v. 131 in retrospect; hence the imperfect.

204. ἀχὰν πολύστονον γόων: cp. βαρύθυμον ὀργὰν καὶ λῆμα φρενῶν v. 176 f. 205. λιγυρά: practically an adverb with βοᾷ, albeit it agrees with ἄχεα. — δ': almost = γάρ. 206. τὸν λέχεος προδόταν κακονύμφου: loose object to the phrase ἄχεα βοᾷ. Tr. 'him that betrayed her wedlock (and so made it) wretched'. προδόταν and κακονύμφου are juxtaposed as cause and effect. For λέχεος κακονύμφου cp. the note on μεγαλόσπλαγχνος ψυχή v. 109 f. κακόνυμφος seems to be a peculiarly Euripidean word (cp. v. 990). 207. θεοκλυτεῖ: the first element is tautological, because the verb has a substantive object (θέμιν).

— ἄδικα παθοῦσα: causal; having suffered human injustice, she invokes divine justice. 208. τὰν Ζηνὸς ὁρκίαν θέμιν: the τάν is more than article and anticipates ἅ v. 209 (id jusjurandum quod). Ζηνός is objective genitive as commonly with ὅρκος. ὁρκία θέμις is merely a circumlocution for ὅρκος. Cp. ius iurandum and θεῶν ἔνορκον δίκαν Soph. Ant. 369. 209. It was Medea's confidence in Jason's oath that made her go with him. 210. ἀντίπορον: transmarinam. 211. ἅλα μύχιον: 'the sea in the nook' is the Propontis; cp. Aesch. Pers. 876 μυχία Προποντίς, which Euripides probably had in mind. The variety of prepositions in this line is noticeable. 212. The Hellespont is of course meant. It is the key to the Black Sea (Πόντος), because one enters that sea from the Aegaean by way of it. It is 'endless' (Ἑλλήσποντος ἀπείρων

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Κορίνθιαι γυναῖκες, ἐξῆλθον δόμων,
μή μοί τι μέμψησθ᾽ · οἶδα γὰρ πολλοὺς βροτῶν   215
σεμνοὺς γεγῶτας — τοὺς μὲν ὀμμάτων ἄπο,

in Homer's phrase, Ω 545, which
seems to be the model of Euripi-
des's phrase), because it flows out
of one body of water (the Aegaean)
into another (the Propontis), is, in
other words, a strait. — After her
great agitation Medea now comes
out and speaks with a good deal
of calmness. A long and calm —
or comparatively calm — speech
by a character that has previously
been under great excitement is
no uncommon feature of Greek
tragedy. We may compare with
this speech of Medea's that of
Alcestis, *Alc.* 280-325, after she
has passed, as it were, through
the valley of the shadow of death ;
that of Admetus, *Alc.* 935-961,
after his bitter lamentations over
Alcestis's death ; that of Oedipus,
Soph. *O.T.* 1369-1415, after his
blinding ; and, especially, that of
Phaedra to the Troezenian ma-
trons after her passionate out-
bursts, *Hipp.* 373-430. — The
sympathy with women shewn by
Euripides in this speech is, if a
man may judge, very keen and
sure. It is a new and striking
thing in literature. Cp. Introd.
p. 28. Woman-hater, forsooth!

214–218. 'Ladies of Corinth, I
have come out of the house for
fear of your finding some fault
with me ; for I know of many
people having been haughty, partly
by observation [among my own
people], partly among aliens [by
hearsay], while [many] others by
reason of a retired habit of life
have won [a reputation for] un-
friendliness and lack of public
·spirit.' 214. γυναῖκες : courte-
ous, as regularly in address ; cp.
ἄνδρες. Ennius translated it by
matronae. See Introd. p. 51.
— ἐξῆλθον : ἦλθον is here, as
often, equivalent to a perfect.
215 f. μή . . . μέμψησθ᾽ : sc. μὴ
ἐξελθούσῃ. — οἶδα . . . γεγῶτας : for
the form of expression cp. *Alc.*
747 ff. πολλοὺς μὲν ἤδη κἀπὸ
παντοίας χθονὸς | ξένους μολόντας
οἶδ᾽ ἐς Ἀδμήτου δόμους | οἷς δεῖπνα
προύθηκ᾽, where, however, οἶδα
more nearly approaches μέμνημαι
in meaning. — The force of πολ-
λούς extends through v. 218, as
indicated in the translation. —
πολλοὺς βροτῶν is = βροτοὺς πολ-
λάκις ('people oftentimes'). —
σεμνούς : 'haughty', as shunning
speech with other people. The

τοὺς δ' ἐν θυραίοις —, οἱ δ' ἀφ' ἡσύχου ποδὸς
δύσνοιαν ἐκτήσαντο καὶ ῥᾳθυμίαν.   218
χρὴ δὲ ξένον μὲν κάρτα προσχωρεῖν πόλει,   222
οὐ δ' ἀστὸν ᾔνεσ' ὅστις, αὐθάδης γεγώς,   223
πικρὸς πολίταις ἐστὶν ἀμαθίας ὕπο ·   224

best commentary on σεμνός as used
here is *Hipp.* 93–99, where the
σεμνός is contrasted with the εὐ-
προσήγορος (*affabilis*, 'affable').—
γεγῶτας is = γεγόνασι in *orat. rect.*
For this use of the perfect ('em-
pirical') see GS. 259. 216 f. τοὺς
μὲν . . . θυραίοις : expressed rather
in the strained style of Sophocles.
Two pairs of balanced phrases are
so combined that only one of each
pair is expressed, *i.e.* τοὺς μὲν
ὀμμάτων ἄπο, ⟨τοὺς δ' ἀκοῇ⟩ is
fused with ⟨τοὺς μὲν ἐν οἰκείοις⟩,
τοὺς δ' ἐν θυραίοις.

217 f. We might, barring
metre, have had πολλοὺς μὲν in
v. 215 and πολλοὺς δ' . . . κτησα-
μένους here instead of οἱ δ' ἐκτή-
σαντο. The second member of
the longish compound sentence
reverts to the direct form. — ἀφ'
ἡσύχου ποδός : = ἀφ' ἡσυχίας or
ἥσυχοι ὄντες. — ἐκτήσαντο : for
κτῆσθαι, 'to win a name for', 'be
accounted thus and so', see L.
and S. *s.vv.* κτάομαι and ῥᾳθυμία
and cp. *Hipp.* 701 πρὸς τὰς τύχας
γὰρ τὰς φρένας κεκτήμεθα, 'for we
are accounted wise or unwise ac-
cording to our success or failure'.
The aorist is 'empirical', see GS.

259 (cp. v. 255). — ῥᾳθυμίαν : 'in-
difference', 'lack of public spirit'.
On ῥᾳθυμία see Aristotle Ἀθ.
πολ. 8. 5.   222. δέ : introduc-
ing vv. 222–224 as an argument
against living ἀφ' ἡσύχου ποδός,
especially in the case of a for-
eigner (as Medea is). The rea-
son why it is bad for one to live
retired is given in vv. 219–221.
— προσχωρεῖν : implying intimate
relation ; cp. πόλει : = πολίταις.
223. οὐ δ' : for οὐ δέ, 'but not' ;
cp. Soph. *El.* 910 f. κἀγὼ μὲν
οὐκ ἔδρασα . . . οὐ δ' αὖ σύ. See
also Sauppe *Ausg. Schriften*, p.
129 (= *Epist. Cr.* p. 77 f.). For
οὐ δέ Greek generally substitutes
οὐ μέντοι, keeping οὐδέ for the
sense καὶ οὐ. — ἀστόν :)(ξένον,
as commonly. — ᾔνεσ' : an idio-
matic use of the aorist indic. in
which the kind of action is em-
phasised to the disregarding of
the time of the action. We have
practically an aorist present. —
αὐθάδης γεγώς : 'living unto him-
self'. The literal sense of αὐθά-
δης, 'self-pleasing', seems to be in-
sisted on here. The words seem
to mean no more than ἀφ' ἡσύχου
ποδός. — The sentence would · be

δίκη γὰρ οὐκ ἔνεστιν ὀφθαλμοῖς βροτῶν · 219

πᾶς τις πρὶν ἀνδρὸς σπλάγχνον ἐκμαθεῖν σαφῶς 220

στυγεῖ δεδορκώς, οὐδὲν ἠδικημένος. 221

more normal in form. If we had (*pace Mazae*) χρὴ δὲ ξένον μὲν κάρτα προσχωρεῖν πόλει, ἀστὸν δὲ μὴ αὐθάδη γεγῶτα ὥστε πικρὸν πολίταις εἶναι ἀμαθίας ὕπο. 224. ἀπλῶς πολίταις: cp. λυπρὸς ἐν πόλει v. 301. — ἀμαθίας ὕπο: = ἐπεὶ οὐκ ἔμαθον αὐτόν. 'through lack of acquaintance'. The literal sense of ἀμαθία is pressed here. and the meaning of the phrase is fully explained in the three following verses.

219-221. People are wont to judge by the outward appearance. and this often involves dealing unrighteous judgement. 220. ὧδε τις: cp. v. 86. In prose commonly ἕκαστός τις. — ἀνδρός: = (in this context) τινός. but far more appropriate in a man's mouth than in a woman's. — σπλάγχνον: 'heart', in the sense of 'inner character' (ψυχήν τε καὶ φρόνημα καὶ γνώμην. as Creon puts it in Soph. *Ant.* 176). — σαφῶς: reinforcing the preposition in ἐκμαθεῖν. 221. δεδορκώς: = ἐξ ὄψεως. 'on the basis of (outward) appearance'. It is, of course, assumed throughout that the misjudged person is thoroughly good at heart. — Medea as *femme incomprise* represents the

misjudged philosopher. Indeed. it is pretty certain that Euripides is here pleading the cause of his master Anaxagoras lately banished from Athens. (See Introd. p. 11 f.) Incidentally he pleads his own cause. too. when he puts in a word for the native (ἀστόν v. 223). The reserve and aloofness of both master and pupil had led Euripides would imply. to misunderstanding of their real character. His disapproval of unsociability on the part of both citizen and alien here seems an adroit touch. He would defend his master. though in veiled terms. and he would also (for he has still his mission at Athens) screen himself against a like fate. But. for all his protestations. his serious and reserved nature was too strong for him. The reference to music above taken together with this passage proves that there was a good deal of justice in the lines of Alexander Aetolus (Gellius *N.A.* 15. 20. 8):

Ὁ δ᾽ Ἀναξαγόρου τρόφιμος ('old') χαιοῦ ('old') στρυφνὸς ('crabbed') μὲν ἔμοιγε προσειπεῖν

καὶ μισογέλως καὶ τωθάζειν ('jest') οὐδὲ τῷ οἶνος μαθών

ἐμοὶ δ' ἄελπτον πρᾶγμα προσπεσὸν τόδε   225
ψυχὴν διέφθαρκ', οἴχομαι δὲ καὶ βίου
χάριν μεθεῖσα κατθανεῖν χρῄζω, φίλαι·
ἐν ᾧ γὰρ ἦν μοι πάντα γίγνεσθαι καλῶς
κάκιστος ἀνδρῶν ἐκβέβηχ' — οὑμὸς πόσις.   ✔
πάντων δ' ὅσ' ἔστ' ἔμψυχα καὶ γνώμην ἔχει   230
γυναῖκές ἐσμεν ἀθλιώτατον φυτόν,
ἃς πρῶτα μὲν δεῖ χρημάτων ὑπερβολῇ
πόσιν πρίασθαι δεσπότην τε σώματος
λαβεῖν — ⟨λαβεῖν⟩ γὰρ οὔ, τόδ' ἄλγιον κακόν —,

---

225. ἐμοὶ δ': 'for *me*, however'. Medea begins here to excuse herself for recreancy to the principles she has just set forth — for having for a time held aloof from the society of the ladies at Corinth contrary to what she believes should be the conduct of an alien towards natives. ἐμοί goes ἀπὸ κοινοῦ both with προσπεσόν and with διέφθαρκ'. — **ἄελπτον:** predicative with προσπεσόν. — **τόδε:** looking forward, and explained by the γάρ sentence. **226. ψυχὴν διέφθαρκ':** 'has blighted my inner being' (ψυχήν)(σῶμα), 'has blasted my life'. The meaning of the bold phrase is explained by οἴχομαι (= ἀπόλωλα) . . . χρῄζω. **227. χάριν:** 'joy'. **228.** 'For he on whom depended my whole well-being.' This relative clause with involved antecedent is the subject of the following verb.

οὑμὸς πόσις (229) is in apposition to the involved subject. **230. ἔστ':** = ἐστὶν. The accent in the text is due to the elision. — ἔστ' ἔμψυχα: = ζῇ. — γνώμην ἔχει: = φρόνησιν ἔχει, φρονεῖ. Vs. 230 is equivalent (in Aristotelian phraseology) to πάντων τῶν λογικῶν ζῴων. **231. γυναῖκες:** subject, not predicate, to ἐσμέν. — φυτόν (practically 'creature') is redundant. **232. ὑπερβολῇ** (lit. 'out-shooting') suggests the invidious notion of a competition for husbands, an outbidding at an auction. **233. δεσπότην σώματος:** a bitter etymology of πόσιν, which turns the husband into a slave-owner. That the slave has to buy her master in this case is an adding of insult to injury. **234. λαβεῖν:** = σχεῖν. — ἄλγιον: sc. τοῦ λαβεῖν ἐστιν. — τόδ' resumes the preceding inf.

κἂν τῷδ' ἀγὼν μέγιστος ἦ κακὸν λαβεῖν   235
ἢ χρηστόν·. οὐ γὰρ εὐκλεεῖς ἀπαλλαγαὶ
γυναιξίν, οὐδ' οἷόν τ' ἀνήνασθαι πόσιν.
ἐς καινὰ δ' ἤθη καὶ νόμους ἀφιγμένην
δεῖ μάντιν εἶναι — μὴ μαθοῦσαν οἴκοθεν —
ὅπως μάλιστα χρήσεται ξυνευνέτῃ.   240
κἂν μὲν τάδ' ἡμῖν εὖ πονουμέναισιν εὖ
πόσις ξυνοικῇ, μὴ βίᾳ φέρων ζυγόν,

235-7. **τῷδ'**: anticipating and explained by the sentence οὐ γὰρ . . . πόσιν. Cp. τόδε 225. — **ἀγὼν μέγιστος** (cp. *Hipp.* 496) is pred. to an understood ἐστίν, of which ἦ κακὸν . . . χρηστόν is the subj. Medea means to say that the greatest trial in getting a husband, whether bad or good (ἦ κακὸν ἦ χρηστόν), consists in the following (ἐν τῷδ', defined in the γάρ sentence). — **ἀπαλλαγαί**: legal separations, divorces obtained by women, difficult to obtain under Attic law and bringing odium upon such women as obtained them (οὐκ εὐκλεεῖς γυναιξίν). — **οἷόν τ'** : = ἔξεστιν, sc. γυναικί = 'for a wife'. — **ἀνήνασθαι** : = ἀποπέμψαι. It was easy for a man to put away his wife. — In pleading, as he practically does in vv. 235-7, for the same freedom in matters of divorce for women as for men Euripides is taking up a bold position, and we cannot wonder that he does not enlarge on the subject. **238. ἤθη καὶ νό-**

μους : *mores et leges*, figurative for what we should call surroundings or relations. — **ἀφιγμένην** : agreeing with γυναῖκα understood. **239. μὴ μαθοῦσαν οἴκοθεν** : 'unless she have learned at home' (οἴκοθεν, because she brings the supposed knowledge from home) — as she will probably not have done. **240. ὅπως μάλιστα χρήσεται** : 'how as near as may be, about how, she is to treat', *quo maxime modo*. The clause depends on μάντιν εἶναι. μάλιστα is used somewhat as it is with expressions of number or measure to indicate approximations. ὅπως δή would have had a somewhat similar force. **241. τάδ'** : *i.e.* τὰ πρὸς τὸν ξυνευνέτην (or πόσιν), meaning, of course, the treatment of a husband (τὴν τοῦ ξυνευνέτου χρῆσιν). **242. μὴ . . . ζυγόν** : explanatory of the εὖ after πονουμέναισιν, which belong to ξυνοικῇ. For the familiar metaphor cp. v. 13. μὴ βίᾳ implies as its opposite ἀλλ' ὁμαλῶς.

ζηλωτὸς αἰών· εἰ δὲ μή, θανεῖν χάρις.
ἀνήρ γ᾽, ὅταν τοῖς ἔνδον ἄχθηται ξυνών,
ἔξω μολὼν ἔπαυσε καρδίαν ἄσης,   245
ἢ πρὸς φίλων τιν᾽ ἢ πρὸς ἥλικας τραπείς·
ἡμῖν δ᾽ ἀνάγκη πρὸς μίαν ψυχὴν βλέπειν.
λέγουσι δ᾽ ἡμᾶς ὡς ἀκίνδυνον βίον
ζῶμεν κατ᾽ οἴκους, οἱ δὲ μάρνανται δορί·
κακῶς φρονοῦντες, ὡς τρὶς ἂν παρ᾽ ἀσπίδα   250
στῆναι θέλοιμ᾽ ἂν μᾶλλον ἢ τεκεῖν ἅπαξ.

243. **ζηλωτὸς αἰών** : sc. ἡμῖν
ἐστιν. — **εἰ δὲ μή** : phraseological,
where ἐὰν δὲ μή would be logical.
See G. 1417, B. 616. 3, Gl. 656 c.
— **θανεῖν χάρις** : cp. Aesch. *Ag.*
550, Soph. *El.* 821, and above
v. 227 (for the sense 'joy').
244. **ξυνών** : supplementary with
ἄχθηται and giving the cause of it
('of the company of his family'
[τοῖς ἔνδον], meaning particularly
his wife). 245. **ἔπαυσε** : gnomic.
— **ἄσης** : 'ennui', 'boredom'.
246. **πρὸς . . . τραπείς**: *conver-
sus ad*, 'seeking the society of'.
247. **ἡμῖν** = γυναιξί, *i.e.* women
as a class, contrasted with ἀνήρ.
— **πρὸς . . . βλέπειν** : 'look to the
mind of one person', 'be depend-
ent upon the society of one per-
son', viz. a husband. For the
idiom, cp. Xen. Anab. 3. 1. 36,
Eur. *Androm.* 179, *H.F.* 81. The
narrowness of the life of Athenian
married women as contrasted with
the free life enjoyed by their h⸱

bands is here briefly and power-
fully depicted. The man finds his
wife dull and neglects her more or
less ; the wife has no other proper
society and companionship than
that of her husband. 248. **λέγουσι** :
sc. οἱ ἄνδρες. There is a scornful
emphasis on λέγουσι : it is all fic-
tion (λόγος), not fact (ἔργον). —
ἡμᾶς : = γυναῖκας. The acc. is
proleptic. 249. **οἱ δέ** : as though
ἡμεῖς μέν had preceded. — **μάρναν-
ται δορί** : = στρατεύονται, but more
picturesque. 250 f. **κακῶς φρο-
νοῦντες** : 'wrongly', to be connected
with λέγουσι. — **ὡς** : introducing
the reason for the assertion con-
tained in κακῶς φρονοῦντες. —
παρ᾽ ἀσπίδα στῆναι : = ἐς μάχην
καταστῆναι. The expression is
natural, inasmuch as the bulk of
the Athenian army were hoplites
armed with the spear (δορί, v. 249)
and the shield (ἀσπίς). Ennius
(see Introd. p. 52) translates ὡς
                          ter sub armis

ἀλλ', οὐ γὰρ αὐτὸς πρὸς σὲ κἄμ' ἥκει λόγος ·
σοὶ μὲν πόλις θ' ἥδ' ἐστὶ καὶ πατρὸς δόμοι,
ὄλβου τ' ὄνησις καὶ φίλων συνουσία ·
ἐγὼ δ' ἔρημος ἄπολις οὖσ' ὑβρίζομαι   255
πρὸς ἀνδρός, ἐκ γῆς βαρβάρου λεληϲμένη,
οὐ μητέρ', οὐκ ἀδελφόν, οὐχὶ συγγενῆ
μεθορμίσασθαι τῆσδ' ἔχουσα συμφορᾶς.
τοσοῦτον οὖν σοῦ τυγχάνειν βουλήσομαι ·
ἤν μοι πόρος τις μηχανή τ' ἐξευρεθῇ   260
πόσῳ δίκην τῶνδ' ἀντιτείσασθαι κακῶν,   261

malim vitam cernere | quam semel modo parere. The sentiment, a striking one, seems to be parodied (as is indicated too by the reference to the theatre) in Lysias 24. 9 εἰ γὰρ ἐγὼ κατασταθεὶς χορηγὸς τραγῳδοῖς προκαλεσαίμην αὐτὸν εἰς ἀντίδοσιν ('an exchange of properties', see Lex.) δεκάκις ἂν ἕλοιτο χορηγῆσαι μᾶλλον ἢ ἀντιδοῦναι ἅπαξ.

252. ἀλλ': marks the sharp transition to the concluding section of the speech. — **σέ**, like the **σοί** in the next verse, is addressed to the Coryphaeus. — **ἥκει**: 'applies'. — **λόγος**: 'reasoning', 'argument'. **253. ἥδ'**: best taken as appositive to **πόλις**. We should naturally say 'here'. **254. τ'** connects the first pair of substantives in v. 253 with the pair in this verse, and also anticipates **καί** before **φίλων**. — **ὄλβου ὄνησις**: 'benefit derived from wealth' seems to be meant. **255. ἔρημος ἄπολις**: note the pretty

chiasmus. **ἔρημος** is contrasted with βίου . . . συνουσία, **ἄπολις** with πόλις . . . δόμοι. The *asyndeton bimembre* is also to be noted. **256. ἀνδρός**: 'my husband'. — **λεληϲμένη**: hardly true to the facts. **258. μεθορμίσασθαι**: = πρὸς ὄντινα μεθορμιοῦμαι, 'to shift anchorage to'. The "sea of troubles" is a natural commonplace of Greek, as of English, tragedy; cp. Aesch. *Pers.* 433; Eur. *Hipp.* 822, *H.F.* 1087, *Suppl.* 824. — **συμφορᾶς**: ablatival. **259. βουλήσομαι**: βούλομαι would do; but here, as in many other cases, the verb of will tends to take the 'will' form, *i.e.* the so-called future. **260. πόρος μηχανή τ'**: 'way and means'. — **μοι**: dative of apparent agent, as though ἐξευρεθῇ were perfect. **261. πόσιν δίκην**: for the double acc. cp. *Heracl.* 851 f. — **κακῶν**: dependent on the preposition of ἀντιτείσασθαι.

σιγᾶν. γυνὴ γὰρ τἄλλα μὲν φόβου πλέα   263
κακή τ' ἐς ἀλκὴν καὶ σίδηρον εἰσορᾶν·
ὅταν δ' ἐς εὐνὴν ἠδικημένη κυρῇ,   265
οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλη φρὴν μιαιφονωτέρα.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

δράσω τόδ'· ἐνδίκως γὰρ ἐκτείσῃ πόσιν,
Μήδεια· πενθεῖν δ' οὔ σε θαυμάζω τύχας.
ὁρῶ δὲ καὶ Κρέοντα, τῆσδ' ἄνακτα γῆς,
στείχοντα καινῶν ἄγγελον βουλευμάτων.   270

τὸν δόντα τ' αὐτῷ θυγατέρ' ἥ τ' ἐγήματο   262

---

263. **σιγᾶν**: appositive to το-
σοῦτον v. 259. — **γάρ**: presents its
sentence as a justification of the
violent purpose of v. 261. — **μέν**:
correlative to δέ v. 265; but the μέν
clause is itself compound, its second
half, v. 264, being linked to the first
part by τε. For μέν . . . τε . . .
δέ cp. vv. 11–16. — **φόβου πλέα**:
also Aesch. *Suppl.* 696. 264. **ἐς
ἀλκήν** and **σίδηρον εἰσορᾶν** are par-
allel constructions with κακή. ἐς
is our 'for'. 265. **εὐνήν**: 'mar-
riage relations'. — **κυρῇ**: = τυγ-
χάνῃ. 266. **μιαιφονωτέρα**: sc. τῆς
ἐκείνης, 'than hers'. — In vv. 214–
265 we find again (see on vv. 46–
95 above) a careful symmetry.
The speech as a whole falls into
three parts: 1) vv. 214–229, 2)
vv. 230–251, 3) vv. 252–266 (— v.
262). In 1) we have the arrange-
ment 5+3+3+5; in 2) we have
2 (general principle) +3+3+3+

3+4+4; in 3) we find 7+7. This
arrangement, first noted by Hirzel
(*De Euripidis in componendis
diverbiis arte*, Bonn, 1862, p.
26), is accepted by M. Weil,
though in his present text he re-
jects v. 246, which the symmetry
proves genuine. For another and
even more striking example of
symmetry in a long speech in
Euripides see *Alc.* 152–198 (— v.
178), where the arrangement is
(as Professor H. Sauppe noted in
the margin of his copy of Kirch-
hoff's editio maior of Euripides)
4 (introduction) +7+7+7+7+7
+7. 267 f. The mild and al-
most tolerant view of Jason's con-
duct taken by the Chorus at vv. 155
and 176 has been modified by Me-
dea's eloquent presentation of her
case. — **τόδ'**: *i.e.* ἤν σοι πόρος
τις . . . σιγᾶν (see vv. 260–262
above). — **ἐκτείσῃ πόσιν**: cp. v.

ΚΡΕШΝ

σέ, τὴν σκυθρωπὸν καὶ πόσει θυμουμένην
Μήδειαν, εἶπον τῆσδε γῆς ἔξω περᾶν
φυγάδα, λαβοῦσαν δισσὰ σὺν σαυτῇ τέκνα,

261. 268. πενθεῖν ... τέχας: in-
terlocked for πενθεῖν δέ σε οὐ
θαυμάζω. 269 f. From the audi-
ence's point of view these verses
serve to introduce the newcomer,
who appears, in the conventional
stage guise of a king and with at-
tendants, from the spectator's right
(cp. v. 335). The entrance of Creon
marks the beginning of the cen-
tral scene of the first ἐπεισόδιον.
This central scene is separated
from the two long speeches of
Medea (vv. 214-266 and 364-408)
by verses spoken by the leader of
the chorus (267-270 and 357-363).
In this scene Medea's position is
rendered still more unbearable by
Creon's announcing to her in per-
son the edict of banishment which
Medea's servant has already had
word of, but has not revealed to
her mistress. — καί: *i.e.* in addition
to those that are already here pres-
ent. Said as though the following
στείχοντα were παρόντα. 270. The
woman speaks as though she knew
something about the proposed ban-
ishment. καινῶν ... βουλευμάτων
could hardly be said by one that
was without some inkling of
Creon's purpose. But such know-
ledge on the part of the chorus is

inconsistent with what precedes.
ἄγγελον: practically = (as a noun
of agency or function often is) an
expression of purpose (here ἀγγε-
λοῦντα).

271-273. In these verses Creon
publishes a decree to the object
of it. The decree is announced
in indirect form; the direct form
would run thus: Ἡ σκυθρωπὸς
καὶ πόσει θυμουμένη Μήδεια
τῆσδε γῆς ἔξω περάτω (shall
pass) φυγὰς λαβοῦσα δισσὰ σὺν
ἑαυτῇ τέκνα. In the indirect
form what would have been the
subject of the direct form be-
comes an appositive to the direct
object of the verb on which
the decree, in its indirect form,
depends. We cannot, of course,
understand σὲ εἶπον as 'I told
you'. The words mean 'I decree
that you'. For the aorist see on
ᾔνεσ' v. 223. — τὴν ... Μήδειαν:
*tristem illam et viro iratam Me-
deam.* — τῆσδε ... φυγάδα: =
τήνδε γῆν φυγεῖν. — λαβοῦσαν ...
σὺν σαυτῇ: = συλλαβοῦσαν: in
English simply 'with' or 'together
with'. Cp. the use of λιπών in
the sense of 'from'. — δισσὰ ...
τέκνα: = τὼ σὼ τέκνω. Cp. the
use of *bini* in Latin poetry.

καὶ μή τι μέλλειν, ὡς ἐγὼ βραβεὺς λόγου
πάρειμι τοῦδε κοὐκ ἄπειμι πρὸς δόμους   275
πρὶν ἄν σε γαίας τερμόνων ἔξω βάλω.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

αἰαῖ, πανώλης — ἡ τάλαιν' — ἀπόλλυμαι ·
ἐχθροὶ γὰρ ἐξιᾶσι πάντα δὴ κάλων,
κοὐκ ἔστιν ἄτης εὐπρόσοιστος ἔκβασις.

274. **μέλλειν** : continuing the
const. of πρὶν. — **ὡς** : introducing
a reason after an imv. as often.
— **ἐγώ** : with emphasis, = ἐγὼ κύ-
ριος ὤν. — **βραβεὺς . . . πάρειμι** :
arbiter huius edicti adsum, 'I am
here to see this order obeyed'. Cp.
βραβεύειν, 'superintend', 'oversee',
Hel. 1073. — **λόγου τοῦδ'** refers to
εἶπον (v. 272). 275. **πάρειμι κοὐκ
ἄπειμι** : is a play on words, though
εἰμί and -ειμι are of different origin.
— **πάλιν** repeats ἄπ-. 276. **γαίας
. . . βάλω** : = τῆς γῆς ἐκβάλω. In
ἐγώ . . . βάλω the same thought
is, as often in Greek (so too in
English, though less regularly),
expressed twice, once in an affirm-
ative, once in a negative, form.
277–281. Medea first breaks out
into a passionate expression of
despair (vv. 277–279) ; then, as
suddenly recovering herself, she
demands the reason of her banish-
ment (vv. 280–281). 277. **πανώ-
λης** : predicative with ἀπόλλυμαι
and practically = the cognate acc.
πάντα ὄλεθρον or the adv. παντε-

λῶς. 278 f. Medea describes
herself under the figure of a ship
that is being overhauled by an-
other. We naturally think of a
merchantman pursued by a pirate
—such a scene as Browning has
conjured up in the beginning of
Balaustion's Adventure. — **ἐξιᾶσι
. . . κάλων** : 'are making all sail'
(lit. 'are letting out all rope').
Cp. *H.F.* 837. κάλως is = *rudens*.
The reference is to that particular
rope known as the πούς, the
'sheet' of the great leg-of-mutton
(or lateen) sail. Cp. Virg. *Aen.*
3. 682 f. rudentis | excutere, 5.
830 una omnes fecere pedem, 8.
708 vela dare et laxos iam iamque
inmittere funis. — **δή** intensifies
πάντα. — **κοὐκ . . . ἔκβασις** : = κοὐκ
ἔστιν ἄτης (= ὀλέθρου) ῥᾳδία ἐκ-
φυγή. Cp. *Or.* 779 ἐκβῆναι κακῶν.
The latter half of the verse keeps
up the figure of the fleeing ship.
εὐπρόσοιστος ἔκβασις is 'a land-
ing' (abstract for concrete in both
Greek and English) 'easy to put in
at'. ~~~ εὐπρόσοιστος is = ῥᾳδία

ἐρήσομαι δέ — καὶ κακῶς πάσχουσ᾽ ὅμως —   280
τίνος μ᾽ ἕκατι γῆς ἀποστέλλεις, Κρέον.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

δέδοικά σ᾽ — οὐδὲν δεῖ παραμπίσχειν λόγους —
μή μοί· τι δράσῃς παῖδ᾽ ἀνήκεστον κακόν.
συμβάλλεται δὲ πολλὰ τοῦδε δείματος·
σοφὴ πέφυκας καὶ κακῶν πολλῶν ἴδρις,   285
λυπῇ δὲ λέκτρων ἀνδρὸς ἐστερημένη,

προσφέρεσθαι or οἷα ῥᾳδίως προσ-
φέρεσθαι. — ἄτης depends on the
prep. in ἔκβασις.

280. καὶ ... ὅμως explains the
adversative δέ ('however'). The
adversative force of the participle
is brought out by καί and ὅμως,
the latter of which particles be-
longs grammatically to ἐρήσομαι,
phraseologically to the participle.
281. ἕκατι: = ἕνεκα. — 282. παρ-
αμπίσχειν: = παραμπέχειν. The
word means lit. 'to cloak (ἀμπέ-
χειν: cp. ἀμπεχόνη) awry (παρά)',
i.e. 'to disguise'. — λόγους: 'rea-
sons'. λόγος answers to ratio,
enumeratio, oratio, narratio.
283. μοί: dativus incommodi in
the strictest sense. It expresses
more emotion than ἐμήν. 284. συμ-
βάλλεται: 'contribute to', 'go to
make up'. — δείματος: = δέους, φό-
βου (partitive gen.). Cp. Thuc. 3.
36. 2 καὶ προσξυνεβάλετο οὐκ ἐλά-
χιστον τῆς ὁρμῆς αἱ Πελοποννη-
σίων νῆες ἐς Ἰωνίαν ἐκείνοις βοηθοὶ

τολμήσασαι παρακινδυνεῦσαι, 'and
there contributed no little to the
movement the Peloponnesians'
ships having risked coming to
Ionia to help'. We find μέρος
expressed Lys. 30. 16 τοῦ μὲν γὰρ
ὑμᾶς φυγεῖν ('to your flight')
μέρος τι καὶ οὗτος συνεβάλετο.
The present passage seems to be
imitated (through Menander) by
Terence Heaut. 232 concurrunt
multa eam opinionem quae mihi
animo exaugent. 285 = σοφὴ μὲν
γὰρ πέφυκας κτέ. πέφυκας is =
φύσει εἶ. With ἴδρις we supply in
thought εἶ simply. — In this vs.
and the four following the πολλά
that contribute to Creon's terror
are enumerated: (a) Medea's
native genius (v. 285), (β) her
acquired knowledge of magic,
κακά = κακαὶ τέχναι (v. 285),
(γ) Jason's provocation (v. 286),
(δ) Medea's consequent threat
as reported to Creon (vv. 287–
289).

·χρὴ δ' οὔ ποθ' ὅστις ἀρτίφρων πέφυκ' ἀνὴρ
παῖδας περισσῶς ἐκδιδάσκεσθαι σοφούς·   295
χωρὶς γὰρ ἄλλης ἧς ἔχουσιν ἀργίας
φθόνον πρὸς ἀστῶν ἀλφάνουσι δυσμενῆ·

then (v. 306) comes to the real point at issue — the effect on Creon's mind of δόξα in her case (σὺ δ' αὖ φοβῇ με). In vv. 307 (latter half)-311 Medea tries to clear herself of Creon's suspicion, winding up her speech with the request that she may not be banished, backing it with the promise that she will hold her tongue and submit. It is pretty plain in all this that vv. 294-305, particularly vv. 294-301, are dragged in. The bitterness expressed here is Euripides's own. He is holding a brief for a real person, not merely for a character of his own creating. That person was in all probability Anaxagoras, who had been banished from Athens on the alleged ground of impiety, but really, as Euripides would have us think, because of ignorant prejudice and jealousy. See Introd., p. 12, and Parmentier, *Euripide et Anaxagore*, p. 14.

294. For δέ beginning a detailed discussion see, for example, v. 526. — χρὴ οὔ ποθ': 'ought never' for 'never ought', as shewn by the form of the neg. — ὅστις ... ἀνήρ: is = τὸν ἀρτίφρονα φύσει ἄνδρα. The relative clause involves its an-

tecedent (τινά in ὅστις) and is, as a whole, subj. to ἐκδιδάσκεσθαι. Euripides means what we call a right-minded (σώφρονα) man. The irony here is intensely bitter. **295.** περισσῶς: with σοφούς, the two together being = ὑπερσόφους. — ἐκδιδάσκεσθαι: middle of mediate action ('causative middle'). See GS. 150. — σοφούς: factitive predicate to παῖδας (ἐκδιδάσκεσθαι is = ποιῆσαι διδασκόμενος). **296.** χωρίς with gen. is synonymous with πρός with dat., just as 'apart from' may be = 'besides'. — ἄλλης ... ἀργίας: for τῆς ἄλλης ἀργίας ἣν ἔχουσιν. Cp. Soph. *El.* 763 μέγιστα πάντων ὧν ὄπωπ' ἐγὼ κακῶν. — ἄλλης: 'besides'. A common idiomatic use of ἄλλος, from Homer on, cp. *e.g.* ζ 84 ἅμα τῇ γε καὶ ἀμφίπολοι κίον ἄλλαι, 'with her went also handmaids besides'. ἄλλης is tautological after χωρίς. — ἀργίας: = ἀργίας δόξης, cp. v. 218. ἀργίας and the following φθόνον are juxtaposed to heighten the contrast between them. **297.** ἀλφάνουσι: = κτῶνται. — ἀστῶν: not 'fellow-citizens'. ἀστός and ξένος are regularly contrasted. Medea the ξένη speaks for Anaxagoras the ξένος.

σκαιοῖσι μὲν γάρ, καινὰ προσφέρων σοφά,
δόξεις ἀχρεῖος κοὐ σοφὸς πεφυκέναι ·
τοῖς δ᾽ αὖ δοκοῦσιν εἰδέναι τι ποικίλον,   300
κρείσσων νομισθείς, λυπρὸς ἐν πόλει φανῇ.
ἐγὼ δὲ καὐτὴ τῆσδε κοινωνῶ τύχης ·

298. **σκαιοῖσι**: = ἀμαθέσι and
opposed to σοφοῖσι (cp. v. 190).
The dat. is to be construed with
δόξεις (299), 'in the eyes of
stupid people'. — **καινὰ σοφά**: =
καινὴν ('novel') σοφίαν. — **προσ-
φέρων**: sc. αὐτοῖς (*i.e.* τοῖς
σκαιοῖς). The word means 'of-
fering' or 'proffering', rather than
'applying to'.   299. **ἀχρεῖος**: =
ἄχρηστος. — **πεφυκέναι**: = φύσιν
εἶναι, or simply εἶναι.   300. **αὖ**:
tautological with δ᾽, as quite often.
— **δοκοῦσιν**: = δόξαν ἔχουσιν, ὄνομα
ἔχουσιν, 'reputed'. — **εἰδέναι τι ποι-
κίλον**: = σοφῶν εἶναι.   301. **κρείσ-
σων** (sc. τὴν σοφίαν,= σοφώτερος)
νομισθείς: 'having come to be
thought superior', viz., by the men
of the city, πρὸς ἀστῶν. — **λυπρὸς
ἐν πόλει φανῇ**: 'you will appear
one whose presence in the state is
vexatious', 'a nuisance' (and as
such a fit subject for banishment).
— We now see that vv. 298–301 are
a pretty close commentary upon
the general principle enunciated
in vv. 296 and 297. The way in
which the wise (σοφοί) get a
name for idleness, or rather use-
lessness (ἀργία, which appears
from v. 299 to be = ἀχρηστία)

explained in vv. 298–9; the way
in which the wise became objects
of jealousy (φθόνος) is explained
in vv. 300–1. A certain obscurity
in the expression of the thought
is most plausibly explainable by
the fact that Euripides in thus
alluding to the banishment of
Anaxagoras is dealing with a
ticklish subject. His words are
intended to be φωνᾶντα συνετοῖσιν.
302. **ἐγὼ δὲ καὐτή**: 'and I too',
introducing the application of the
preceding (apparent) generalities
to her own case. — **καὶ αὐτός** is
generally = either καί or αὐτός
simply. — **τῆσδε τύχης**: sc. τοῦ
ἀργίαν καὶ φθόνον πρὸς ἀστῶν
ἔχειν, as just explained.   The
gen. is partitive. — **κοινωνῶ**: 'have
fellowship' (= κοινωνός εἰμι). The
person with whom Medea has
fellowship is not expressed, but
a σοί, Ἀναξαγόρα, would rise be-
fore the mind of the understand-
ing in the audience.   303–305. In
these verses the same ground is
gone over in a general way for
the application of the principle as
had been before traversed for the
f   f the principle
                      petition

σοφὴ γὰρ οὖσα τοῖς μέν εἰμ' ἐπίφθονος,   303
τοῖς δ' αὖ προσάντης εἰμὶ κοὐκ ἄγαν σοφή,   305
σὺ δ' αὖ φοβῇ με. μή τι πλημμελὲς πάθῃς;
οὐχ ὧδ' ἔχω τοι — μὴ τρέσῃς ἡμᾶς —, Κρέον ·   307
τί γὰρ σύ μ' ἠδίκηκας; ἐξέδου κόρην   309
ὅπως σε θυμὸς ἦγεν · ἀλλ' ἐμὸν πόσιν   310

τοῖς δ' ἡσυχαία, τοῖς δὲ θατέρου τρόπου   304
ὥστ' ἐς τυράννους ἄνδρας ἐξαμαρτάνειν   308

---

may be partly due to the poet's desire to round out four verses (302–306).

303. τοῖς μέν: 'in the eyes of the one sort', *i.e.* τοῖς δοκοῦσιν εἰδέναι τι ποικίλον. — ἐπίφθονος: sc. ὡς σοφωτέρα οὖσα, as we gather from the σοφὴ οὖσα at the head of the whole sentence and the contrast in 305, — to say nothing of the preceding parallel. **305.** τοῖς δ' αὖ: 'in the eyes of the other sort on the contrary', *i.e.* τοῖς σκαιοῦσι. For δ' αὖ cp. v. 300. — προσάντης: = θατέρου τρόπου, ἐναντία, 'the other way about', explained (and repeated) in the negative οὐκ ἄγαν σοφή. Cp. v. 299. — ἄγαν: here simply 'very', 'so very'. **306.** We are here brought to the present dramatic situation. — For the repetition of δ' αὖ cp. Soph. *O.T.* 230 and 233, though there the intervention of two verses makes the repetition less striking. There, as here, δ' αὖ appears in both the

second and the third terms of a series. — πλημμελές: = ἄδικον, κακόν. The word involves a musical metaphor. See L. and S. — πάθῃς: sc. ὑπ' ἐμοῦ. **307.** οὐχ ὧδ' ἔχω τοι: 'not so am I disposed, I would have you know'. ἔχω is = διάκειμαι. The emphatic οὐχ ὧδ' is correlative with ἀλλ' in v. 310. The thought expressed in vv. 307–311 is, in its simplest form, οὐ σὲ μισῶ ἀλλ' ἐμὸν πόσιν, 'it is not you that I hate, but my husband'. — μὴ τρέσῃς ἡμᾶς: a reassuring parenthesis. — The vocative Κρέον belongs with the first half of the verse. **309.** τί μ' ἠδίκηκας: a vigorous and natural substitute for οὐ μ' ἠδίκηκας. — ἐξέδου: the finite form with asyndeton is far more natural and vigorous here than would have been the participle ἐκδόμενος. **310.** ὅπως ... ἦγεν: 'according to the promptings of your heart'. The imperfect in ἦγεν marks the persistence of the emotion that led to the action described in the aorist ἐξέδου.

μισῶ, σὺ δ' — οἶμαι — σωφρονῶν ἕδρας τάδε.
καὶ νῦν τὸ μὲν σὸν οὐ φθονῶ καλῶς ἔχειν ·
νυμφεύετ', εὖ πράσσοιτε · τήνδε δὲ χθόνα
ἔατ' ἔμ' οἰκεῖν · καὶ γὰρ ἠδικημένοι
σιγησόμεσθα, κρεισσόνων νικώμενοι.   315

ΚΡΕΩΝ

λέγεις ἀκοῦσαι μαλθάκ', ἀλλ' ἔσω φρενῶν
ὀρρωδία μοι μή τι βουλεύεις κακόν.

311. **σὺ δ' . . . τάδε**: tauto-
logical, but such tautologies are
not uncommon. — **σωφρονῶν**: with
reference to Jason's infidelity more
than to Creon's conduct. 'Where-
as you, I think, were playing your
part in the matter chastely' (im-
plying 'as Jason did not play his
part') is an odd, though perhaps
not altogether unnatural way of
stating the case. It is perhaps
not going too far to trace a cer-
tain grim humour in the words.
Cp. Medea's notable retort in v.
606.   312. **καὶ νῦν** introduces the
conclusion of the whole matter.
The **νῦν** belongs to **ἔατ'** (v. 314).
The **μέν** clause is, as often, logi-
cally subordinate and parentheti-
cal. — **τὸ σὸν** (sc. **μέρος**) is =
σέ, meaning, however, Creon and
Glauce, not Creon alone, as is
shewn by the following two plu-
rals (**νυμφεύετ', εὖ πράσσοιτε**).
313 f. The fact that Medea is
begging off from banishment is to
blame for the prominence given

to **τήνδε χθόνα** in its clause, even
to the obscuring of **ἔμ'** which is
contrasted with **τὸ σόν**. — **οἰκεῖν**:
the tense makes it = **διατελεῖν
οἰκοῦσαν**. — **καὶ**: emphasises **ἠδι-
κημένοι**.   315. **σιγησόμεσθα**: a
promise, and hence with the full
'will' force of the future indica-
tive. — **νικώμενοι**: = **ἡττώμενοι** and
with its construction.   For the
gender of this and **ἠδικημένοι**
above, see HA. 637 b, GS. 55,
B. 423 note.   The masc. is also
used sometimes when women are
alluded to in the pl.; cp. *Androm.*
712. — It will be noted that the
closing couplet of this speech is
rhymed after the manner of the
closing couplet in some of Shake-
speare's blank verse speeches.
Cp. also *Androm.* 689 f. — This
speech of Medea's seems to have
the following scheme: 2 (general
principle) + 8 (explanation) + 4
(personal application) + 8 (defence
[4] and plea [4]).   316 f. In
ve a variant

τοσῷδε δ' ἧσσον ἢ πάρος πέποιθά σοι·
γυνὴ γὰρ ὀξύθυμος — ὡς δ' αὔτως ἀνήρ —
ῥᾴων φυλάσσειν ἢ σιωπηλόστομος.
ἀλλ' ἔξιθ' ὡς τάχιστα, μὴ λόγους λέγε,
ὡς ταῦτ' ἄραρε, κοὐκ ἔχεις τέχνην ὅπως
μενεῖς παρ' ἡμῖν οὖσα δυσμενὴς ἐμοί.

320

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μή — πρός σε γονάτων τῆς τε νεογάμου κόρης —

of the familiar contrast, overworked by Thucydides, though a commonplace of Greek style, between λόγος, 'fiction', and ἔργον, 'fact'. — ἀκοῦσαι μαλθάκ': 'gentle-sounding'. For the infin. (= *auditu*) see HA. 952. — ἴσω φρενῶν: with βουλεύεις. The striking position points the contrast noted above between word and deed. 317. ὀρρωδία μοι: sc. ἐστί. The phrase is = ὀρρωδῶ, φοβοῦμαι. — βουλεύεις: for the mood see HA. 888, G. 1380, B. 594, 1, Gl. 611 a.

318. τοσῷδε: to be construed with ἧσσον, but anticipating and explained by the following γάρ sentence — 'less by reason of the following fact'. 319. γάρ: 'to wit', 'namely', as often (γάρ *explicativum*). — ὀξύθυμος: 'quick-tempered', *iracunda*, implying at the same time λάλος ('talkative'). — ἀνήρ: sc. ὀξύθυμος (καὶ λάλος). 320. φυλάσσειν: *ad custodiendum*, 'to keep under

surveillance'. — σιωπηλόστομος: 'close-mouthed' (and by implication, though that is of no real moment here, βαρύθυμος). Creon's remark here is, of course, in answer to what Medea had said in v. 314 f., particularly σιγησόμεσθα. For the brachylogy (far more common in the somewhat laboured style of Sophocles than in Euripides) whereby two pairs of contrasted terms are fused into one, half by half, cp. Soph. *O.T.* 2-5 (and my note *ad loc.*). 321. ἀλλ': marks the sharp transition from argument to command. — λόγους: 'empty words'. 322 f. ὡς: 'for' after imv. — οὐκ ἔχεις τέχνην κτέ.: but Medea by v. 347 has proved that she has such an art. — μενεῖς: potential future. — οὖσα: = ἥτις εἶ, *quae sis*, 'seeing that you are'. 324. μή: she was going on to say, as is shewn by v. 326, ἐξέλαυνέ με. — πρός σε γονάτων: *per te tua genua oro.* Cp.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

λόγους ἀναλοῖς· οὐ γὰρ ἂν πείσαις ποτέ—   325

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀλλ᾽ ἐξελᾷς με κοὐδὲν αἰδέσῃ λιτάς;

ΚΡΕΩΝ

φιλῶ γὰρ οὐ σὲ μᾶλλον ἢ δόμους ἐμούς.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ πατρίς, ὥς σου κάρτα νῦν μνείαν ἔχω.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

πλὴν γὰρ τέκνων κἄμοιγε φίλτατον πόλις.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

φεῦ φεῦ, βροτοῖς ἔρωτες ὡς κακὸν μέγα.   330

Horace, *Carm.* I. 8. 1. The position of the pronoun in such phrases is idiomatic. We may supply here λίσσομαι or ἱκετεύω. γονάτων refers to the old gesture of clasping the knees of the person supplicated, whether Medea is supposed to clasp Creon's knees here or not.

326. ἀλλ᾽: Medea takes into her own mouth, *mutatis mutandis*, the words that Creon was going on to utter, ἀλλ᾽ ἐξελῶ σε. **327. οὐ μᾶλλον ἤ** means, as commonly, 'not so much as'. — **δόμους**:

'family'. **328.** Cp. vv. 30–33, 166 f. — **νῦν**: *i.e.* when I am being driven into exile, albeit from another land. — **μνείαν ἔχω**: = μέμνημαι. **329. γάρ**: somewhat different from the use in 327. There the particle marked assent, here it marks acceptance and approval of the principle involved in the preceding remark. — **πλὴν τέκνων**: = χωρὶς τέκνων. See on v. 296. **330. ἔρωτες**: *i.e.* the passion of love. Cp. v. 627. — **ὡς μέγα**: a more precise ὅσον.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

ὅπως ἄν, οἶμαι, καὶ παραστῶσιν τύχαι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Ζεῦ, μὴ λάθοι σε τῶνδ᾽ ὃς αἴτιος κακῶν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

ἔρπ᾽, ὦ ματαία, καί μ᾽ ἀπάλλαξον πόνου.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πόνου μέν· ἡμεῖς δ᾽ οὐ πόνῳ κεχρήμεθα;

ΚΡΕΩΝ

τάχ᾽ ἐξ ὀπαδῶν χειρὸς ὠσθήσῃ βίᾳ.   335

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μὴ δῆτα τοῦτό γ᾽, ἀλλά σ᾽ ἄντομαι, Κρέον —

---

331. Creon answers, rather drily, 'That, I fancy, depends on circumstances'. 332. τῶνδ᾽ ὃς αἴτιος κακῶν: = ὃς (= ἐκεῖνος ὃς) αἴτιός ἐστι τῶνδε κακῶν, 'the author of these miseries', *i.e.* Jason. The whole clause is subject of λάθοι. 333. ἀπάλλαξον πόνου: 'rid me of trouble'. Note the difference of tense between the two imperatives — the first denoting the cause, the second the effect.

334. πόνου μέν: 'trouble, indeed'; but to Creon's ear 'trouble, forsooth!'. This would be said with a covert reference to her plan of vengeance. — ἡμεῖς δ᾽: the caesura coincides with a rhetorical pause, — 'and we — are we not involved in trouble?'. 335. ἐξ ὀπαδῶν: = ὑπ᾽ ὀπαδῶν. 336. The sentence which is interrupted at the head of this verse is continued at length in v. 340 ff.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

ὄχλον παρέξεις, ὡς ἔοικας, ὦ γύναι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

φευξούμεθ᾽· οὐ τοῦθ᾽ ἱκέτευσά σου τυχεῖν.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

τί δαὶ βιάζῃ κοὐκ ἀπαλλάσσῃ χερός;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μίαν με μεῖναι τήνδ᾽ ἔασον ἡμέραν   340
καὶ ξυμπερᾶναι φροντίδ᾽ ᾗ φευξούμεθα
παισίν τ᾽ ἀφορμὴν τοῖς ἐμοῖς, ἐπεὶ πατὴρ
οὐδὲν προτιμᾷ, μηχανήσασθαί τινα.

337. **ὄχλον παρέξεις**: we should say, 'You are going to make a scene'. 338. **οὐ τοῦθ᾽**: emphatic by its position. 'It's not that that'. τοῦθ᾽ is = μὴ φυγεῖν. — **ἱκέτευσα**: for the tense cp. ᾔνεσ᾽ v. 223. 339. **τί δαί**: = τί δή, 'why then', i.e. εἰ μὴ τοῦθ᾽ ἱκέτευσάς μου τυχεῖν. — **βιάζῃ**: explained negatively in the second half of the verse. Cp. Caesar's "Ista quidem vis est", when Cimber, feigning the suppliant, clung to his toga (Suetonius, *Jul.* 82). — It should be noted that this stichomythy of 16 vv. (324–339) is preceded by 8 vv. of Creon's (316–323), followed by 7 of Medea's and 7 of Creon's (340–354). 340. **μίαν**: the emphatic position makes this = μίαν μόνον. The interlocked order of the words in the line throws μίαν τήνδ᾽ ἡμέραν into bold relief. 341. **ξυμπερᾶναι φροντίδ᾽**: = ἐκφροντίσαι. — **ᾗ**: sc. ὁδῷ, 'which way'. 342. **ἀφορμήν**: literally 'start off', then, concretely, 'base of supplies', 'provision'. — **ἐμοῖς**: emphatic; '*mine*; for their father', etc. 343. **οὐδὲν προτιμᾷ**: sc. αὐτῶν. προτιμᾶν is used here practically like φροντίζειν.

οἴκτιρον αὐτούς · καὶ σύ τοι παίδων πατήρ · 344
τοὐμοῦ γὰρ οὔ μοι φροντίς, εἰ φευξούμεθα, 346
κείνους δὲ κλαίω συμφορᾷ κεχρημένους.

ΚΡΕΩΝ

ἥκιστα τοὐμὸν λῆμ᾽ ἔφυ τυραννικόν,
αἰδούμενος δὲ πολλὰ δὴ διέφθορα ·
καὶ νῦν ὁρῶ μὲν ἐξαμαρτάνων, γύναι, 350
ὅμως δὲ τεύξῃ τοῦδε · προυννέπω δέ σοι,
εἴ σ᾽ ἡ ἐπιοῦσα λαμπὰς ὄψεται θεοῦ —

πέφυκας · εἰκὸς δ᾽ ἐστὶν εὔνοιάν σ᾽ ἔχειν 345

---

344. οἴκτιρον: cp. v. 712. — καὶ σύ τοι: 'you too, you know'. — παίδων πατήρ: the gen. is used where we should use an indefinite article, · *a* father'. It is implied, if the words are to be taken strictly (as they need not, perhaps, be taken), that Creon had other children besides the princess. With πατήρ understand εἶ. **346.** τοὐμοῦ: gen. of τὸ ἐμὸν, sc. μέρος. The simple gen. ('of relation', so-called), for which in most cases prose used περί with the gen., occurs not only with φροντίς but with φροντίζω and its synonyms. — φευξούμεθα: = μέλλομεν φεύξεσθαι, 'must go into exile'. **347.** κείνους δέ: emphatic and as though τοὐμοῦ μέν had gone before. 'It is them that I weep for.' — συμφορᾷ κεχρημένους: *casu funesto implicitos.* **348.** ἥκιστα: = *minime,* 'by no means'. — λῆμ᾽:

= θυμός. — τυραννικόν: in a bad sense. **349.** αἰδούμενος δέ: = ἀλλ᾽ αἰδούμενος. The participle is = ὑπ᾽ αἰδοῦς, 'out of regard for other people's feelings', 'out of soft-heartedness'; cp. μαλθακισθένθ᾽, v. 291. — πολλὰ δὴ διέφθορα: 'I have done a deal of mischief'. Creon gives with one hand and takes back with the other. Euripides has drawn in this scene, in few strokes, but sure, the character of a weakly good-natured pompous old despot. Cp. Introd. p. 46. The δή merely emphasises πολλά. **350.** καὶ νῦν: Creon now makes an application of his mischievous magnanimity. **351.** ὅμως δέ: sc. εἰ καὶ ὁρῶ ἐξαμαρτάνων. — τοῦδε: = τοῦ τήνδ᾽ ἡμέραν μεῖναι. — προυννέπω: = προλέγω. **352.** λαμπὰς θεοῦ: = λ. ἡλίου = ἕως. — ὄψεται: the future indic. is minatory. See G. 1405, Gl. 648 b.

καὶ παῖδας — ἐντὸς τῆσδε τερμόνων χθονός,
θανῇ· λέλεκται μῦθος ἀψευδὴς ὅδε.   354

ΧΟΡΟΣ

φεῦ φεῦ, μελέα τῶν σῶν ἀχέων,   358
ποῖ ποτε τρέψῃ; τίνα πρὸς ξενίαν,   359
ἢ δόμον ἢ χθόνα, σωτῆρα κακῶν;   360
ὡς εἰς ἄπορόν σε κλύδωνα θεός,   362
Μήδεια, κακῶν ἐπόρευσε.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

κακῶς πέπρακται πανταχῇ — τίς ἀντερεῖ; —
ἀλλ' οὔτι ταύτῃ ταῦτα, μὴ δοκεῖτε, πώ·   365

νῦν δ', εἰ μένειν δεῖ, μίμν' ἐφ' ἡμέραν μίαν·   355
οὐ γάρ τι δράσεις δεινὸν ὧν φόβος μ' ἔχει.   356
δύστανε γύναι.   357
ἐξευρήσεις.   361

---

354. **ὅδε**: one is tempted to render, 'this time'. Creon plays firm after eating the words that he had spoken at v. 322 f. For ὅδε (= τόδε) see GS. 127. **358–363**. The anapaests of the Coryphaeus keep time to the retreating footsteps of Creon. **358. ἀχέων**: gen. of cause. **359 f.** The second question introduced by τίνα defines the first. — **σωτῆρα κακῶν** is in apposition to ξενίαν and = ἢ σώσει σε κακῶν. For the use of σωτήρ as a practical feminine see L. and S. **362 f. ἄπορον**: cp. v. 279. — **κλύδωνα κακῶν**: for the figure of the "sea of

troubles", natural to a sea-faring people — Greek or English — cp. Aesch. *Pers.* 433. **364–409.** The following speech of Medea's appears to be symmetrically arranged as follows : 6 + 6 + 5 + 5 (vv. 364–385) ; then after εἶεν, which is *extra metrum* and marks a transition, 9 + 6 + 9 (vv. 386–409). **364–5.** 'Things have gone badly on all hands — who'll deny it ? — ; but not at all to that pass are those matters come — don't imagine so — as yet'. — ταύτῃ ταῦτα (sc. ἔχει) refers to the words of the Coryphaeus in vv. 359-60 ποῖ ποτε

ἔτ᾽ εἴσ᾽ ἀγῶνες τοῖς νεωστὶ νυμφίοις
καὶ τοῖσι κηδεύσασιν οὐ σμικροὶ πόνοι.
δοκεῖς γὰρ ἄν με τόνδε θωπεῦσαί ποτὲ
εἰ μή τι κερδαίνουσαν ἢ τεχνωμένην;
οὐδ᾽ ἂν προσεῖπον, οὐδ᾽ ἂν ἡψάμην χεροῖν.   370
ὃ δ᾽ ἐς τοσοῦτον μωρίας ἀφίκετο
ὥστ᾽, ἐξὸν αὐτῷ τἄμ᾽ ἑλεῖν βουλεύματα
γῆς ἐκβαλόντι, τήνδ᾽ ἐφῆκεν ἡμέραν

τρέψῃ κτέ. Medea is not ready to take up the question of flight and asylum until she has, in thought, despatched her enemies (vv. 366–385); then (vv. 386–394) she touches on the question of a refuge after the imagined murder.

366 f. The chiastic arrangement of the sentence contained in these two verses is to be noted. — ἔτ᾽ εἴσ᾽: = μένουσι. — ἀγῶνες and πόνοι are synonyms; the more natural and literal term being put second, as commonly in repetition of the same idea. — νυμφίοις: collectively of νυμφίος and νύμφη. — τοῖσι κηδεύσασιν: i.e. Creon, the plural being used to match νυμφίοις. 368. δοκεῖς γάρ: an explanation put in the form of a question. Medea anticipates the question from the Coryphaeus (who is addressed in δοκεῖς) why she should have supplicated Creon (Τί οὖν τόνδ᾽ ἐθώπευσας; or the like). ' Why ' represents the force of γάρ. — ἄν tends, as elsewhere,

to attach itself to the verb of thinking, though it belongs, strictly speaking, to the dependent infin. — τόνδε: emphatic and contemptuous. We should expect τοῦτον in prose, the more so as Creon is not present. 369. A conflation of the two constructions εἰ μή τι ἐκέρδαινον ἢ ἐτεχνώμην and μή τι κερδαινουσαν ἢ τεχνωμένην. — τι: = περισσόν τι, ' something ', meaning ' something special '. 370. Medea answers her own question. — The second οὐδ᾽ is plainly not correlative to the first. Each means ' not even ', but the sentence contains an anti-climax. — χεροῖν clearly means Medea's hands and is a natural redundancy. 371. Is autem eo stultitiae pervenit. 372. ἐξόν: adversative = ἐπεὶ ἐξῆν. — ἑλεῖν: ' arrest '. 373. ἐκβαλόντι: ἐκβαλόντα could have stood. See G. 928. The object of the participle is of course με, to be supplied from τἄμὰ βουλεύματα. — ἐφῆκεν: permisit. ἀφῆκεν would be dimisit.

μεῖναί μ', ἐν ᾗ τρεῖς τῶν ἐμῶν ἐχθρῶν νεκροὺς
θήσω, πατέρα τε καὶ κόρην πόσιν τ' ἐμόν.   375
πολλὰς δ' ἔχουσα θανασίμους αὐτοῖς ὁδοὺς
οὐκ οἶδ' ὁποίαν πρῶτον ἐγχειρῶ, φίλαι,
πότερον ὑφάψω δῶμα νυμφικὸν πυρὶ
ἢ θηκτὸν ὤσω φάσγανον δι' ἥπατος
σιγῇ δόμους ἐσβᾶσ' ἵν' ἔστρωται λέχος.   380
ἀλλ' ἕν τί μοι πρόσαντες · εἰ ληφθήσομαι
δόμους ὑπεσβαίνουσα καὶ τεχνωμένη,
θανοῦσ' ὀφλήσω τοῖς ἐμοῖς ἐχθροῖς γέλων.

374 f. ἐν ᾗ: 'before the close
of which'. — νεκροὺς θήσω: pic-
turesque for ἀποκτενῶ. νεκρούς is
factitive predicate to τρεῖς τῶν
ἐμῶν ἐχθρῶν, and θήσω is =
ποιήσω, a use of τίθημι com-
mon in Greek poetry from the
second verse of the *Iliad* on.
376. Having decided upon the
killing, Medea now proceeds to
discuss ways and means. An *em-
barras de choix* (πολλὰς ὁδούς)
confronts her. — θανασίμους: =
θανάτου. Cp. v. 479. 377. ὁποίαν:
sc. ὁδόν. — ἐγχειρῶ: subjunctive.
378–380. In apposition to ὁποίαν
πρῶτον ἐγχειρῶ. Of the 'many
ways of death' Medea names but
two, then pulls herself up short
with an objection to both (vv.
381–383) and chooses a different
one, which is the handiest for her
(ῦν. 384–385). 378. δῶμα νυμφι-
κόν: *i.e.* the house of the newly-
married couple (= δόμους ἵν' ἔσ

ται λέχος). 379. Cp. v. 40. — δι'
ἥπατος: sc. αὐτῶν (*i.e.* τῶν νυμ-
φίων implied in νυμφικόν).
380 = 40. She thinks, of course,
of killing them in their sleep.
381. ἀλλ': argumentative, = *at*.
— ἕν τι: more emphatic than τι,
'one something', 'a something'.
— πρόσαντες : = ἐναντίον, cp. v. 305.
— εἰ ληφθήσομαι: in Attic prose
rather εἰ γὰρ ληφθήσομαι. The
omission of the explicative γάρ
in such sentences is common in
the Ionic prose of Herodotus.
The future is minatory, an exten-
sion to the first person of the
force proper to the second per-
son in threatening conditions.
382. ὑπεσβαίνουσα : = σιγῇ ἐσβαί-
νουσα. — τεχνωμένη: seems to re-
fer to v. 378, as the first half of
the verse clearly does to v. 379 f.
383. θανοῦσα: coincident aorist;
see ⌐⌐   — ὀφλήσω γέλων:
                              ″. 404. For

κράτιστα τὴν εὐθεῖαν ᾧ πεφύκαμεν
σοφοὶ μάλιστα, φαρμάκοις, αὐτοὺς ἑλεῖν.　385
εἶεν·
καὶ δὴ τεθνᾶσι· τίς με δέξεται πόλις;
τίς γῆν ἄσυλον καὶ δόμους ἐχεγγύους
ξένος παρασχὼν ῥύσεται τοὐμὸν δέμας;
οὐκ ἔστι. μείνασ᾽ οὖν ἔτι σμικρὸν χρόνον,
ἢν μέν τις ἡμῖν πύργος ἀσφαλὴς φανῇ,　390
δόλῳ μέτειμι τόνδε καὶ σιγῇ φόνον.

the form γέλων see HA. 176 D. For the sentiment cp. *Heracl.* 443 f.

384 f. κράτιστα : = κράτιστον sc. ἐστί. — τὴν εὐθεῖαν : ὁδόν is to be supplied from v. 376. The phrase belongs to ἑλεῖν. — ᾧ πεφύκαμεν σοφοί : = ἐκείνῳ ὃ π. σ. For the gend. of σοφοί cp. v. 314. — φαρμάκοις is in apposition to the clause ᾧ . . . μάλιστα treated as a substantive. 'Best take them the straight way with what I am naturally most skilled in — poisons.' 386. εἶεν : with this interjection (connected with εἶα and not to be confounded with εἶεν from εἶναι) the speaker here, as elsewhere, takes breath at the end of one division of the discourse before beginning the next. Cp. Plat. *Apol.* 18 E εἶεν· ἀπολογητέον δή, ὦ ἄνδρες Ἀθηναῖοι. 'So far so good' represents the force. — καὶ δή : = ἤδη, 'already'. The particles are used here, as elsewhere (*e.g.* v. 1107), to introduce an imagined state of affairs. That they do not mean 'suppose now', or 'behold', seems to be shewn by *H.F.* 867 ἢν ἰδοὺ καὶ δὴ τινάσσει κρᾶτα, 'lo and behold already shakes he his head'. 387. ἄσυλον and ἐχεγγύους, 'unrobbable' and 'furnishing security' amount to the same thing here. Cp. σωτῆρα κακῶν, v. 360. 388. ῥύσεται τοὐμὸν δέμας : = σώσει τοὐμὸν σῶμα (= ἐμέ). The person (δέμας, σῶμα) is emphasised in such legal relations in Greek, like *corpus* in Latin. Cp. the familiar writ of *habeas corpus*. 389. οὐκ ἔστι : *i.e.* οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις ταῦτα ποιήσει. Medea speaks as though she had asked τίς ἐστιν ὅστις ῥύσεται; 390. πύργος ἀσφαλής : 'tower unshakable', if we take ἀσφαλής literally; 'tower of safety' (ἀσφαλής = ἀσφαλείας), if we take the adjective figuratively. Cp. *Alc.* 311 καὶ παῖς μὲν ἄρσην πατέρ᾽ ἔχει πύργον μέγαν. — This verse is an anticipation of the coming of Aegeus (v. 663).

ἦν δ' ἐξελαύνῃ ξυμφορά μ' ἀμήχανος,
αὐτὴ ξίφος λαβοῦσα — κἂν μέλλω θανεῖν —
κτενῶ σφε, τόλμης δ' εἶμι πρὸς τὸ καρτερόν.
οὐ γάρ — μὰ τὴν δέσποιναν ἣν ἐγὼ σέβω   395
μάλιστα πάντων καὶ ξυνεργὸν εἱλόμην,
Ἑκάτην μυχοῖς ναίουσαν ἑστίας ἐμῆς —
χαίρων τις αὐτῶν τοὐμὸν ἀλγυνεῖ κέαρ,
πικροὺς δ' ἐγώ σφιν καὶ λυγροὺς θήσω γάμους,
πικρὸν δὲ κῆδος καὶ φυγὰς ἐμὰς χθονός.   400

392. ἐξελαύνῃ: 'shall continue to constrain'. The force of the tense (contrasted with that of φανῇ 390) is enhanced by the preposition. — **ξυμφορὰ ἀμήχανος**: 'overwhelming misfortune', 'misfortune that drives one to one's wits' end'. — The whole verse is = ἢν δὲ μή τις ἡμῖν πύργος ἀσφαλὴς φανῇ. **393. αὐτή**: 'in person', contrasted with the indirect means mentioned in vv. 385 and 391. The notion is further and more distinctly carried out in ξίφος λαβοῦσα, which is a more graphic ξίφει. — **κἢν μέλλω θανεῖν**: 'even if I shall be about to die for it'. **394. τόλμης . . . καρτερόν** adds nothing to the thought and is really a sort of anticlimax, except in so far as it helps to point the contrast with δόλῳ and σιγῇ in v. 391. **395. μὰ κτέ**: ὄμνυμι is understood. — τὴν — ἥν: *eam — quam*. **396. πάντων**: used, without regard to gender, merely to enhance the

superlative. **398. χαίρων**: *impune*. — **τις**: = οὐδείς. — **αὐτῶν**: who are referred to is as readily understood here as in the σφε of v. 394. Medea has no need to be more precise. — **τοὐμόν**: emphatic both in its position after the caesura and in its separation from its substantive. — With this v. cp. Hom. α 266, δ 346, ρ 137. **399. πικροὺς δ'**: = ἀλλὰ πικροὺς μὲν. — **ἐγώ**: carries on the emphasis of τοὐμόν. — **θήσω**: see v. 375. — **γάμους**: prose would demand τοὺς γάμους. This verse refers to Jason and the princess, though σφιν, the force of which extends to the following verse, includes Creon. **400.** Prose would demand τὸ κῆδος καὶ τὰς φυγὰς τὰς ἐμάς. The reference in this verse is, of course, exclusively to Creon.

401-409. A powerful self-exhortation, winding up (vv. 407-409) with a general reflection on the character of women.

ἀλλ' εἷα φείδου μηδὲν ὧν ἐπίστασαι,
Μήδεια, βουλεύουσα καὶ τεχνωμένη·
ἕρπ' ἐς τὸ δεινόν· νῦν ἀγὼν εὐψυχίας·
ὁρᾷς ἃ πάσχεις, καὶ γέλωτα δεῖ σ' ὀφλεῖν
τοῖς Σισυφείοις τοῖς τ' ἀπ' Αἴσονος γόνοις 405
γεγῶσαν ἐσθλοῦ πατρὸς Ἡλίου τ' ἄπο;
ἐπίστασαι δέ· πρὸς δὲ καὶ πεφύκαμεν
γυναῖκες ἐς μὲν ἔσθλ' ἀμηχανώταται,
κακῶν δὲ πάντων τέκτονες σοφώταται.

401. ἀλλ' εἷα : the formula of transition is used as though she turned to speak to another person. This sense of duality, on which self-exhortation is based and which appears so strikingly in the Homeric Odysseus, appears also not only in the drama, but later in the familiar " The spirit is willing, but the flesh is weak ". — μηδέν : acc. of the inner object with φείδου. — ὧν ἐπίστασαι : partitive obj. to φείδου and = τῶν ἃ ἐπίστασαι (= τῆς σῆς ἐπιστήμης). — By an odd play on words, the like of which would be hard to find elsewhere, the form of Medea's name is here suggested in μηδέν, the meaning, as from μήδεα, in ἐπίστασαι. 402. The participles are modal with φείδου μηδέν. With the form of v. 402 cp. vv. 369 and 382. 403–406. These verses furnish fine examples of the force of asyndeton in Greek. 403. ἐς τὸ δεινόν : cp. πρὸς τὸ καρτερόν v. 394. — νῦν κτέ : = ὡς νῦν ἀγών ἐστιν

εὐψυχίας (= ἀνδρείας). 404. ὁρᾷς : = ὁρᾷς γάρ. — γέλωτα ὀφλεῖν : = ludibrio fieri ; cp. v. 383 and Suppl. 846. 405. τοῖς Σισυφείοις (sc. γόνοις) : a contemptuous designation of Creon and his daughter as descendants of the robber Sisyphus. The words are = τοῖς ἀπὸ Σισύφου. — τοῖς τ' ἀπ' Αἴσονος γόνοις : contemptuous for Ἰάσονι. The generalising plural is in place here. 406. γεγῶσαν : = ἥτις γέγονα, quae filia sim. — πατρός : to be directly connected with γεγῶσαν : the preposition in Ἡλίου τ' ἄπο indicates here greater remoteness of descent (quaeque Sole avo sim edita). 407 f. ἐπίστασαι δέ : 'but you understand', implying, 'and so there is no need of the question '. — πρὸς δέ : = πρὸς δὲ τούτοις or ἔτι δέ. — καὶ πεφύκαμεν γυναῖκες : 'by our nature we women are '. καὶ πεφύκαμεν is = καὶ (intensive) φύσει ἐσμέν. γυναῖκες is subject, not predicate, to πεφύκαμεν. 408 f. ἐς ἔσθλ' : 'for

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἄνω ποταμῶν ἱερῶν χωροῦσι παγαί·   410
καὶ δίκα καὶ πάντα πάλιν στρέφεται·
ἀνδράσι μὲν δόλιαι βουλαὶ θεῶν τ'

good (deeds)'. — τέκτονες σοφώ-
ταται seems more graphic than
πολυμηχανώταται. Note τέκτονες
used as a feminine. — For the
rhyming final couplet, cp. the close
of Medea's speech in vv. 292–315.

The First Stasimon (see Introd.
p. 63) which follows (vv. 410–445)
has two parts. In the first strophic
couplet (vv. 410–430) we have
general statement, in the second
strophic couplet (vv. 431–445) ap-
plication thereof to Medea's case.
Such relation of the parts of a cho-
ral ode is to be observed elsewhere.
In detail the contents of the
ode are as follows: Everything
is turning about, men are to be
deemed deceivers and perjurers
ever, womankind is to be glori-
ous and no more infamous (first
strophe). The old songs about
woman's faithlessness shall go out
of fashion. Had women but had
the gift of poetry, they had sung
the like of men. The score of his-
tory on that side is a long one
(first antistrophe) Medea is a
present example, beguiled from
home by misplaced love, dwell-
ing among aliens, abandoned by
her husband, presently to be driven
from the land (second strophe).

Greek faith is faithless, her father's
house is shut against Medea, her
husband's house is ruled by her
rival (second antistrophe). Such
is this ode in its relation to the
play. Possible covert references
to the times are noted below.

410. Rivers flowing up hill nat-
urally typify a violent reversal of
the order of things. The ex-
pression was proverbial (παροιμία
ἐπὶ τῶν εἰς τὸ ἐναντίον καὶ παρὰ τὸ
προσῆκον μεταβαλλομένων πραγ-
μάτων Schol.). Cp. *Suppl.* 520.
— ποταμῶν παγαί: Homeric phrase
= ποταμοί. Cp. Υ 9 καὶ πηγὰς
ποταμῶν καὶ πίσεα ποιήεντα. —
ἱερῶν: *sacrorum.* Cp. Horace's
stratus nunc ad aquae lene caput
sacrae (*Carm.* I. I, 22). The sa-
credness, or even semi-divine char-
acter, of rivers was ingrained in
Greek and Roman belief. 411. The
preceding verse was the sign. In
this verse we have the thing sig-
nified. The two καί's are proba-
bly 'both . . . and'. The couplet
taken by itself must have rung
ominously in the ears of the audi-
ence on the eve of the Pelopon-
nesian War. 412 f. ἀνδράσι: =
ἀνδρῶν, which is avoided on ac-
count of the following θεῶν. —

οὐκέτι πίστις ἄραρε,
τὰν δ' ἐμὰν εὔκλειαν ἔχειν
βιοτὰν στρέψουσι φᾶμαι,   415
ἔρχεται τιμὰ γυναικείῳ γένει,
οὐκέτι δυσκέλαδος φάμα γυναῖκας ἕξει.   420

μοῦσαι δὲ παλαιγενέων λήξουσ' ἀοιδᾶν   421
τὰν ἐμὰν ὑμνεῦσαι ἀπιστοσύναν.
εἰ γὰρ ἐν ἀμετέρᾳ γνώμᾳ λύρας
ὤπασε θέσπιν ἀοιδὰν   425
Φοῖβος, ἀγήτωρ μελέων,
ἐπεὶ ἀντάχησ' ἂν ὕμνον

In δόλιαι ... ἄραρε we have a chias-
mus. With δόλιαι understand εἰσί.
— θεῶν πίστις : = ὅρκοι.

414. τὰν δ' ἐμάν: contrasted
with ἀνδράσι μέν and = (as is
shewn in the sequel) τὰν δὲ γυναι-
κῶν. The contrast has occasioned
a somewhat difficult order of words.
Construe στρέψουσι φᾶμαι ὥστε
τὰν ἐμὰν βιοτὰν εὔκλειαν ἔχειν.
The inf. ἔχειν expresses result, and
στρέψουσι is = στροφῇ πράξουσι,
'will cause by their turn-about'. —
φᾶμαι: *rumores*, 'the current talk
of men', 'the voice of the world'.
416. Repeating the thought of
the preceding verse and itself
repeated in the following verse,
which is in form the negative
equivalent of v. 415. — ἔρχεται is,
by virtue of the meaning of the
verb, = a future. — τιμά is a vaguer
εὔκλεια. — γυναικείῳ γένει : 'wo-

mankind'. **420. δυσκέλαδος φάμα** :
= δύσκλεια. **421 f.** 'Nay, the music
of ancient minstrelsies shall cease
hymning my unfaithfulness.' — δέ :
= ἀλλά after the preceding nega-
tive. — μοῦσαι is plural because
ἀοιδᾶν is. — παλαιγενέων : epithet
transferred from the poets to their
works. The reference is to such
things in the Greek poets as ' He
that trusts woman trusts cheats'
(Ὃς δὲ γυναικὶ πέποιθε, πέποιθ' ὅ
γε φηλήτῃσι) in Hesiod *Op.* 375
and ἐπεὶ οὐκέτι πιστὰ γυναικί in
Hom. λ 456, according to the
Scholia. We may add the poem of
Semonides of Amorgos on women.
— τὰν ἐμάν = τὰν γυναικεῖον. — ὑμ-
νεῦσαι : the epic form is specially
appropriate in a reference to epic
poetry. **423. ἐν** : as though ἔθηκε,
not ὤπασε, were to follow. The
phrase ἐν ἀμετέρᾳ γνώμᾳ ὤπασε

ἀρσένων γέννᾳ· μακρὸς δ' αἰὼν ἔχει
πολλὰ μὲν ἀμετέραν ἀνδρῶν τε μοῖραν εἰπεῖν,   430

σὺ δ' ἐκ μὲν οἴκων πατρίων ἔπλευσας   431
μαινομένᾳ κραδίᾳ διδύμους ὁρίσασα Πόντου
πέτρας, ἐπὶ δὲ ξένᾳ
ναίεις χθονὶ τᾷδ' ἀνάνδρου(ς)   435
κοίτας ὀλέσασα λέκτρων,
τάλαινα, φυγὰς δὲ χώρας

seems to be an ornate ὤπασεν ἡμῖν εἰδέναι, 'had vouchsafed unto us to know'. — **θέσπιν**: = θείαν.

427. **ἀρσένων γέννᾳ**: 'mankind' is put briefly for 'the poetry of mankind'. — **μακρὸς αἰών**: 'time', *hominum memoria*. — **ἔχει**: sc. δύναμιν, 'is able'. 430. For the two accus. see on v. 61. — **ἀμετέραν ἀνδρῶν τε μοῖραν** seems to mean 'the relations of men and women', that is to say, those in which men have wronged women. — **πολλὰ μέν**: = πολλὰ μὲν καὶ ἄλλα, as is shewn by the contrast in the following verse. 431–438. **σύ** is the subject throughout these verses; **μὲν** . . . **δὲ** . . . **δὲ** are correlative. — 431 ff. Cp. for the subject matter vv. 1–2 and 6–8, also 207–212. — **διδύμους Πόντου πέτρας**: 'the twin rocks of the Euxine', = Συμπληγάδας. — **ὁρίσασα**: lit. 'bounding'; here 'traversing the water that separates'. Cp. Aesch. *Suppl.* 546. — **Πόντου**: sc. Εὐξείνου. Cp. *Hipp.* 3 f. ὅσοι τε

Πόντου τερμόνων τ' Ἀτλαντικῶν | ναίουσιν εἴσω φῶς ὁρῶντες ἡλίου, 'All that between the Euxine and the bounds | Of Atlas dwell and see the light of day'. 435. **ἀνάνδρους**: factitive predicate to κοίτας with ὀλέσασα (= τῷ ὀλέσαι ποιήσασα or, more precisely, τῷ ὀλέσαι τὸν ἄνδρα π.) — **κοίτας λέκτρων**: = λέκτρα, like ποταμῶν παγαί (v. 410) for ποταμοί. 437. **χώρας**: with ἐλαύνῃ (= ἐξελαύνῃ). 439–445. These verses are loosely connected with the preceding sentence. A fresh hold, as it were, is taken of the subject. The degenerate faith of Greece is declared and its effect (through Jason's perfidy) upon Medea. It seems clear that the striking opening verses of this antistrophe have reference to the present state — in the mind of the poet and in that of his audience — of the Greek world. The covert allusions to the times have added to the difficulty of understanding this fine ode.

ἄτιμος ἐλαύνῃ.   438

βέβακεν ὅρκων χάρις, οὐδ' ἔτ' αἰδὼς   439
Ἑλλάδι τᾷ μεγάλᾳ μένει, αἰθερία δ' ἀνέπτα·   440
σοὶ δ' οὔτε πατρὸς δόμοι,
δύστανε, μεθορμίσασθαι
μόχθων πάρα τῶνδε, λέκτρων
⟨τ'⟩ ἄλλα βασίλεια κρείσσων
δόμοισιν ἐπέστα.   445

439 f. **βέβακεν ὅρκων χάρις** is
practically repeated, in negative
form, in οὐδ' . . . μένει, which clause
in its turn is filled out by the
affirmative αἰθερία δ' ἀνέπτα. — **ὅρ-
κων χάρις**, 'the grace of oaths',
is an ornate εὐφρκία — a bit of
ὄγκος. — **αἰδώς** seems best inter-
preted here by 'honour'. It is
more radical than ὅρκων χάρις, as
being that from which good faith
springs. Plato makes his Prota-
goras (*Protag.* 322 C-D) tell how
αἰδώς (in the sense, it should seem,
of regard for other people's rights,
knowledge of *meum* and *tuum*)
and δίκη (the giving to every man
his own, the principle of *suum
cuique*) were sent down by Zeus
to savage mankind, that society
might be possible. 'Sense of de-
cency', 'sense of what is due to
others', 'sense of honour', are
phases of αἰδώς to the Greek mind.
— **Ἑλλάδι τᾷ μεγάλᾳ**: whether
the dative is to be regarded as
local or not, the phrase is = *totâ
Graeciâ*, 'in all Greece', "in the

length and breadth of Hellas"
(Headlam).   For this use of μέγας
cp. Soph. *Ant.* 420 f. ἐν δ' ἐμε-
στώθη μέγας | αἰθήρ, interpreted by
Sophocles himself in *El.* 713 ἐν δὲ
πᾶς ἐμεστώθη δρόμος.   For Latin,
cp. Virgil *Georg.* 2. 338 f. ver
magnus agebat | orbis = ver totus
agebat orbis, 'spring the whole
round world was celebrating'. —
αἰθερία (= πρὸς αἰθέρα) **ἀνέπτα**:
this seems clearly a reminiscence
of Hesiod *Op.* 199 f., where it is
said that in the Iron Age Αἰδὼς
καὶ Νέμεσις will abandon man-
kind for the home of the gods,
ἀθανάτων μετὰ φῦλον ἴτον προλι-
πόντ' ἀνθρώπους.   **441–445. οὔτε**
and τ' are correlative, 'on the one
hand not, while on the other hand'.
— **πατρὸς δόμοι** and **λέκτρων δόμοι-
σιν** are contrasted, 'father's house'
and 'wedlock (= husband's)house'.
With δόμοι understand εἰσί. —
δύστανε: like τάλαινα in v. 437.
— **μεθορμίσασθαι** : 'for thee to
shift anchorage to', = ὥστε μεθορ-
μίσασθαι.   A natural sea-meta-

ΙΑϹΩΝ

οὐ νῦν κατεῖδον πρῶτον ἀλλὰ πολλάκις
τραχεῖαν ὀργὴν ὡς ἀμήχανον κακόν·
σὺ γάρ, παρὸν γῆν τήνδε καὶ δόμους ἔχειν
κούφως φερούσῃ κρεισσόνων βουλεύματα,
λόγων ματαίων οὕνεκ' ἐκπεσῇ χθονός·   450
κἀμοὶ μὲν οὐδὲν πρᾶγμα — μὴ παύσῃ ποτὲ

phor. — **ἄλλα βασίλεια**: whether 'another, a princess' or 'another princess' is meant, is hard to determine, but probably the latter. — **δόμοισιν ἐπέστα**: 'has taken charge of the house', = 'has been placed in charge of the house' (ἐπεστάθη, *praefecta est*).

446 ff. In the second episodion (vv. 446–626) Jason appears, with a shew of doing the proper thing, to offer Medea assistance in her flight. The stormy scene which follows gives Euripides an admirable opportunity to exhibit the characters of Medea and Jason. 446 = οὐ νῦν πρῶτον καθορῶ ἀλλὰ πολλάκις κατεῖδον, a striking condensation. For the empirical aorist see on v. 292 f.   447. A vigorous prolepsis for ὡς ἀμήχανον κακόν ἐστι τραχεῖα ὀργή. — ἀμήχανον κακόν is = ἀμήχανος συμφορά; cp. v. 392. — ὡς is, of course, exclamatory.   448. Jason does not proceed logically; the γάρ is used as though he had said before καθορῶ νῦν ὃ καὶ πολλάκις κατεῖδον. Even then ὡς καὶ σύ would have

made a more precise connection of thought. — **παρόν**: = ἐξόν, sc. σοι. — **ἔχειν**: 'keep'; note the tense. 449. **κούφως φερούσῃ**: 'by bearing lightly', *i.e.* 'by bearing tamely', μὴ βίᾳ φερούσῃ. Cp. v. 242. φέρουσαν would have been equally correct. 450. **ἐκπεσῇ**: idiomatic for ἐκβληθήσῃ. 451–454. The gist of the sentence is, 'and you may thank your lucky stars, too, that you are getting off so lightly'. The expression is complicated by Jason's thrusting in a reference to himself in the form of a μέν clause. In other words, the thought would be sufficiently served by καὶ πᾶν κέρδος ἡγοῦ ζημιουμένη φυγῇ. Indeed, what we have here may well be an improvement of Euripides's on an original καὶ κέρδος ἡγοῦ ζημιουμένη φυγῇ. 451. **οὐδὲν πρᾶγμα**: sc. ἐστί, 'it's no matter', *i.e.* τὸ εἰς ἐμέ σε κακὰ λέγειν, as explained in the sequel. πρᾶγμα here has the special force that it has in πράγματα ἔχειν and πράγματα παρέχειν = *negotium habere* and *negotium exhibere*.

λέγουσ' Ἰάσον' ὡς κάκιστός ἐστ' ἀνήρ —,
ἃ δ' ἐς τυράννους ἐστί σοι λελεγμένα
πᾶν κέρδος ἡγοῦ ζημιουμένη φυγῇ.
κἀγὼ μὲν αἰεὶ βασιλέων θυμουμένων   455
ὀργὰς ἀφῄρουν καί σ' ἐβουλόμην μένειν,
σὺ δ' οὐκ ἀνιεῖς μωρίας, λέγουσ' ἀεὶ
κακῶς τυράννους· τοιγὰρ ἐκπεσῇ χθονός.
ὅμως δὲ κἀκ' τῶνδ' οὐκ ἀπειρηκὼς φίλους
ἥκω, τὸ σὸν δὲ προσκοπούμενος, γύναι,   460

452. The prolepsis is like that in v. 447. The ὡς is probably again exclamatory, notwithstanding the superl. Cp. v. 62, where we have ὡς with οὐδέν. 453. Of course the μέν clause brings the δέ clause in its train. The relative clause here is practically = a genitive (= ἀντί with the gen.) dependent on ζημιουμένη, 'for your insolence to royalty'. 454. Singularly expressed for πᾶν κέρδος ἡγοῦ ζημιοῦσθαι φυγῇ. As the verse stands we supply in thought τὸ τοῦτο πάσχειν. 455–458. Jason here anticipates the possible objection that he might have prevented the exile by using his influence with Creon and the princess. He throws all the blame on Medea. — βασιλέων: Creon and his daughter. 456. ἐβουλόμην: i.e. 'said that I wanted'. 457. οὐκ ἀνιεῖς: = οὐ παύῃ, and with the same constr. (gen.). — λέγουσ' describes the manner of οὐκ ἀνιεῖς

μωρίας. 458. τυράννους: apparently with the same reference as βασιλέων, v. 455. — τοιγάρ: i.e. ἐπεὶ οὐκ ἀνιεῖς κτέ. — ἐκπεσῇ χθονός: ending a verse and a division of the speech, as in v. 450. 459. κἀκ τῶνδ': a redundant explanation of ὅμως, 'even under these circumstances'. — οὐκ ἀπειρηκὼς φίλους describes the action from a moral point of view, 'not having renounced friends' (i.e. not having turned disloyal), instead of 'not having renounced you'. For ἀπαγορεύειν, 'renounce', w. acc., cp. Alc. 735 εἰ δ' ἀπειπεῖν χρῆν με κηρύκων ὕπο | τὴν σὴν πατρῴαν ἑστίαν, ἀπεῖπον ἄν, 'were it lawful for me by public criers to renounce thy paternal hearth, I had renounced it'. 460. τὸ σόν: sc. μέρος. The phrase is = σοῦ. — δέ: = ἀλλά after the negative. — γύναι: probably to be understood as a mere formal civility, 'madam'.

ὡς μήτ᾽ ἀχρήμων σὺν τέκνοισιν ἐκπέσῃς
μήτ᾽ ἐνδεής του· πόλλ᾽ ἐφέλκεται φυγὴ
κακὰ ξὺν αὐτῇ· καὶ γὰρ εἰ σύ γε στυγεῖς,
οὐκ ἂν δυναίμην σὺν κακῶς φρονεῖν ποτέ.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ παγκάκιστε — τοῦτο γάρ σ᾽ εἰπεῖν ἔχω   465
γλώσσῃ μέγιστον εἰς ἀνανδρίαν κακόν —,
ἦλθες πρὸς ἡμᾶς, ἦλθες ἔχθιστος γεγώς;   467

461. ἀχρήμων: here only in
tragedy.   462. του: sc. ἄλλου,
'anything else', *i.e.* besides the
χρήματα implied in ἀχρήμων
(= χρημάτων ἐνδεής). — πόλλ᾽: =
πολλὰ γάρ. — ἐφέλκεται: 'draws
in its wake', like an ἐφολκίς
('yawl'). This is another sea-
metaphor. The phrase is a bit of
Euripides's apt sententiousness.
463. σὺν αὐτῇ is redundant after
the middle in ἐφέλκεται. — καί:
with εἰ, 'even if'. If the negative
were brought to the head of the
sentence, we should have οὐδὲ γὰρ
ἂν εἰ. — στυγεῖς: the indic. implies
ὡς καὶ ποιεῖς, 'as indeed you do'.
464. The verse reads almost like
a parody of Antigone's οὔτοι συνέ-
χθειν, ἀλλὰ συμφιλεῖν ἔφυν, 'not
for joint hate, for joint love was I
born' (Soph. *Ant.* 523).   For
the form of expression we may
compare also *Heracl.* 26 f. ἐγὼ δὲ
σὺν φεύγουσι συμφεύγω τέκνοις |
καὶ σὺν κακῶς πράσσουσι συμ-

πάσχω κακῶς. — Jason's speech
seems to be divided thus: 5 + 4
+ 4 + 6. Cp. on v. 458. 465 f. εἰ-
πεῖν γλώσσῃ: the same vigorous
redundancy as in ἠψάμην χεροῖν
v. 370. — ἔχω: sc. δύναμιν. — μέ-
γιστον: predicative, 'for that is
the greatest reproach upon your
unmanliness that my tongue can
utter'. Indeed, in simple terms
what worse reproach is there than
the superlative of κακός with the
universalising prefix ? — σ᾽ εἰς ἀναν-
δρίαν: = σ᾽ ἄνανδρον ὄντα or τὴν
σὴν ἀνανδρίαν. — For the form of
Medea's exclamation cp. Jocasta's
cry Soph. *O.T.* 1071 f. ἰοὺ ἰού,
δύστηνε· τοῦτο γάρ σ᾽ ἔχω | μόνον
προσειπεῖν, ἄλλο δ᾽ οὔ ποθ᾽ ὕστερον.
467. ἦλθες: = (as often) ἥκεις. —
πρὸς ἡμᾶς: the preposition seems
to have its full force, 'before me',
'face to face with me', = ἡμῶν
εἰς ὄψιν. — γεγώς: adversative,
= γεγὼς ὅμως.   See GMT.
859.

οὔ τοι τόδ' ἐστὶ θάρσος οὐδ' εὐτολμία,   469
φίλους κακῶς δρῶντ' εἶτ' ἐναντίον βλέπειν,   470
ἀλλ' ἡ μεγίστη τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις νόσων
πασῶν, ἀναίδει'· εὖ δ' ἐποίησας μολών·
ἐγώ τε γὰρ λέξασα κουφισθήσομαι
ψυχὴν κακῶς σε καὶ σὺ λυπήσῃ κλύων.
ἐκ τῶν δὲ πρώτων πρῶτον ἄρξομαι λέγειν·   475
ἔσωσά σ', ὡς ἴσασιν Ἑλλήνων ὅσοι
ταὐτὸν συνεισέβησαν Ἀργῷον σκάφος,

θεοῖς τε κἀμοὶ παντί τ' ἀνθρώπων γένει   468

---

469. τόδ': anticipatory of the following verse. **470. δρῶντ':** the pres. of δρᾶν has very commonly a perfect force in tragedy. — **εἶτ':** used with an indignant force, as often, and resuming the particip., as though that had been ἐπεὶ δρᾶς. See GMT. 856. — **ἐναντίον βλέπειν:** *i.e.* ἐναντίον βλέμμα βλέπειν. Cp. on πρὸς ἡμᾶς v. 467. **472. δ':** in a tone of concession, 'you did well, though, to come'. — **μολών:** coincident aorist particip. See HA. 856 b, G. 1290. **474. λυπήσῃ:** the Attic form for both continuative (imperfect) and aorist fut. pass. See for this distinction GS. 168. — **κλύων:** sc. κακῶς. See HA. 820, G. 1241, B. 513. Note the chiasmus in λέξασα κουφισθήσομαι and λυπήσῃ κλύων. **476. A** detailed statement is commonly introduced in Attic Greek by γάρ, but sometimes we find δέ instead

of γάρ, sometimes we have asyndeton, as here. — The hissing in this. and the following verse caused by the frequent sigmas (sigmatism) is quite probably meant to be expressive of the speaker's contempt. But it seems not to have pleased his contemporaries. One of the characters of the comedian Plato says (*fr.* 30) to another that has used several words with ττ for σσ, Εὖ γέ σοι γένοιθ' ὅτι | ἔσωσας ἐκ τῶν σίγμα τῶν Εὐριπίδου, 'bless you for rescuing us from (= sparing us) Euripides's s's' — a pretty plain reference to the present passage. See further the Scholia. **477. ταὐ-τόν** anticipates the notion of the first preposition in συνεισέβησαν. — **Ἀργῷον σκάφος:** = Ἀργοῦς σκάφος, cp. v. 1. Prose would require εἰς for the goal (εἰς τὴν Ἀργώ).

πεμφθέντα ταύρων πυρπνόων ἐπιστάτην
ζεύγλαισι καὶ σπεροῦντα θανάσιμον γύην,
δράκοντά θ᾽ ὃς πάγχρυσον ἀμπέχων δέρος   480
σπείραις ἔσῳζε πολυπλόκοις ἄυπνος ὢν
κτείνασ᾽ ἀνέσχον σοι φάος σωτήριον·
αὐτὸν δὲ πατέρα καὶ δόμους προδοῦσ᾽ ἐμούς,

478 f. **πεμφθέντα**: = ἐπεὶ ἐπέμφθης. The reference is to the commission of Aeetes in Aea, not to the sending of the expedition by Pelias. — **ἐπιστάτην**: the nomen agentis is used here, as elsewhere (cp. Soph. *Phil.* 93 πεμφθεὶς . . . σοι ξυνεργάτης), to denote purpose and is furthermore coupled with the future participle (σπεροῦντα). With the following ζεύγλαισι, ἐπιστάτην becomes equivalent to ζεύξοντα (ταύρους πυρπνόους ζεύξοντα). The instrumental ζεύγλαισι is coupled with the verbal noun as though the latter were a participle. It may be added that the use of the substantive (ἐπιστάτην) obviates a heaping of participles; cp. Soph. *O.T.* 1422 f. — **θανάσιμον γύην**: cp. θανασίμους ὁδούς v. 376, also spoken by Medea. **480–482.** The contents of these verses form a chiasmus with vv. 476–479. ἀνέσχον σοι φάος σωτήριον balances ἔσωσά σ᾽ at the head of v. 476. The ploughing and sowing of the 'acre of death' and the taking of the fleece from the keeping of the

snake are made two separate adventures. — It is curious to note that Cicero in the translation of Soph. *Trach.* 1046–1102 which he inserted in *Tusc. Disp.* 2. 8–9, instead of translating *Trach.* 1099 f. τόν τε χρυσέων | δράκοντα μήλων φύλακ᾽ ἐπ᾽ ἐσχάτοις τόποις, practically translated from the present passage thus : haec (sc. dextra) interemit tortu multiplicabili | draconem, auriferam obtutu adservantem arborem, in which conflate translation *auriferam arborem* alone seems to be due to the *Trachinians*. — In the relative clause we have a very pretty intertwining — the diction itself becoming πολύπλοκος — of πάγχρυσον δέρος ἔσῳζεν ἄυπνος ὢν and ἀμπέχων σπείραις πολυπλόκοις. — **πάγχρυσον δέρος**: cp. v. 5. — **αὐτό** may be supplied from δέρος as object of ἀμπέχων. — The figure in v. 482 seems to be derived from a beacon light. **483–485.** αὐτὸν πατέρα . . . ἐμούς, though a participial phrase, is contrasted chiastically with τὴν Πηλιῶτιν . . . σὺν σοί (αὐτὸν πατέρα) (σοί and δόμους ἐμούς) (τὴν Πηλιῶτιν Ἰωλκόν).

τὴν Πηλιῶτιν εἰς Ἰωλκὸν ἱκόμην
σὺν σοί, πρόθυμος μᾶλλον ἢ σοφωτέρα, 485
Πελίαν τ' ἀπέκτειν' ὥσπερ ἄλγιστον θανεῖν,
παίδων ὑφ' αὑτοῦ· πάντα δ' ἐξεῖλον φόβον.
καὶ ταῦθ' ὑφ' ἡμῶν, ὦ κάκιστ' ἀνδρῶν, παθὼν
προύδωκας ἡμᾶς, καινὰ δ' ἐκτήσω λέχη,
παίδων γεγώτων — εἰ γὰρ ἦσθ' ἄπαις ἔτι, 490
συγγνώστ' ἂν ἦν σοι τοῦδ' ἐρασθῆναι λέχους·
ὅρκων δὲ φρούδη πίστις, οὐδ' ἔχω μαθεῖν
ἢ θεοὺς νομίζεις τοὺς τότ' οὐκ ἄρχειν ἔτι

485. πρόθυμος μᾶλλον ἢ σοφω-τέρα: = προθυμοτέρα ἢ σοφω-τέρα, *alacrior quam sapientior.*
486. ὥσπερ ἄλγιστον θανεῖν: = ὡσαύτως ὥσπερ ἄλγιστόν ἐστι θα-νεῖν, 'in the very way in which death is most painful'. **487. παί-δων ὑφ' αὑτοῦ**: explanatory apposi-tive to ὥσπερ ἄλγιστον θανεῖν. — **πάντα δ' ἐξεῖλον φόβον**: forms a brief and abrupt summation and conclusion of all that has been said since v. 476, 'in short, I re-moved every fear from your path'. Menander seems to have copied this turn of phrase in his Ἀδελφοί to judge by Terence *Adelph.* 736, dempsi metum omnem. — Jason's sending by Aeetes (v. 478 f.) is balanced with Medea's flight (vv. 483–485); the killing of the serpent (vv. 480–482) is balanced with the killing of Pelias (v. 486 f.): hence the two groups of verses, 476–482 and 483–487 (middle) are

joined by δέ, their divisions being joined by τε. What Medea did for Jason in her own country, and what she did after she left it form two divisions. **488. καί =** καίτοι, is like our emphatic 'and' for 'and yet'. Similarly *et* for *et tamen.* — **ὦ κάκιστ' ἀνδρῶν** echoes ὦ παγκάκιστε at the beginning of the speech. — **παθών**: adversative. **489. καινὰ λέχη**: 'new wedlock'. Cp. v. 156. — **δ'**: as though πρού-δωκας μὲν ἡμᾶς had preceded. **490. παίδων γεγώτων**: very em-phatic and = καὶ ταῦτα παίδων ὑπαρχόντων, 'and that, too, though you had children already'. — **ἦσθ'**: 'had been'. **491. ἂν ἦν**: 'it would have been'. — **ἐρασθῆναι** in the context is 'crave', rather than 'be-come enamoured of'. — **492. ὅρκων ... πίστις**: cp. 439, βέβακεν ὅρκων χάρις. — **οὐδ' ἔχω**: = οὐδὲ δύναμαι. **493. ἤ**: = πότερον. It is the in-troductory particle of the direct in-

ἢ καινὰ κεῖσθαι θέσμι' ἀνθρώποις τὰ νῦν,
ἐπεὶ σύνοισθά γ' εἰς ἔμ' οὐκ εὔορκος ὤν.   495
φεῦ, δεξία χείρ, ἧς σὺ πόλλ' ἐλαμβάνου
καὶ τῶνδε γονάτων, ὡς μάτην κεχρώσμεθα
κακοῦ πρὸς ἀνδρός, ἐλπίδων δ' ἡμάρτανον.
ἄγ' — ὡς φίλῳ γὰρ ὄντι σοι κοινώσομαι —
δοκοῦσα μὲν τί πρός γε σοῦ πράξειν καλῶς;   500
ὅμως δ' · ἐρωτηθεὶς γὰρ αἰσχίων φανῇ —
νῦν ποῖ τράπωμαι; πότερα πρὸς πατρὸς δόμους;

terrogative, like the old-fashioned 'yea' (cp. "Yea, hath God said?"). — τοὺς τότ' : sc. ἄρχοντας.

494. To judge from the preceding verse, we should understand καινά as predicative, and couple θέσμια with τὰ νῦν. καινὰ θέσμια τὰ νῦν (= τὰ νῦν θέσμια) κεῖσθαι ἀνθρώποις would be a more obvious arrangement, but unmetrical and less striking. 495. ἐπεὶ σύνοισθά γ' : 'for conscious you surely are'. — εἰς ἔμ' : = πρός ἔμ' or περὶ ἔμ'. 497. καὶ τῶνδε γονάτων : instead of the natural καὶ γόνατα, under the influence of ἧς σὺ πόλλ' ἐλαμβάνου. — ὡς μάτην κεχρώσμεθα : 'how fruitlessly have we let ourselves be handled'. The force of μάτην is repeated in ἐλπίδων δ' ἡμάρτομεν. The plural κεχρώσμεθα seems to imply that Medea makes her hand, her knees, and herself three separate entities here. — These words are spoken, of course, with passionate gestures. 499. ἄγ' : introducing νῦν ποῖ τρά-

πωμαι, v. 502. The rest of the verse is a parenthesis, to which v. 500 f. forms a second and secondary parenthesis.— ὡς φίλῳ ὄντι : 'as though you were a friend'. — κοινώσομαι : = ἀνακοινώσομαι. 500. δοκοῦσα μέν : as though the rest of the verse were to be negative in form, as it is in thought. — πρός γε σοῦ : = ὑπό γε σοῦ. The γε points the sneer. Jason is the last person in the world to help her. — πράξειν καλῶς : = πείσεσθαι·καλῶς, 'be benefited', sc. κοινωσαμένη. 501. ὅμως δ' : sc. κοινώσομαι. — φανῇ : = ἐξελεγχθήσῃ. — This passage seems to have been in Ovid's mind when he made Medea write to Jason (Heroid. 12. 21 f.) : Est aliqua ingrato meritum exprobrare voluptas. | Hac fruar; haec de te gaudia sola feram. 502. νῦν : emphatic, and emphatically placed before the interrogative, = οὕτως ἐχόντων or ἐκ τῶνδε, 'under the present circumstances'. — πότερα : sc. τράπωμαι.

οὒς σοὶ προδοῦσα — καὶ πάτραν — ἀφικόμην ;
ἢ πρὸς ταλαίνας Πελιάδας ; καλῶς γ' ἂν οὖν
δέξαιντό μ' οἴκοις ὧν πατέρα κατέκτανον.   505
ἔχει γὰρ οὕτω · τοῖς μὲν οἴκοθεν φίλοις
ἐχθρὰ καθέστηχ', οὒς δέ μ' οὐκ ἐχρῆν κακῶς
δρᾶν σοὶ χάριν φέρουσα πολεμίους ἔχω.
τοιγάρ με πολλαῖς μακαρίαν ἀν' Ἑλλάδα
ἔθηκας ἀντὶ τῶνδε, θαυμαστὸν δέ σε   510
ἔχω πόσιν καὶ πιστὸν ἡ τάλαιν' ἐγώ,
εἰ φεύξομαί γε γαῖαν ἐκβεβλημένη
φίλων ἔρημος σὺν τέκνοις, μόνη μόνοις —

503. The objection to the first alternative is expressed in a relative clause put as a question. See Introd. p. 53. — σοί : with ἀφικόμην, 'for you', or, 'at your bidding' (cp. Πελίᾳ, v. 6). — καὶ πάτραν is put in as an afterthought. — ἀφικόμην : as in v. 32, which should be carefully compared with the present passage. ⟨504. καλῶς : the γ' points the sneering irony as in v. 500. — οὖν : certe. 505. ἂν πατέρα κατέκτανον as substantive is subject to δέξαιντο, or perhaps we might say that the antecedent of ὧν is contained in the personal ending of δέξαιντο. — οἴκοις is instrumental. 506. γάρ : following the thought rather than the expression of it — 'I have no place of refuge ; for ', etc. — οὕτω looks backward, but is further explained by what follows. 'The case stands as I have said '. — οἴκοθεν : the point of view

is the reverse of the English. It is that of the speaker ; she thinks of the home from which she has come. 507 f. καθέστηχ' : = γέγονα. — οὐκ ἐχρῆν κακῶς δρᾶν : non opus erat laesisse, 'need not have harmed '. She did it not for herself, but to help Jason : see next verse. — κακῶς δρᾶν is a practical perfect like ἀδικεῖν. — χάριν φέρουσα : = χαρίζομαι. Cp. the Homeric ἐπὶ ἦρα φέρειν. 509. τοιγάρ belongs to the whole sentence through v. 515. — πολλαῖς : sc. γυναιξί, 'in the eyes of many women '. The dat. as with ζηλωτός (v. 1035). 510 f. ἀντὶ τῶνδε : i.e. 'in return for my services to you ', to be taken closely with ἔθηκας. — θαυμαστὸν πόσιν is predicative to σε, 'an admirable husband in you '. 512. γε : again ironical. 513. μόνη μόνοις is appositive to φίλων ἔρημος σὺν τέκνοις. Cp. Soph. O.T. 581 οὐκ οὖν ἰσοῦμαι

καλόν γ᾽ ὄνειδος τῷ νεωστὶ νυμφίῳ,
πτωχοὺς ἀλᾶσθαι παῖδας ἥ τ᾽ ἔσωσά σε.   515
ὦ Ζεῦ, τί δὴ χρυσοῦ μὲν ὃς κίβδηλος ᾖ
τεκμήρι᾽ ἀνθρώποισιν ὤπασας σαφῆ,
ἀνδρῶν δ᾽ ὅτῳ χρὴ τὸν κακὸν διειδέναι
οὐδεὶς χαρακτὴρ ἐμπέφυκε σώματι;

ΧΟΡΟΣ

δεινή τις ὀργὴ καὶ δυσίατος πέλει,   520
ὅταν φίλοι φίλοισι συμβάλωσ᾽ ἔριν.

σφῶν ἐγώ, δυοῖν τρίτος, 'am not I made equal with you two, a third with two?'.

514. καλόν γ᾽ ὄνειδος (in which note again the ironical γε) is patently illogical ; but in English 'a fine reproach' might have been said under the same circumstances. The clause is in apposition to the preceding sentence, and its meaning is further defined by the following verse, which is in turn appositive to this one. (It may be that here and in a few other places [see L. and S. s.v. ὄνειδος] the word ὄνειδος has a good sense, perhaps through confusion with ὄνειαρ.) **515. πτωχούς** : predicative to ἀλᾶσθαι, 'roam in beggary'. — **ἥ τ᾽ ἔσωσά σε** brings us finely back to Medea's starting-point in v. 476. Medea is now done with Jason ; she turns with a bitter cry to Zeus. The words are = ἐμέ τε ἣ ἔσωσά σε.

516. τί δή: *cur tandem.* — **ὃς κίβδηλος ᾖ**: = τοῦ κιβδήλου. The relative clause is essential ; the omission of ἄν is a poetic archaism. See GMT. 471. **517. τεκμήρι᾽**: with reference to the βάσανος or touchstone. — **σαφῆ**: emphatic. **518. ἀνδρῶν**: with σώματι. — **χρὴ διειδέναι**: = διειδῶμεν. — **τὸν κακόν**: = (after the model of v. 516) ὃς κακὸς ᾖ. **519.** Medea confuses her figures. Above the testing of metal was thought of; here it is the mint mark (χαρακτήρ) of the coin; χρυσός suggests to the mind χρυσίον. Such shifting metaphors, as they should perhaps be called, are not uncommon in Greek literature. — With the thought here cp. *Hipp.* 925–930, where Theseus wishes there were some sure sign (τεκμήριον σαφές) to distinguish the true friend (ἀληθὴς φίλος) from the false, that men had two voices, an honest (δικαία) one, and

ΙΑϹШΝ

δεῖ μ', ὡς ἔοικε, μὴ κακὸν φῦναι λέγειν,
ἀλλ', ὥστε ναὸς κεδνὸν οἰακοστρόφον,
ἄκροισι λαίφους κρασπέδοις ὑπεκδραμεῖν
τὴν σὴν στόμαργον, ὦ γύναι, γλωσσαλγίαν.   525

another kind. — Euripides would seem to have suffered from false friends and not to have been naturally quick to see through deceit. **520 f.** The platitude of the Coryphaeus serves merely to separate the two balanced speeches.

522 ff. This speech of Jason's in reply to Medea's tirade, is of exactly the same length as the speech that it answers, viz. 54 vv. We have here a true ἅμιλλα λόγων (v. 546). Such exact equivalence in length of speeches in accusation and defence in the drama, is due to imitation of the procedure of the Attic courts, where the speeches on the two sides of a cause were measured by the clepsydra. For other examples in Euripides, see *Hec.* 1132-1237 (two speeches of 51 vv. each separated by [probably] 2 vv. by the Coryphaeus) and *Phoen.* 469-525 (two speeches of 27 vv. [v. 480 spurious] separated by 2 vv. by Coryphaeus). In Sophocles we find two set speeches of 42 vv. each separated by 2 vv. by the Coryphaeus, *Ant.* 639-723 (a verse is lost after v. 690), and two

set speeches of 24 vv. each, *O.T.* 380-428 (3 vv. lost after v. 409; see my notes *ad loc.*). **522.** Jason's tone is one of cool ironical complacency and conscious superiority. It is painfully natural. 'It looks as if', renders his ὡς ἔοικε. — μὴ . . . λέγειν: = δεινὸν εἶναι λέγειν. **523.** ὥστε: = ὥσπερ. — ναὸς οἰακοστρόφον: = κυβερνή-την. — ναός is one of the Doric forms that are used in the dialogue of Attic tragedy. **524.** ἄκροισι λαί-φους κρασπέδοις: instrumental dative. The poetical phrase is = ὑπεσταλμένος or ὑπεσταλμένοις τοῖς ἱστίοις, 'close-hauled'. The sea-metaphor is a natural one. ὑπεκδραμεῖν is the proper term for fleeing before (lit. 'running out from under') an impending storm. Here the storm has already burst, and ὑπεκδραμεῖν is rather 'ride out'. Cp. Aristoph. *Ran.* 999 f., where Aeschylus is warned to answer Euripides in the contest of poetry συστείλας ἄκροισι χρώμενος τοῖς ἱστίοις, 'with his sails close-reefed'. **525.** στόμαργον γλωσ-σαλγίαν: 'glib-mouthed looseness of tongue'. An anticlimax: the

ἐγὼ δ' — ἐπεὶ σὴν καὶ λίαν πυργοῖς χάριν —
Κύπριν νομίζω τῆς ἐμῆς σωτηρίας
ναύκληρον εἶναι θεῶν τε κἀνθρώπων μόνην,
σὺ δ' — ἔστι μὲν νοῦς λεπτός, ἀλλ' ἐπίφθονος
λόγος, διελθεῖν ὡς Ἔρως σ' ἠνάγκασε   530

storm sinks into the mere railing of an angry woman. Jason could hardly be more insulting.

526. What has gone before (vv. 522–525) is by way of introduction ; ἐγὼ δ' introduces the main matter of the speech, as in v. 872 (cp. also *Alc.* 1010). — This verse and what follows to v. 544 answers vv. 476–487 in Medea's speech. More particularly vv. 526–533 answer vv. 476–482. Jason thus defends himself — and a poor enough defence it is — against Medea's first charge, that of ingratitude ; in the sequel (v. 547 ff.) he defends himself against her second charge, that of unfaithfulness. The emphatic ἐγώ sets Jason's opinion against Medea's. — καί: intensifying λίαν, which (it may be noted) is tautological with πυργοῖς. — πυργοῖς χάριν : *exaggeras beneficium* (cp. Cic. *pro Plancio* 29. 71 : At ego nimis magnum beneficium Plancii facio et, ut ais, id verbis exaggero). Note the similar metaphor in Greek and Latin. Cp. also *Heracl.* 292 f. πᾶσι γὰρ οὗτος κήρυξι νόμος, | δὶς τόσα πυργοῦν τῶν γιγνομένων, 'it's the way with all heralds to make

out things twice as big as they are'. — The parenthetical ἐπεί clause, it should be remarked, gives not the reason for the statement made in the main clause, but the reason of the speaker for making that statement. 527. Κύπριν : strongly emphatic. It was Love, not Medea, that was the author of Jason's deliverance from the dangers alluded to by Medea in vv. 476–482. 529 ff. σὺ δ': 'you, however,' 'whereas you' (as contrasted with Cypris). He was going on to say ὑπ' Ἔρωτος ἠναγκάσθης τοὐμὸν ἐκσῶσαι δέμας, but hesitates and shifts the form of the sentence in a tone of insincere apology. 'It is, I grant you (μέν), subtle wit, albeit invidious speech, to rehearse how Love forced you to save me.' νοῦς λεπτός and ἐπίφθονος λόγος are contrasted, and that in converse order (chiasmus). διελθεῖν with its dependent clause is the subject of ἔστι. μέν does double duty : it anticipates the contrast between νοῦς λεπτός and ἐπίφθονος λόγος, and also anticipates the contrast between ἔστι νοῦς λεπτὸς διελθεῖν ὡς . . . δέμας and οὐκ ἀκριβῶς αὐτὸ θήσομαι λίαν (v.

τόξοις ἀφύκτοις τοὐμὸν ἐκσῶσαι δέμας.
ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀκριβῶς αὐτὸ θήσομαι λίαν ·
ὅπῃ γὰρ οὖν ⟨μ'⟩ ὦι ησας, οὐ κακῶς ἔχει ·
μείζω γε μέντοι τῆς ἐμῆς σωτηρίας
εἴληφας ἢ δέδωκας, ὡς ἐγὼ φράσω.
πρῶτον μὲν Ἑλλάδ' ἀντὶ βαρβάρου χθονὸς

535

532). In both v. 529 and v. 532 we might have had (barring metre) δέ instead of ἀλλά, there being no negative in the leading clause in either case. ἐπίφθονος implies, of course, that the version of the story that makes Love the sole agent, reflects upon Medea by making her of no account.

531. τόξοις ἀφύκτοις goes with ἠνάγκασε. With ἐκσῶσαι we supply in thought πόνων or the like. — τοὐμὸν δέμας: = τοὐμὸν σῶμα (Soph. O.T. 643), a somewhat pompous ἐμαυτόν ('my person'). 532. In this verse Jason patronisingly recognises Medea as the human instrument. The νοῦς λεπτός of v. 529 had implied hairsplitting, the discriminating of divine author and human instrument. Jason now refuses to put too fine a point on the matter, and recognises — in words — Medea's free agency as a working hypothesis. The speculations of later philosophy and theology about the servitude of the will lie here in embryo. — αὐτό: = τὸ πρᾶγμα, the question of responsibility as between Love and Medea. — θήσομαι: 'consider'; = ποιήσομαι in the sense of ἡγήσομαι. Cf. Aesch. Ag. 32 τὰ δεσποτῶν γὰρ εὖ πεσόντα θήσομαι, 'I'll consider that my masters' dice have fallen well'. 533. ὅπῃ οὖν: 'in whatever way', whether as free agent or as the tool of Cypris. — οὐ κακῶς ἔχει: sc. τὸ ὀνῆσαι. Practically = οὐ κακῶς ὤνησας. οὐ κακῶς, 'not bad', pas mal, are all a damning with faint praise. The tone here is gallingly patronising. 534 f. Jason lessens the grudging praise he has just given — or seemed to give. 'Even if I grant', says he, in effect, 'that you and not Love saved me, still the account is in my favour; you did a good stroke of business'. — μείζω: neut. pl. — τῆς ἐμῆς σωτηρίας: = τοῦ με σῶσαι. The possessive ἐμῆς is = μου (objective gen.). The gen. in σωτηρίας denotes the source (ablative gen. = ἀπὸ τῆς ἐμῆς σωτηρίας) with εἴληφας, with which word alone can it properly be construed at all. 'Out of what you have done for my security, you have got more than

γαῖαν κατοικεῖς καὶ δίκην ἐπίστασαι
νόμοις τε χρῆσθαι μὴ πρὸς ἰσχύος χάριν·
πάντες δέ σ᾿ ἤσθοντ᾿ οὖσαν Ἕλληνες σοφὴν
καὶ δόξαν ἔσχες· εἰ δὲ γῆς ἐπ᾿ ἐσχάτοις   540
ὅροισιν ᾤκεις, οὐκ ἂν ἦν λόγος σέθεν.
εἴη δ᾿ ἔμοιγε μήτε χρυσὸς ἐν δόμοις
μήτ᾿ Ὀρφέως κάλλιον ὑμνῆσαι μέλος,
εἰ μὴ ἐπίσημος ἡ τύχη γένοιτό μοι.

you gave.' — **φράσω** : = ἀποδείξω,
ἐνδείξομαι. The ἐγώ is not egotis-
tical; it is hardly so much as self-
assertive. — Jason's demonstration
consists of but two points, though
πρῶτον μέν (536) suggests more.
He has a weak case and seeks to
conceal the fact. The two points
occupy respectively vv. 536-538
and vv. 539-541; they are the
blessings of Greek civilisation (Ja-
son is an early political mission-
ary) and renown, — the benefits
which Medea has gained by fol-
lowing him to Greece.

537 f. **δίκην . . . χρῆσθαι** : =
either δίκην ἐπίστασαι νόμους τε or
δίκῃ ἐπίστασαι νόμοις τε χρῆσθαι.
— **μὴ πρὸς ἰσχύος χάριν** : briefly
and loosely (and hence illogically)
tacked on to the preceding phrase
instead of the more precise μηδὲ
πρὸς ἰσχύος χάριν ζῆν (or διαιτᾶ-
σθαι). For the compound preposi-
tion πρὸς — χάριν (here 'in favour
of', elsewhere = χάριν, gratiâ),
cp. Soph. *Ant.* 30 πρὸς χάριν βο-
ρᾶς, ' for the sake of devouring'.

540. **καὶ δόξαν ἔσχες** : express-
ing merely the result of what is
said to the last verse, and hence
hardly more than ὥστε δόξαν
σχεῖν. Both ἤσθοντ᾿ (539) and
ἔσχες might (barring metre) have
been in the perfect to match εἴλη-
φας and δέδωκας of v. 535. But the
aorist tended to encroach on the
perfect. 541. **ᾤκεις** : rather 'you
were living' than 'you had gone
on living'. — **οὐκ ἂν . . . σέθεν** :
= οὐκ ἂν δόξαν εἶχες. — σέθεν is
one of Euripides's favourite archa-
isms — and it fills out the last
place in the trimeter very handily.
542-544. An expression of the
speaker's desire — which was also
Euripides's — for public recogni-
tion. The light adversative δ᾿ con-
trasts the fame craved with the
obscurity just alluded to. — The
possessive dat. ἔμοιγε belongs to
both the phrases coupled by μήτε
— μήτε. For the infinitive (ὑμνῆ-
σαι) coupled with a substantive,
cp. Xen. *Anab.* 1. 2. 27, where
Cyrus gives Syennesis ἵππον χρυ-

τοσαῦτα μέν σοι τῶν ἐμῶν πόνων πέρι   545
ἔλεξ'· ἅμιλλαν. γὰρ σὺ προύθηκας λόγων·
ὃ δ' ἐς γάμους μοι βασιλικοὺς ὠνείδισας,
ἐν τῷδε δείξω πρῶτα μὲν σοφὸς γεγώς,·
ἔπειτα σώφρων, εἶτα σοὶ μέγας φίλος
καὶ παισὶ τοῖσι σοῖσιν — ἀλλ' ἔχ' ἥσυχος.   550

σοχάλινον καὶ στρεπτὸν χρυσοῦν καὶ ψέλια καὶ ἀκινάκην χρυσοῦν καὶ στολὴν περσικὴν καὶ τὴν χώραν μηκέτι ἀφαρπάζεσθαι. With v. 543, which indicates Euripides's fondness for music, cp. *Alc.* 357 εἰ δ' Ὀρφέως μοι γλῶσσα καὶ μέλος παρῆν. — V. 544 is = εἰ μὴ τύχοιμι ἐπίσημος γενόμενος. The optative with εἰ (instead of subj. with ἐάν) is due to attraction to the leading verb, the strong optative εἴη. The metaphor in ἐπίσημος (seemingly prompted by the reference to gold in v. 542) is from currency. Bullion is distinguished as ἄσημος (*e g.* ἄργυρος ἄσημος) from coin, which is stamped (ἐπίσημος). A fortune that is ἐπίσημος is one that has received, as it were, the mint-mark of public recognition and passes current everywhere. Cp. *insignis.*

545 f. A transition from the first part of Jason's defence against the charge of ingratitude to Medea for her help in his troubles (τῶν ἐμῶν πόνων) to the second part, his defence of himself against her charge of faithlessness. His excuse for apparent egotism and his throwing the blame therefor upon Medea is one of the most delicious touches in this speech. Euripides shews here quite as well as in the speeches of Pheres and Admetus in *Alc.* 614 ff. his skill in depicting selfishness and egotism. — ἅμιλλαν λόγων: 'a match of arguments'. Jason means to say, 'you accused me and I must defend myself'. 547. The relative clause, like a *quod* clause in Latin announcing the subject of discussion, seems to stand as a sort of caption for what follows. It is resumed by the following ἐν τῷδε. 548. Jason states the heads of his argument like a court-pleader. πρῶτον μὲν . . . ἔπειτα . . . εἶτα are = *primum . . . deinde . . . tum.* — For the construction of γεγώς, see HA. 981. 549. σώφρων: Jason means that he was not moved by passion for his new wife. — μέγας φίλος: for ὡς ἀληθῶς φίλος, like our 'a great friend'. 550. ἀλλ' ἔχ' ἥσυχος: Medea has evidently made as if to break out again at the monstrousness of Jason's last calm assumption. A friend to her and her children forsooth!

ἐπεὶ μετέστην δεῦρ' Ἰωλκίας χθονὸς
πολλὰς ἐφελκων συμφορὰς ἀμηχάνους,
τί τοῦδ' ἂν εὕρημ' ηὗρον εὐτυχέστερον
ἢ παῖδα γῆμαι βασιλέως φυγὰς γεγώς; —
οὐχ — ᾗ σὺ κνίζῃ — σὸν μὲν ἐχθαίρων λέχος,   555
καινῆς δὲ νύμφης ἱμέρῳ πεπληγμένος,
οὐδ' εἰς ἅμιλλαν πολύτεκνον σπουδὴν ἔχων ·
ἅλις γὰρ οἱ γεγῶτες οὐδὲ μέμφομαι ·
ἀλλ' ὡς τὸ μὲν μέγιστον οἰκοῖμεν καλῶς

551. Common Attic usage would place an explicative γάρ after μετέστην. The asyndeton in such a place is common in Herodotus.— **χθονός**: prose usage requires a prep. (ἀπό). **552. ἐφέλκων**: Jason harks back to what he had said about exile in v. 462 f. — **συμφορὰς ἀμηχάνους**: a 'helpless misfortune' (ἀμήχανος συμφορά, a stock phrase) is a misfortune that renders helpless, one that ἀμήχανον τὸν ἄνθρωπον ποιεῖ. The classical discussion of ἀμήχανος συμφορά is in Plat. *Protag.* 344.— Jason's host of misfortunes are the stock miseries of the prince in exile. They are practically explained in vv. 559-565. **553 f.** For τοῦδ' with the comparative followed by a defining ἤ clause cp. Hom. ζ 182 ff. οὐ μὲν γὰρ τοῦ γε (= τοῦδέ γε) κρεῖσσον καὶ ἄρειον | ἢ ὅθ' ὁμοφρονέοντε νοήμασιν οἶκον ἔχητον | ἀνὴρ ἠδὲ γυνή 'for nought than this is stronger and firmer, than when, one in heart and mind,

a man and wife keep house together'. — An εὐτυχὲς εὕρημα was called by the single word ἕρμαιον. — **βασιλέως** and **φυγάς** are designedly placed side by side to heighten the contrast. Cp. v. 12 φυγῇ πολιτῶν. **555 ff.** The participial construction depends on γῆμαι, the vital word to Medea. This is continued in the final construction of the contradictory clause v. 559 f. — **ᾗ σὺ κνίζῃ**: 'the point where you are galled'. — **σὸν λέχος**: 'my wedlock with you'. **556.** A declaration of Jason's σωφροσύνη (see σώφρων v. 549). — **καινῆς νύμφης** is an echo of Medea's καινὰ δ' ἐκτήσω λέχη (v. 489). — **ἱμέρῳ πεπληγμένος**: = ἱμείρων, *i.e.* ἐρῶν. **557.** In rebuttal of Medea's reproach in v. 490. The words are = οὐδ' εἰς ἅμιλλαν πολυτεκνίας σπεύδων. **558.** Cp. *Alc.* 334 ἅλις δὲ παίδων. Admetus also had two children.— **μέμφομαι**: sc. αὐτοὺς ὡς οὐ πολλοὺς ὄντας. **559 f.** τὸ μὲν μέγιστον: a

καὶ μὴ σπανιζοίμεσθα — γιγνώσκων ὅτι   560
πένητα φεύγει⟨ν⟩ πᾶς τις ἐκποδὼν φιλεῖ —,
παῖδας δὲ θρέψαιμ᾽ ἀξίως δόμων ἐμῶν
σπείρας τ᾽ ἀδελφοὺς τοῖσιν ἐκ σέθεν τέκνοις
ἐς ταὐτὸ θείην καὶ ξυναρτήσας γένη
εὐδαιμονοῖμεν · σοί τε γὰρ παίδων μέλει,   565
ἐμοί τε λύει τοῖσι μέλλουσιν τέκνοις
τὰ ζῶντ᾽ ὀνῆσαι. [μῶν βεβούλευμαι κακῶς;
οὐδ᾽ ἂν σὺ φαίης, εἴ σε μὴ κνίζοι λέχος ·

πρῶτον is involved in the μέγιστον
here as elsewhere : ' first and fore-
most '.— οἰκοῖμεν καλῶς is explained
by its opposite μὴ σπανιζοίμε-
σθα.— γιγνώσκων goes back for
its grammatical relation to v. 554.

561. For the sentiment cp. H.F.
559 φίλοι γάρ εἰσιν ἀνδρὶ δυστυχεῖ
τίνες; 'friends to a man unfor-
tunate are — who?'.— For the re-
dundant ἐκποδών, cp. Hipp. 457.
φεύγειν is vitare; φεύγειν ἐκποδών
is evitare. 562. δόμων ἐμῶν: refer-
ring to Jason's inherited royalty.
563. τοῖσιν ἐκ σέθεν τέκνοις: with
σπείρας ἀδελφούς rather than with
the following ἐς ταὐτό. 564. ἐς
ταὐτὸ θείην: explained more clearly
in the following ξυναρτήσας γένη,
'having made the families one'.
565-567. εὐδαιμονοῖμεν: the plurals
above, οἰκοῖμεν (559) and σπανι-
ζοίμεσθα (560), had included Me-
dea; for Jason is trying to shew
that he is a μέγας φίλος to her as
well as to the children (549 f.).

Here he drops back, albeit un-
grammatically, into the plural. —
τε — τε : a lighter μὲν — δέ.—λύει :
'it pays', sc. τὰ τέλη (cp. Soph.
O.T. 316 f. ἔνθα μὴ τέλη (λύῃ).
The prose expression is λυσιτελεῖν
(operae pretium esse). — τέκνοις :
instrumental.— τὰ ζῶντ᾽ : = τὰ ἤδη
ὄντα. We should expect τὰ ζῶντα
to be contrasted not with τὰ μέλ-
λοντα (sc. γενήσεσθαι or ἔσεσθαι),
but with τὰ τεθνεῶτα. Euripides
relies on the context. μῶν : = num
and expecting the answer 'no'.
There is a sort of silly triumph in
the way Jason plumes himself on
his argument — the triumph of the
ἄδικος λόγος. 568. οὐδ᾽ : to be
taken closely with σύ, 'not even
you'. — Classic Attic prose de-
mands ἔφησθα and ἔκνιζε here.
The syntax is archaic. See GMT.
443 (b). — λέχος : sc. προδεδομένον
or the like. Spreti iniuria lecti
gives the sense. Jason is insult-
ing, but he shrinks from being

ἀλλ' ἐς τοσοῦτον ἥκεθ' ὥστ' ὀρθουμένης
εὐνῆς γυναῖκες πάντ' ἔχειν νομίζετε,　　　　　　570
ἢν δ' αὖ γένηται ξυμφορά τις ἐς λέχος,
τὰ λῷστα καὶ κάλλιστα πολεμιώτατα
τίθεσθε. χρῆν ἄρ' ἄλλοθέν ποθεν βροτοὺς
παῖδας τεκνοῦσθαι, θῆλυ δ' οὐκ εἶναι γένος·
οὕτως δ' ἂν οὐκ ἦν οὐδὲν ἀνθρώποις κακόν.　　　575

ΧΟΡΟΣ

Ἰᾶσον, εὖ μὲν τούσδ' ἐκόσμησας λόγους·

specific. There is a somewhat similar ellipsis in Hom. A 65 εἴ τ' ἄρ' ὅ γ' εὐχωλῆς ἐπιμέμφεται εἴ θ' ἑκατόμβης, where the neglect of prayer or sacrifice is meant. For the contemptuous use of λέχος cp. Soph. *Ant.* 573.

569 f. **ἐς τοσοῦτον ἥκεθ' ὥστε**: *eo venistis ut.* The subject of ἥκεθ', viz. γυναῖκες, is transferred to the subordinate clause. — **ὀρθουμένης**: the metaphor is probably from a ship sailing on even keel. Cp. Soph. *Ant.* 163, 167, 190, *O.T.* 51. — **εὐνῆς**: giving variety to the expression between λέχος (568) and λέχος (571). 571. **γένηται**: sc. ὑμῖν. — **ἐς**: 'in respect of'. 573. **τίθεσθε** : = νομίζετε (570). Cp. v. 532. — **χρῆν**: see HA. 834, 897; G. 1400; B. 567, 1, and 607; Gl. 460. — **ἄρ'** : *i.e.* because women are so troublesome. 574. **θῆλυ δ' . . . γένος** takes the place of the ἢ ἐκ γυναικῶν which would naturally

follow ἄλλοθέν ποθεν. 575. **οὕτως**: *i.e.* εἰ ἄλλοθέν ποθεν βροτοὶ παῖδας τεκνοῦσθαι ἐδύναντο, θῆλυ δ' ἦν γένος. — Vv. 573-575 do not, of course, express the real sentiments of either the character or the author. In the play, we must remember, they are the impatient outburst of a man that is working his own ruin by his passion for a woman. In the *Hippolytus* (616ff.) the fervent wish that men might be rid of women and buy children, every man according to his wealth, by offerings to the gods, is put in the mouth of the ascetic Hippolytus, who is scandalised by the love of his stepmother, Phaedra, for him. 576. **μέν**: concessive, ' I grant you '. — **ἐκόσμησας**: 'marshalled', 'set in order', συνέταξας: a military metaphor. Cp. Plato *Apol.* 17 B-C, where λόγους κεκοσμημένους, 'marshalled, well-ordered, words', are opposed to

ὅμως δ᾽ ἔμοιγε — κεἰ παρὰ γνώμην, ἐρῶ —
δοκεῖς προδοὺς σὴν ἄλοχον οὐ δίκαια δρᾶν.

MHΔEIA

ἦ πολλὰ πολλοῖς εἰμι διάφορος βροτῶν ·
ἐμοὶ γὰρ ὅστις ἄδικος ὢν σοφὸς λέγειν   580
πέφυκε πλείστην ζημίαν ὀφλισκάνει ·
γλώσσῃ γὰρ αὐχῶν τἄδικ᾽ εὖ περιστελεῖν
τολμᾷ πανουργεῖν · ἔστι δ᾽ οὐκ ἄγαν σοφός.
ὡς καὶ σὺ μὴ νῦν εἰς ἔμ᾽ εὐσχήμων γένῃ

εἰκῇ λεγόμενα, 'things spoken hel-
ter-skelter'. Cp. also the Homeric
κοσμήτορε λαῶν, 'marshals of the
host' (A 16).

577. ἔμοιγε: said with hesita-
tion and deference. — κεὶ . . .
ἐρῶ: parenthetical, and further-
more elliptical for κεἰ παρὰ γνώ-
μην ⟨σοί ἐστιν⟩, ἐρῶ, 'even if it's
unpalatable to you, yet say it I
will'. 578. δοκεῖς: modest again,
and contrasted with γνώμην. δόξα
and γνώμη are contrasted like
opinio and *sententia*. — οὐ δίκαια
δρᾶν: = ἄδικα δρᾶν = ἀδικεῖν. For
the use of the present of δρᾶν
cp. on v. 470. 579–583. Doubtless
Euripides's own opinion is here
expressed by Medea. V. 579
seems to allude to his pronounced
and, in some cases, revolutionary
views. 579. διάφορος: sc. τὴν
γνώμην. 580. ἐμοί: 'in my judge-
ment', *mea sententia, meo iudicio*.
— ὅστις: best rendered here, as

often, by reversing the parts, 'any-
one that'. — σοφὸς λέγειν: elegant
equivalent of the common slang
δεινὸς λέγειν (cp. v. 585). 581. πέ-
φυκε: = ἐστί. — ζημίαν ὀφλισκά-
νει: legal phrase. Medea treats
herself as a judge. 582. αὐχῶν:
= a strong ἐλπίζων. — περιστε-
λεῖν: 'cloak', 'deck', 'trick out',
a figure from dress. 583. πανουρ-
γεῖν: 'to be a πανοῦργος', 'to play
the knave'. — ἔστι: 'is in reality'.
Note the force of the emphatic
position. ἄγαν σοφός: cp. v. 305.
584 f. Logical would be: ὡς καὶ
σὺ νῦν εἰς ἔμ᾽ εὐσχήμων γενόμενος
λέγειν τε δεινὸς οὐκ ἄγαν σοφὸς
εἶ· ἐν γὰρ κτέ., but the words
that Euripides has put in Medea's
mouth are the more vigorous and
natural for their lack of strict logi-
calness. — καὶ σύ: *i.e.* οὐ μόνον
οἱ ἄλλοι οἱ τοιοῦτοι ἀλλὰ καὶ σύ.
— νῦν, notwithstanding its posi-
tion, is temporal, and marks the

λέγειν τε δεινός · ἐν γὰρ ἐκτενεῖ σ᾽ ἔπος ·   585
χρῆν σ᾽, εἴπερ ἦσθα μὴ κακός, πείσαντά με
γαμεῖν γάμον τόνδ᾽, ἀλλὰ μὴ σιγῇ φίλων.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

καλῶς γ᾽ ἄν, οἶμαι, τῷδ᾽ ὑπηρέτουν γάμῳ,
εἰ σοὶ λόγον κατεῖπον, ἥτις οὐδὲ νῦν
τολμᾷς μεθεῖναι καρδίας μέγαν χόλον.   590

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὐ τοῦτό σ᾽ εἶχεν, ἀλλά βάρβαρον λέχος
πρὸς γῆρας οὐκ εὔδοξον ἐξέβαινέ σοι.

present case. See the paraphrase above. — εὐσχήμων : a second metaphor from dress, carrying on the notion of εὖ περιστελεῖν (v. 582).

585. ἐκτενεῖ : 'will floor' (lit. 'will stretch out'). It is an athlete's word (ἀπὸ μεταφορᾶς τῶν πιπτόντων καὶ ἐκτεινομένων εἰς τὸ ἔδαφος ἀθλητῶν ὑπὸ τῶν ἀντιπάλων Schol.). — ἔπος : the argument contained in the next two verses. 586 f. χρῆν γαμεῖν : = ἐγάμεις ἄν. The present inf. in the one phrase, the unreal imperf. indic. in the other, refer to the action in its inception : 'you should have undertaken to make this marriage'. — εἴπερ : 'if in fact '. — μή : in this position we should expect, barring metre, οὐ. — πείσαντ᾽ : 'after persuading'. Briefly put for εἰπόντα μοι καὶ πείσαντά με. — σιγῇ : quasi-preposition and al-

most = λάθρα (clam). φίλων : 'of whom I am one', she means. Cp. v. 29. The use of the generalising plural puts the individual act in the class of crimes. 588. γ᾽ points the sneer. Cp. v. 504. The parenthetical οἶμαι is also sarcastic. — ὑπηρέτουν : 'should I have been helping'. Past unreal, as is shewn by the following aorist. 589 f. κατεῖπον : aorist to καταγορεύω. The word belongs to the legal world (Medea has just spoken in the tone of a judge) : ἐμήνυσα gives very nearly its force. 'Divulge' gives the tone. — ἥτις . . . τολμᾷς : quae ne nunc quidem possis. The generic relative in Greek renders a change in the form of the verb unnecessary. — τολμᾷς : 'endure ', 'bring yourself'. — καρδίας : probably best taken with χόλον. 591. τοῦτο : i.e. the motive set forth by Jason in vv. 559–565.

ΙΑCШΝ

εὖ νῦν τόδ' ἴσθι, μὴ γυναικὸς οὕνεκα
γῆμαί με λέκτρα βασιλέων ἃ νῦν ἔχω,
ἀλλ' — ὥσπερ εἶπον καὶ πάρος — σῶσαι θέλων 595
σὲ καὶ τέκνοισι τοῖσι σοῖς ὁμοσπόρους
φῦσαι τυράννους παῖδας, ἔρυμα δώμασιν.

εἶχεν: ╘ κατεῖχεν, 'possessed your
mind'. — **βάρβαρον λέχος**: = βάρ-
βαρος γάμος, 'marriage with a
βάρβαρος γυνή'. **592. πρὸς γῆ-
ρας**: = πρὸς γῆρας ὁρῶντι, 'in view
of old age'. — **οὐκ εὔδοξον** (= κακό-
δοξον) **ἐξέβαινε**: = οὐκ ἐῴκει ἐκβή-
σεσθαι, 'did not promise to turn
out'. See GS. 213. — **σοι**: 'in your
opinion'. Cp. ἐμοί, v. 580. — In
this sentence Medea goes back to
Jason's motive for the marriage,
as explained by him in his long
speech, ignoring what he has just
said. Euripides seems to have
inserted vv. 579-590 as an after-
thought.

593 f. μὴ γῆμαι: for οὐ γῆμαι
because the oratio obliqua de-
pends on an imperative. — **γυναι-
κὸς οὕνεκα**: cp. Soph. *Ant.* 648
μή νύν ποτ', ὦ παῖ, τὰς φρένας γ'
ὑφ' ἡδονῆς | γυναικὸς οὕνεκ' ἐκβά-
λῃς, 'my son, don't let your pas-
sions run away with your reason
over a woman'. Jason is again
protesting his σωφροσύνη. He
refers not to the motive that she
assigns here, but to the other mo-

tive, his passion for the princess.
See v. 555 f. — **λέκτρα βασιλέων**:
= γάμον βασιλικόν. Cp. v. 18.
λέκτρα (or rather its equivalent γά-
μον) would require in prose an arti-
cle or demonstrative to anticipate
the relative. The relative clause
is essential. Barring metre, ἃ νῦν
ἔχω λέκτρα βασιλέων might have
been said. **595. καὶ πάρος**: viz.
in v. 559 ff. The καί is redundant.
596: τέκνοις: the construction is
probably the same as in v. 563. —
ὁμοσπόρους: from nom. ὁμόσπο-
ρος (= ὁμοπάτριος). **597. φῦσαι**:
σπεῖραι would have matched the
preceding word exactly. — **τυράν-
νους παῖδας**: for τύραννος as an
adj. and for the phrase τύραννος
παῖς, cp. *Alc.* 1150 Σθενέλου
τυράννῳ παιδί, 'Sthenelus's royal
son'. — **ἔρυμα δώμασι**: with the
same cadence as in *Bacch.* 55
ἀλλ', ὦ λιποῦσαι Τμῶλον, ἔρυμα
Λυδίας. The dative of interest
in the present passage might
have been a genitive. ἔρυμα
is in apposition to τυράννους
παῖδας.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μὴ ⟨ἐ⟩μοὶ γένοιτο λυπρὸς εὐδαίμων βίος
μηδ' ὄλβος ὅστις τὴν ἐμὴν κνίζοι φρένα.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

οἶσθ' ὡς μέτευξαι καὶ σοφωτέρα φανῆ;   600
τὰ χρηστὰ μή σοι λυπρὰ φαίνεσθαί ποτε,
μηδ' εὐτυχοῦσα δυστυχὴς εἶναι δοκεῖ⟨ν⟩.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὕβριζ', ἐπειδὴ σοὶ μὲν ἔστ' ἀποστροφή,
ἐγὼ δ' ἔρημος τήνδε φεύξομαι χθόνα.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

αὐτὴ τάδ' εἵλου · μηδέν' ἄλλον αἰτιῶ.   605

---

598. εὐδαίμων βίος: practically
one word (= εὐδαιμονία) modi-
fied by λυπρός, as is shewn by
the next verse, where ὄλβος . . .
φρένα repeats the notion of λυ-
πρὸς εὐδαίμων βίος in inverse
order.   **599.** κνίζοι takes its
mood from γένοιτο. Cp. v. 544.
Medea seems to borrow κνίζοι
from Jason (v. 568).   **600.** The
command μέτευξαι (' change your
prayer ') καὶ σοφωτέρα φανῆ
(' you will shew yourself wiser ')
is placed in dependence in a
manner that the Eng. impera-

tive does not admit of. See
GMT. 253. We must render :
' Do you know how to change
your prayer so as to shew yourself
wiser?'. **601 f.** The infinitives re-
present the optative in oratio recta
and depend on an εὖξαι, 'pray',
to be understood from μέτευξαι.
The direct form of the prayer sug-
gested to Medea would be τὰ χρη-
στὰ μή μοι λυπρὰ φαίνοιτό ποτε,
μηδ' εὐτυχοῦσα δυστυχὴς εἶναι δο-
κοίην. **603.** ἀποστροφή : concrete,
' place of refuge '. **605.** τάδ' : i.e.
your present fortune as exile.

MHΔEIA

τί δρῶσα; μῶν γαμοῦσα καὶ προδοῦσά σε;

IACωN

ἀρὰς τυράννοις ἀνοσίους ἀρωμένη.

MHΔEIA

καὶ σοῖς ἀραία γ' οὖσα τυγχάνω δόμοις.

IACωN

ὡς οὐ κρινοῦμαι τῶνδέ σοι τὰ πλείονα.
ἀλλ' εἴ τι βούλῃ παισὶν ἢ σαυτῇ φυγῆς   610
προσωφέλημα χρημάτων ἐμῶν λαβεῖν,

606. τί δρῶσα;: sc. αὐτὴ τάδ' εἱλόμην. The middle ἑλέσθαι is to be understood as 'take of one's own free will', rather than as 'choose'. — γαμοῦσα: γαμεῖν is used of the man (*ducere*), γαμεῖσθαι of the woman (*nubere*). Medea by an effective stroke puts herself (so far as sex and the rules of gender allow) in Jason's place (τὸν Ἰάσονος λόγον ἐφ' ἑαυτῆς μετέστρεψεν Schol.). προδοῦσα is prior in time of action to γαμοῦσα, notwithstanding its position and the καί. Translate: 'By abandoning you and marrying another woman'. For μῶν cp. v. 567. 607. Such corrective sentences commonly contain μὲν οὖν (*immo vero*). — τυράννοις is general: Medea has committed the *crimen laesae maiestatis*, the first

person to be guilty of which in Greek literature is Thersites (cp. Hom. B τῷ οὐκ ἂν βασιλῆας ἀνὰ στόμ' ἔχων ἀγορεύοις). 608. 'Yes; and to your house, too, am I curseful, as good luck will have it.' Jason does not, of course, realise the full purport of the dark threat involved. 609. The ὡς clause depends on an understood ἴσθι. — Join οὐ κρινοῦμαι and σοι, and τῶνδε and τὰ πλείονα, 'I wont discuss with you further'. κρινοῦμαι is = δικάσομαι. τὰ πλείονα is = πλέον or πέρα: indeed, τῶνδε τὰ πλείονα is an elaborate ἔτι. 610 f. φυγῆς is objective genitive, χρημάτων ἐμῶν gen. of material with προσωφέλημα. Jason is now making the offer of assistance he mentioned in v. 461.

λέγ', ὡς ἕτοιμος ἀφθόνῳ δοῦναι χερὶ
ξένοις τε πέμπειν σύμβολ' οἳ δράσουσί σ' εὖ.
καὶ ταῦτα μὴ θέλουσα μωρανεῖς, γύναι,
λήξασα δ' ὀργῆς κερδανεῖς ἀμείνονα.   615

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὔτ' ἂν ξένοισι τοῖσι σοῖς χρησαίμεθ' ἂν
οὔτ' ἄν τι δεξαίμεσθα, μηδ' ἡμῖν δίδου ·
κακοῦ γὰρ ἀνδρὸς δῶρ' ὄνησιν οὐκ ἔχει.

612. ἕτοιμος: sc. εἰμί. — ὡς is
the regular particle after an imper-
ative to introduce the reason for
the command. ἐπειδή in v. 603 is
different. 613. σύμβολ': *tesseras
hospitales*, equivalent to modern
letters of introduction. They were
regularly used by ξένοι (= ξένοι
φίλοι). The Scholia explain the
custom thus: οἱ ἐπιξενούμενοί τι-
σιν ἀστράγαλον κατατέμνοντες θά-
τερον μὲν αὐτοὶ κατεῖχον μέρος,
θάτερον δὲ κατελίμπανον τοῖς ὑπο-
δεξαμένοις, ἵνα, εἰ δέοι πάλιν αὐτοὺς
ἢ τοὺς ἐκείνων ἐπιξενοῦσθαι πρὸς
ἀλλήλους, ἐπαγόμενοι τὸ ἡμιαστρα-
γάλιον ἀνενεοῦντο τὴν ξενίαν ('they
that enjoyed the hospitality of
any would cut in two a knuckle-
bone and, retaining the one half
themselves, leave the other half to
them that had given them hospi-
tality, to the end that, if either
they themselves or their children
should need to enter into guest-
ship with one another, they might

bring the half-knuckle-bone and
renew the guestship'). For an
example of the use of σύμβολα
see Hdt. 6. 86. 614. ταῦτα: *i.e.*
what I have just offered. — μὴ θέ-
λουσα: = ἐὰν μὴ θέλῃς. 615. λή-
ξασα: note the difference in tense
between the expression for con-
tinued resistance (μὴ θέλουσα)
and that for cessation from anger
here. — κερδανεῖς ἀμείνονα: Jason,
in a very sordid spirit, tries to per-
suade Medea to accept the best of
a bad bargain. 616 ff. Medea
speaks with something of the same
scorn — though with greater jus-
tice — that Admetus puts into his
κόσμον δὲ τὸν σὸν οὔ ποθ' ἥδ'
ἐνδύσεται (*Alc.* 631). For the
repeated ἄν see HA. 864, G.
1312, B. 493 n. 2. The optative
gives the refusal a wider scope
than the fut. indic. would. 'I
wouldn't on any terms' is the
force. Notice that Medea (in true
Greek fashion) refuses Jason's

ΙΑϹΩΝ

ἀλλ' οὖν ἐγὼ μὲν δαίμονας μαρτύρομαι
ὡς πᾶν ὑπουργεῖν σοί τε καὶ τέκνοις θέλω· 620
σοὶ δ' οὐκ ἀρέσκει τἀγάθ', ἀλλ' αὐθαδίᾳ
φίλους ἀπωθῇ· τοιγὰρ ἀλγυνῇ πλέον.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

χώρει· πόθῳ γὰρ τῆς νεοδμήτου κόρης
αἱρῇ χρονίζων δωμάτων ἐξώπιος.
νύμφευ'· ἴσως γάρ — σὺν θεῷ δ' εἰρήσεται — 625
γαμεῖς τοιοῦτον ὥστε σ' ἀρνεῖσθαι γάμον.

offers in inverse order. — μηδ' ἡμῖν
δίδου: for the combining of οὐ
and μή negatives in this passage
cp. Soph. *Ant.* 686 οὔτ' ἂν δυναί-
μην μήτ' ἐπισταίμην λέγειν. The
form δίδου means 'offer', not
'give': Jason cannot give; for
Medea will not take. 618. Pro-
verbial. Cp. Soph. *Ai.* 664 f.
ἀλλ' ἔστ' ἀληθὴς ἡ βροτῶν παροι-
μία ('proverb'), | ἐχθρῶν ἄδωρα
δῶρα κοὐκ ὀνήσιμα, 'of foes the gifts
are giftless and do naught avail'.

619. ἀλλ' οὖν : *sed certe.*
Somewhat weaker is the form
δ' οὖν. Jason here washes his
hands piously of all responsibility.
— ἐγὼ μέν should logically, it
seems, stand after ὡς in v. 620,
so that the words σοὶ δ' . . . ἀπωθῇ
should be part of the protest and
contained in the ὡς sentence.
As it is, σοὶ δ' . . . ἀπωθῇ is co-

ordinate with ἐγὼ μὲν . . . θέλω.
621. αὐθαδίᾳ : 'out of stubborn-
ness'. 622. τοιγάρ : *i.e.* ἐπειδὴ
αὐθαδίᾳ φίλους ἀπωθῇ. — πλέον :
sc. ἢ τὰ νῦν. 623. νεοδμήτου: =
νεοζύγου (v. 804). 624. δωμάτων
ἐξώπιος: = δωμάτων ἔξω, *foris*,
'abroad'. Seemingly an Euripi-
dean trick of phrase. — Medea has
charged Jason with being impelled
by passion for her rival; now she
casts uxoriousness in his teeth.
625 f. νύμφευ': cp. v. 313. — σὺν
θεῷ δ' εἰρήσεται: a pious formula
(like the old-fashioned D.V.) to
avert the φθόνος θεοῦ that is like
to be visited upon presumptuous-
ness of word as well as of deed.
Generally simply the dative of the
name of deity with σύν was used
(cp. σὺν τῷ θεῷ Soph. *O. T.* 146 and
my note thereon). There is a fine
irony here in the pious formula after

ΧΟΡΟΣ

Ἔρωτες ὑπὲρ μὲν ἄγαν   627
ἐλθόντες οὐκ εὐδοξίαν
οὐδ' ἀρετὰν παρέδωκαν
ἀνδράσιν· εἰ δ' ἅλις ἔλθοι   630
Κύπρις, οὐκ ἄλλα θεὸς εὔχαρις οὕτως.

the modest ἴσως. — γαμεῖς: plainly future. — The two verses mean: 'Go on playing the bridegroom; for perhaps—please Heaven!—you'll find you've made such a match that you'll wish you hadn't'. τοιοῦτον and γάμον are to be joined directly. ἀρνεῖσθαι is = βούλεσθαι ἀρνεῖσθαι.

In the following stasimon (vv. 627-662) the Chorus sing the praises of calm passionless wedlock unmarred by jealous quarrels and the miseries of exile as exemplified in Medea's case. **627-642.** First Strophic Couplet. 'Love in excess is a curse; love in moderation, a blessing. May the former never be mine | but the latter; and may I never quarrel with my husband over a second mate of his, but may the "lots of women" be kept distinct.' The Scholia explain rightly (on v. 627): τοῦτο ὁ χορὸς λέγει περὶ τῆς Μηδείας ὡς ἐρώσης τοῦ Ἰάσονος, εὐχόμενος μὴ τοιοῦτον σχεῖν ἔρωτα· ἐκ γὰρ τῶν τοιούτων ἐρώτων ταραχαὶ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις συμβαίνουσι. An admirable parallel to the present passage is *I.A.* 543-557: μάκαρες οἳ μετρίας θεοῦ

| μετά τε σωφροσύνας μετέ- | σχον λέκτρων Ἀφροδίτας, | γαλανείᾳ χρησάμενοι | μαινολῶν οἴστρων, ὅθι δὴ | δίδυμ' Ἔρως ὁ χρυσοκόμας | τόξ' ἐντείνεται χαρίτων, | τὸ μὲν ἐπ' εὐαίωνι πότμῳ, | τὸ δ' ἐπὶ συγχύσει βιοτᾶς. | ἀπενέπω νιν ἀμετέρων, | Κύπρι καλλίστα, θαλάμων· | εἴη δ' ἐμοὶ μετρία μὲν | χάρις, πόθοι δ' ὅσιοι, | καὶ μετέχοιμι τᾶς Ἀφροδί|τας, πολλὰν δ' ἀποθείμαν. **627.** Ἔρωτες should be, by virtue of its position, the subject of the whole sentence, of both members of the μὲν — δέ complex. But instead of the second member being εἰ δ' ἅλις ἔλθοιεν, οὐκ ἄλλο οὐδὲν εὔχαρι οὕτως or the like, Κύπρις is brought in as a new subject. Cp. the way in which the Κύπριν of v. 527 reappears, as it were, as Ἔρως in v. 530. — ὕπερ ἄγαν: a bold phrase, as though we had *super quam nimis est* (instead of *satis est*) or ' over too much ' (instead of ' over much '). **629.** ἀρετάν: = εὐτυχίαν. — παρέδωκαν: the more modern form for παρέδοσαν. The aorist is gnomic. **630.** ἀνδράσιν: = ἀνθρώποις, else we

μή ποτ', ὦ δέσποιν', ἐπ' ἐμοὶ χρυσέων
τόξων ἐφείης ἱμέρῳ
χρίσασ' ἄφυκτον οἰστόν,   634

στέργοιμι δὲ σωφροσύνα⟨ν⟩,   635
δώρημα κάλλιστον θεῶν ·
μηδέ ποτ' ἀμφιλόγους ὀρ-
γὰς ἀκόρεστά τε νείκη —
θυμὸν ἐκπλήξασ' ἑτέροις ἐπὶ λέκτροις —
προσβάλοι δεινὰ Κύπρις, ἀπτολέμους δ'   640
εὐνὰς σεβίζουσ' ὀξύφρων
κρίνοι λάχη γυναικῶν.   642

should have the Chorus saying that overpassionateness is a good thing for women. — ἅλις: practically = μετρίως. — εἰ ἔλθοι: an archaism for ἐὰν ἔλθῃ. — οὕτως: aeque.

632. ἐπ' ἐμοί: Ionic syntax for ἐπ' ἐμέ or ἐμοί (with ἐφείης). 634. τόξων: abl. gen. — ἱμέρῳ: love in excess, passion, is, of course, meant (ἔρωτι ὑπὲρ ἄγαν ἐλθόντι). A chaste and calm devotion, without fervour and free from jealousy, is here held up as the ideal foundation of domestic happiness, on the woman's part. — In making Aphrodite shoot from a golden bow an unerring arrow smeared — as with poison — with desire, Euripides seems still further to confuse Eros and Aphrodite (cf. v. 530 f.), unless we invoke the legal maxim qui facit per alium facit per se.

635. στέργοιμι: 'cherish'. — σωφροσύναν: = ἔρωτα ἅλις ἐλθόντα. 637 f. μηδέ ποτ' carries on μή ποτ' above. — ἀμφιλόγους . . . νείκη: quarrels with a husband like that of Medea with Jason must be meant. Cp. for the phraseology Soph. Ant. 111 νεικέων ἐξ ἀμφιλόγων, which may have been in Euripides's mind. 639. θυμὸν ἐκπλήξασ' seems to mean here ὀργίσασ', 'having enraged', and ἑτέροις ἐπὶ λέκτροις to be = ἑτέρων λέκτρων ἕνεκα, 'on account of a second wife' (or practical equivalent thereof) that a husband has taken to himself. Cf. Androm. 487 (of Hermione jealous of Andromache) διὰ γὰρ πυρὸς ἦλθ' ἑτέρῳ λέχει 'for she became furious against the other mate (of her husband)'. 640–642. προσβάλοι: sc. μοί. — δεινά: seemingly more

ὦ πατρίς, ὦ δώματα, μὴ   643
δῆτ' ἄπολις γενοίμαν,
τὸν ἀμηχανίας ἔχου-   645
σα δυσπέρατον αἰῶν',
οἰκτρότατον ἀχέων ·
θανάτῳ θανάτῳ πάρος δαμείην
ἀμέραν τάνδ' ἐξανύσασα · μό-
χθων δ' οὐκ ἄλλος ὕπερθεν ἢ   650
γᾶς πατρίας στέρεσθαι.   651

εἴδομεν, οὐκ ἐξ ἑτέρων   652

than a mere epithet here, rather 'in her dread aspect', no longer an εὔχαρις θεός. — ἀπτολέμους εὐνάς must mean, in view of the context, 'wedlock free from quarrels'. — σεβίζουσ' : Aphrodite's motive for the action next described. — ὀξύφρων . . . γυναικῶν seems to mean 'with keen discrimination keep asunder different mates of one man', so that, that is to say, the wrongful mate may not cross the rightful one's path, and thus trouble arise. Though the Chorus pray for calm affection, natural jealousy is here tacitly assumed.

643–662. Second Strophic Couplet. 'May I never be an exile. Medea's present woes prompt this prayer. A curse upon the disingenuous !' There is no connection between this and the former strophic couplet save that both couplets are prompted by Medea's acts and circumstances, the former couplet by the preceding scene with Jason, the latter by her impending exile, and — more particularly — the scene with Aegeus, Medea's future protector in exile, which is to follow. But it is all charming poetry. 644. δῆτ' : in a tone of urgency. 645. τόν : 'that' rather than 'the'. τὸν ἀμηχανίας δυσπέρατον αἰῶν' is a description of exile. 648 f. We have here an illogical jumbling of πάρος δαμείην πρὶν ἀμέραν τάνδ' (i.e. the day of exile) ἐξανύσαι and μᾶλλον δαμείην ἀμέραν τάνδ' ἐξανύσασα. — θανάτῳ : perhaps rightly to be written with a capital letter. Death is here the victor under whose hands the vanquished falls. ἀμέραν is poetical accus. of the goal with ἐξανύσασα. 650. ὕπερθεν ἤ : = μείζων τοῦ. 652. εἴδομεν : very emphatic and with the force of εἴδομεν αὐταί, as is shewn by the sequel.

μῦθον ἔχω φράσασθαι ·
σὲ γὰρ οὐ πόλις, οὐ φίλων   655
τις ᾤκτισεν παθοῦσαν
δεινότατα παθέων.
ἀχάριστος ὄλοιθ᾽ ὅτῳ παρέστη
μὴ φίλους τιμᾶν καθαρὰν ἀνοί-   660
ξαντα κλῇδα φρενῶν · ἐμοὶ
μὲν φίλος οὔ ποτ᾽ ἔσται.   662

653. **μῦθον φράσασθαι**: to be
closely coupled, 'a tale to tell'.
656 f. Note the strophic rhyme in
δεινότατα παθέων and οἰκτρότατον
ἀχέων. 658-661. ἀχάριστος: 'un-
friended' (lit. 'unfavoured'). —
The clause ὅτῳ ('any one to
whom') . . . φρενῶν is the subject
of ὄλοιθ᾽. — παρέστη: 'the thought
occurs' (gnomic aorist). — μὴ . . .
φρενῶν: *i.e.* 'not to be frank
toward friends'. Jason's disin-
genuousness is censured here, as
Medea censures it at vv. 586 f. To
us it would be more natural were
his faithlessness cursed. — καθαρὰν
ἀνοίξαντα κλῇδα φρενῶν is = καθα-
ρὰς ἀνοίξαντα φρένας, 'by opening
a clean heart', *i.e.* by being honest
and frank. Of course you can't
very well open a key, especially a
Greek key. The Greeks used ex-
pressions of opening and unlock-
ing pretty loosely. — ἐμοὶ μέν: =
ἐμοί γε (ἔμοιγε). The affirmation
has, as elsewhere, faded into a
mere restriction.

In the Third Episodion, which

follows (vv. 663-823), the first
half (vv. 663-758) has been se-
verely censured by modern critics.
It has been denounced as a mere
piece of gallery-play dragged in
by Euripides to please his Athe-
nian audience with the portrayal
of the chivalry of an ancient Attic
worthy, Aegeus, father of the great
Attic hero Theseus. It has been
said that Medea with the magic
chariot which we afterwards find
that she receives from the Sun,
has no need of Aegeus's help.
But this is not true. Means of
escape and place of refuge after
you have made your escape are
two very different things. We
must also, however little we may
like the scene between Aegeus and
Medea, not overlook the fact that
it is pretty closely bound up with
the plot of the *Medea* as Euripides
conceived it. It is distinctly fore-
shadowed in vv. 386-391, which
in turn are but part of Medea's
long reply to the Coryphaeus's
query about a place of refuge in

ΑΙΓΕΥC

Μήδεια, χαῖρε — τοῦδε γὰρ προοίμιον
κάλλιον οὐδεὶς οἶδε προσφωνεῖν φίλους.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ χαῖρε καὶ σύ, παῖ σοφοῦ Πανδίονος, 665
Αἰγεῦ. πόθεν γῆς τῆσδ᾽ ἐπιστρωφᾷ πέδον;

ΑΙΓΕΥC

Φοίβου παλαιὸν ἐκλιπὼν χρηστήριον.

vv. 359 f. See Introd. p. 34. —
With this scene between Aegeus
and Medea should be carefully
compared the scene in the *Helen*
(68 ff.), where Teucer comes to
consult the seeress Theonoe (τὴν
θεσπιῳδὸν Θεονόην χρῄζων ἰδεῖν, v.
145) about the carrying out of an
oracle. In several places in that
scene Euripides is clearly copying
the present scene. **663 f.** For
the form of the sentence cp. vv.
465 f. — τοῦδε: *i.e.* τοῦ χαίρειν
λέγειν (*salvere iubere*). — προσφω-
νεῖν φίλους: *ad amicos alloquen-
dos.* For the construction of
the infin. see HA. 952, G. 1526,
B. 641, Gl. 565.

665 f. χαῖρε καὶ σύ: *salve tu
quoque.* — σοφοῦ: according to
the Scholia this is a mere orna-
mental epithet of Euripides's. But
it would please his audience to
hear an Attic king so qualified. —
Medea's naming of Aegeus serves
incidentally to introduce him to

the audience. It has been asked
how Aegeus and Medea could
have become acquainted, and it
has been suggested that Euripides
was thinking of some version of
the story of the Quest of the
Golden Fleece in which Aegeus
was one of the ship's company.
Euripides would very likely have
smiled his grim smile at both
question and answer. Nor would
he perhaps have thought much of
the suggestion that ἐπιστρωφᾷ im-
plies that Aegeus was no infrequent
visitor at Corinth. The words
used imply no more than *Unde
hanc terram invisis ?.* — γῆς τῆσδε
πέδον is a large way of saying γῆν
τήνδε. Cp. *Hel.* 83 πόθεν γῆς τῆσδ᾽
ἐπεστράφης πέδον;. **667.** Delphi
is meant. — λιπών is a not uncom-
mon substitute for ἐκ: hence the
verse is = ἐκ Φοίβου παλαιοῦ χρη-
στηρίου. Cp. *Phoen.* 202 Τύριον
οἶδμα λιποῦσ᾽ ἔβαν, 'I come from
the waves of Tyre'.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τί δ' ὀμφαλὸν γῆς θεσπιῳδὸν ἐστάλης;

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

παίδων ἐρευνῶν σπέρμ' ὅπως γένοιτό μοι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πρὸς θεῶν, ἄπαις γὰρ δεῦρ' ἀεὶ τείνεις βίον;   670

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

ἄπαιδές ἐσμεν δαίμονός τινος τύχῃ.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

δάμαρτος οὔσης ἢ λέχους ἄπειρος ὤν;

668. ὀμφαλὸν γῆς θεσπιῳδόν : ὀμφαλός was used for the central point (as in Homer's ὀμφαλὸς θαλάσσης). Delphi was supposed to be the centre of the earth (regarded as a plane), and there was a sacred stone there bound with fillets known as the ὀμφαλός. — ἐστάλης : *profectus es.* 669. Note the combination of prolepsis and interlocked order of words. The tame arrangement would be ἐρευνῶν ὅπως παίδων σπέρμα γένοιτό μοι (= παῖδες γένοιντό μοι). ὅπως γένοιτο is the indirect form after secondary tense (ἐρευνῶν depends on an understood ἐστάλην) of πῶς γένηται;

670. γάρ : as often in a surprised question (γάρ *admirantis*), where we say 'why'. The literal sense of γάρ as thus used would be more exactly given by 'really then'. — δεῦρ' ἀεί : *adhuc semper, usque ad hoc tempus.* Time is expressed in terms of space. — τείνεις βίον : = ζῆς, but with an implication (which also lies in δεῦρ' ἀεί) that Aegeus is no boy. 671. A more pious — and circumstantial — way of saying ἄπαιδες ὄντες τυγχάνομεν. 672. δάμαρτος οὔσης : sc. σοί, the phrase being = δάμαρτ' ἔχων. — λέχους ἄπειρος : inexact for 'unmarried' (δάμαρτ' οὐκ ἔχων). Cp. the following verse.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

οὐκ ἐσμεν εὐνῆς ἄζυγες γαμηλίου.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τί δῆτα Φοῖβος εἶπέ σοι παίδων πέρι;

ΑΙΓΕΥC

σοφώτερ’ ἢ κατ’ ἄνδρα συμβαλεῖν ἔπη.　675

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

θέμις μὲν ἡμᾶς χρησμὸν εἰδέναι θεοῦ;

ΑΙΓΕΥC

μάλιστ’, ἐπεί τοι καὶ σοφῆς δεῖται φρενός.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τί δῆτ’ ἔχρησε; λέξον, εἰ θέμις κλύειν.

673. **εὐνῆς ἄζυγες γαμηλίου**: = ἄγαμοι. The notions of ἄζυξ (figurative) and ἄγαμος (literal) are fused in the phrase used. Cp. ἄζυγες γάμων *Hipp.* 1425. 674. Medea comes back at length to the substance of Aegeus's answer in v. 669. — **δῆτα**: *i.e.* ἐπειδὴ ὀμφαλὸν γῆς θεσπιωδὸν ἐστάλης παίδων ἐρευνῶν σπέρμ’ ὅπως γένοιτό σοι. The same sense would be given by οὖν. 675. 'Words too wise for a man to make out the meaning of', *sapientiora verba quam pro humana coniciendi facultate*. With κατ’ ἄνδρα understand ἐστί. As used here, ἀνήρ is con-

trasted with something higher ('a mere human being') and so has the same range, as regards sex, with ἄνθρωπος. Cp. v. 630. 676. **θέμις μέν**: sc. ἐστι. 'Fasne est?' For μέν in a question without answering δέ cp. v. 1129, *Hipp.* 316, *Ion* 520. 677. 'Certainly; for, you see (τοι), a good head is just (καί) what they need' (viz. to their interpreting).— **μάλιστ’**: = *maxime*, as ἥκιστα is = *minime*. μάλιστα is the common affirmative particle in Greek to-day. 678. **δῆτ’**: *i.e.* ἐπεὶ θέμις ἐστὶν ἡμᾶς χρησμὸν εἰδέναι θεοῦ. — **εἰ θέμις κλύειν**: a mere form of words after what Aegeus has just said.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

ἀσκοῦ με τὸν προύχοντα μὴ λῦσαι πόδα—

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πρὶν ἂν τί δράσῃς ἢ τίν' ἐξίκῃ χθόνα;   680

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

πρὶν ἂν πατρῷον αὖθις ἑστίαν μόλω.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σὺ δ' ὡς τί χρήζων τήνδε ναυστολεῖς χθόνα;

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

Πιτθεύς τίς ἐστι, γῆς ἄναξ Τροζηνίας—

679 and 681 are the oratio ob-
liqua of ἀσκοῦ τὸν προύχοντα μὴ
λῦσον πόδα, πρὶν ἂν πατρῷον αὖ-
θις ἑστίαν μόλῃς.—The ἀσκός or
leather bottle, still used in Greece,
was made of the entire skin of
an animal (commonly a goat; cp.
Hom. ζ 77 f. ἐν δ' οἶνον ἔχευεν |
ἀσκῷ ἐν αἰγείῳ) with the neck and
legs (πόδες), any one of which
might serve as a spout, tied up.
The untying would be described
by λύειν. 682. σὺ δ': Medea does
not try to solve the riddle; she
turns abruptly from the god and
his oracle to Aegeus himself. Her
mind, already on the lookout for
some protector abroad, may al-
ready vaguely discern certain pos-
sibilities in Aegeus. — The oracle

was probably familiar to the audi-
ence and bound up with a familiar
national legend. According to the
Scholia (cp. Plutarch, Thes. 3) the
oracle ran: Ἀσκοῦ τὸν προύχοντα
ποδάονα, φέρτατε λαῶν, | μὴ λύσῃς
πρὶν γουνὸν Ἀθηνάων ἀφικέσθαι.
— ὡς: redundant.—τί χρήζων; =
τί βουλόμενος; 'with what ob-
ject?'.—τήνδε: emphatic. Aegeus
might just as well have gone back
to Athens from Delphi overland
instead of taking ship from Cirrha
to Corinth. Cp. Hel. 89 (Helen
to Teucer) τί δῆτα Νείλου, τοῦσδ'
ἐπιστρέφῃ γύας ;.—ναυστολεῖς: or-
nate for πλεῖς. 683. Τροζηνίας:
Τροζήν is the spelling of the in-
scriptions and doubtless that of
Euripides.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

παῖς, ὡς λέγουσι, Πέλοπος, εὐσεβέστατος.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

τούτῳ θεοῦ μάντευμα κοινῶσαι θέλω.   685

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σοφὸς γὰρ ἀνὴρ καὶ τρίβων τὰ τοιάδε.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

κἀμοί γε πάντων φίλτατός δορυξένων.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀλλ' εὐτυχοίης καὶ τύχοις ὅσων ἐρᾷς. —

684. In the mouth of Medea the words mean, it appears: 'A son of Pelops, as they say [the old Greeks thought it was a wise child that knew his own father], a most pious man'. But one is tempted to think that in the then state of Greek politics the words might, differently divided, be given a contemporary reference: 'A very pious Peloponnesian, as they say', as though very pious Peloponnesians belonged, in the writer's mind, more to fiction than to fact. 685. τούτῳ: this would have been ᾧ, had Aegeus not been interrupted. — κοινῶσαι: = ἀνακοινῶσαι. Cp. Xen. *Anab.* 3. 1. 5, where Socrates bids Xenophon ἐλθόντα εἰς Δελφοὺς ἀνακοινῶσαι τῷ θεῷ περὶ τῆς πορείας. There ἀνακοινοῦν (and just before ἀνακοινοῦσθαι) has the construction of συμβουλεύεσθαι; here κοινοῦν has the construction of λέγειν. — κοινῶσαι θέλω: hardly more than κοινώσω. 686. τρίβων: 'versed in', like ἔμπειρος with the gen. Cp. ἐντριβής. — τὰ τοιάδε: = σοφά (*i.e.* σοφίαν). 687. δορυξένων: = συμμάχων. 688. ἀλλ' breaks off the conversation, 'Well'. — Note also that the verse is tautological, εὐτυχοίης being explained by what immediately follows. — Thus far in this episode we have had a new character speaking in a couplet and answered in a couplet (vv. 663–666). Then we have had a

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

τί γὰρ σὸν ὄμμα χρώς τε συντέτηχ᾽ ὅδε;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Αἰγεῦ, κάκιστός ἐστί μοι πάντων πόσις.　　　690

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

τί φής; σαφῶς μοι σὰς φράσον δυσθυμίας.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀδικεῖ μ᾽ Ἰάσων οὐδὲν ἐξ ἐμοῦ παθών.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

τί χρῆμα δράσας; φράζε μοι σαφέστερον.

stichomythy — what Mr. Browning calls "the thrust and parry of bright monostich" —, which is brought to an evident close here by Medea bidding Aegeus godspeed. This stichomythy consists of twenty-two verses (667–688) and is followed by a second stichomythy of (as the text has come down to us) twenty verses (689–708).

689. Instead of taking his leave at once, Aegeus, observing Medea's appearance more closely, begins a new dialogue (stichomythy) with a surprised personal question. For γάρ *admirantis* cp. v. 670. — ὅδε: where our idiom demands an adverb, and where even in Greek we should expect, metre apart, ὧδε. Cp. *Alc.* 1143. — Medea's appearance is the result of the fasting

and weeping described in vv. 24–29. 690. Aegeus's question gives Medea her opening, and she breaks out with, 'Aegeus, I've got the worst husband in the world'. 691. Aegeus can hardly believe his ears. — σαφῶς is emphatic. — δυσθυμίας: 'the reason of your despondent feelings' is, of course, the meaning. 692. Medea puts the case generally and declares her own innocence at the start. — ἐξ ἐμοῦ: in ordinary Attic prose ὑπ᾽ ἐμοῦ. — παθών is treated as the passive to ποιήσας (act. κακὸν ποιεῖν, pass. κακὸν πάσχειν). 693. δράσας: as though Medea had said ἠδίκησε in place of ἀδικεῖ. — According to the Scholia this verse occurred also in the *Peliades*.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

γυναῖκ᾽ ἐφ᾽ ἡμῖν δεσπότιν δόμων ἔχει.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

οὔ που τετόλμηκ᾽ ἔργον αἴσχιστον τόδε;   695

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σάφ᾽ ἴσθ᾽ · ἄτιμοι δ᾽ ἐσμὲν οἱ πρὸ τοῦ φίλοι.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

πότερον ἐρασθεὶς ἢ σὸν ἐχθαίρων λέχος;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μέγαν γ᾽ ἔρωτα, πίσθ᾽ ὃς οὐκ ἔφυ φιλεῖν.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

ἴτω νυν, εἴπερ, ὡς λέγεις, ἐστὶν κακός.

694. ἐφ᾽ ἡμῖν: 'over mè', practically equivalent to ἀντ᾽ ἐμοῦ. — **δεσπότιν δόμων**: cp. the words of the chorus (vv. 443 ff.) λέκτρων | τ᾽ ἄλλα βασίλεια κρείσσων | δόμοισιν ἐπέστα. **695.** Aegeus, with his chivalrous nature, cannot believe that Jason has turned Medea out of her lawful place. **696** = ἄτιμοι δ᾽ ἐσμεν ⟨νῦν⟩ οἱ πρὸ τοῦ φίλοι ⟨ὄντες⟩. **697.** Aegeus asks bluntly whether Jason acted as he did because he fell in love with somebody else or because he was tired of Medea. His words remind us of Jason's in vv. 555 f. **698.** Medea answers with a sneer at Jason's new love: 'A great love in sooth (γ᾽), a man that is not of a nature to love fidelity !' The rel. clause is = ὃς (or ὅστις) φύσει ἄπιστός ἐστιν. **699.** Aegeus would dismiss the subject of Jason, but Medea is not yet done with it. — **κακός**: = ἄπιστος. Cp. v. 586. — The lack of connection between Medea's last remark and her words in v. 700, taken together with the fact that this second stichomythy is two verses shorter than the first, seems to warrant the belief that a verse spoken by Medea and Aegeus's answer to it have been lost between v. 699 and v. 700.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

<   >

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

<   >

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀνδρῶν τυράννων κῆδος ἡράσθη λαβεῖν.   700

ΑΙΓΕΥC

δίδωσι δ' αὐτῷ τίς; πέραινέ μοι λόγον.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Κρέων, ὃς ἄρχει τῆσδε γῆς Κορινθίας.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

συγγνωστὰ μέν γ' ἄρ' ἦν σε λυπεῖσθαι, γύναι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὄλωλα καὶ πρός γ' ἐξελαύνομαι χθονός.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

πρὸς τοῦ; τόδ' ἄλλο καινὸν αὖ λέγεις ἐμοί. 705

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Κρέων μ' ἐλαύνει φυγάδα γῆς Κορινθίας.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

ἐᾷ δ' Ἰάσων; οὐδὲ ταῦτ' ἐπήνεσα.

700. Medea here explains that Jason's love consisted in his craving for a royal alliance. — ἡράσθη λαβεῖν is 'he became enamoured of getting'. 701. δίδωσι: historical present; hence 'gives', not 'offers'. We understand θυγατέρα (or κόρην) ἐπὶ γάμῳ. 703. συγγνωστὰ λυπεῖσθαι: cp. *Alc.* 138 f.

πενθεῖν συγγνωστόν. For the imperf. without ἄν see HA. 897, G. 1400, B. 567, 1, Gl. 460. 705. τόδ': 'in this'. — καινόν; implying κακόν. 706. ἐλαύνει φυγάδα: in prose ἐκβάλλει simply. 707. οὐδὲ ταῦτ': 'not that (conduct) either (on his part)'. — ἐπήνεσα: for the aor. see on v. 223.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

λόγῳ μὲν οὐχί, καρδίᾳ δὲ βούλεται. —
ἀλλ᾽ — ἄντομαί σε τῆσδε πρὸς γενειάδος
γονάτων τε τῶν σῶν ἱκεσία τε γίγνομαι —   710
οἴκτιρον οἰκτιρόν με τὴν δυσδαίμονα
καὶ μή μ᾽ ἔρημον ἐκπεσοῦσαν εἰσίδῃς,
δέξαι δὲ χώρᾳ καὶ δόμων ἐφέστιον ·
οὕτως ἔρως σοι πρὸς θεῶν τελεσφόρος
γένοιτο παίδων, καὐτὸς ὄλβιος θάνοις.   715

708. οὐχί : sc. ἐᾷ. — καρδίᾳ takes the place of the stereotyped antitheton of λόγῳ, viz. ἔργῳ. 709 f. ἀλλ᾽ : marking a sharp transition. The rapid dialogue in single lines was ended in the last verse ; Medea now, having put Aegeus in possession of the facts of her case and made a certain impression on him, betakes herself to fervent supplication. As her words would naturally imply, she probably kneels before Aegeus and clasps his knees and beard in a posture essentially like that taken by Thetis in Hom. A 500–502 (the only difference being that Zeus is seated ; καθέζετο, of course, means 'knelt down '). — ἱκεσία τε γίγνομαι sums up what precedes. The words are = ἱκετεύω τε. 712. ἐκπεσοῦσαν : aor. pass. part. to ἐκβάλλειν (in the sense of 'exile '). — εἰσίδῃς : in prose περιίδῃς.

See for the participial construction HA. 982, G. 1585. 713. δέ : = ἀλλά. — χώρᾳ καὶ δόμων ἐφέστιον : 'into (lit. with) your land and as an inmate of your house '. δόμων ἐφέστιον is an expressive substitute for the δόμοις that would precisely balance χώρᾳ. The proper word here would have been, it seems, not ἐφέστιον but συνέστιον (cp. Alc. 1151 μεῖνον παρ᾽ ἡμῖν καὶ ξυνέστιος γενοῦ, 'become one of the family '). If Euripides wrote ἐφέστιον, it is because Medea is appealing as a suppliant. 714 f. οὕτως : like sic in a Latin conditional blessing. — ἔρως παίδων : i.e. your wish to have them. — πρὸς θεῶν : = ὑπὸ θεῶν. — τελεσφόρος γένοιτο : = τελεσθείη. — ὄλβιος θάνοις : i.e. as being surrounded by his children at death and as leaving heirs to carry on the family — the real immortality to the Greek.

εὕρημα δ' οὐκ οἶσθ' οἷον ηὕρηκας τόδε ·
παύσω δέ σ' ὄντ' ἄπαιδα καὶ παίδων γονὰς
σπεῖραί σε θήσω · τοιάδ' οἶδα φάρμακα.

ΑΙΓΕΥΣ

πολλῶν ἔκατι τήνδε σοι δοῦναι χάριν,
γύναι, πρόθυμός εἰμι, πρῶτα μὲν θεῶν,   720
ἔπειτα παίδων ὧν ἐπαγγέλλῃ γονάς —
ἐς τοῦτο γὰρ δὴ φροῦδός εἰμι πᾶς ἐγώ — ·
οὕτω δ' ἔχει μοι · σοῦ μὲν ἐλθούσης χθόνα
πειράσομαί σου προξενεῖν, δίκαιος ὤν,   724

716–718. Medea clinches her appeal by a cunningly appended promise based on her magic powers. She becomes, as it were, the answerer of her own prayer, her own — and Aegeus's — special providence. 716. **εὕρημα**: placed outside its clause (the indirect exclamation introduced by οἷον) for emphasis and for reasons of metre at the same time. **τόδε**: 'here', i.e. 'in me'. Probably an assimilation of τήνδε = ἐμέ. 717 f. **δέ**: practically = γάρ (explicative). The same thought is expressed twice here, once negatively and once affirmatively, in true Greek fashion. — **θήσω**: = ποιήσω. — **τοιάδ' οἶδα φάρμακα**: this form of expression, native to English as to Greek, is logically a reversal of the order of cause and effect. Logical would be τοιάδε δ'

οἶδα φάρμακα, ὥστε παύσω σ' ὄντ' ἄπαιδα κτλ. 721. **ἔπειτα**: this asyndeton is regular. — **παίδων ὧν**: = τῶν παίδων ὧν. 722. **τοῦτο**: i.e. τὸ παίδων γονὰς σπεῖραι. — **φροῦδος**: = ἀμήχανος. — **ἐγώ**: emphatic and = ἐγὼ ἐφ' ἐμαυτοῦ. 723. **οὕτω**: = ὧδε. — **σοῦ ἐλθούσης**: the pron. is emphatic and is = αὐτῆς ('of yourself'). Cp. the αὐτή in v. 729. This will serve to explain the presence of the unemphatic σου in v. 724. — **χθόνα**: sc. τὴν ἐμήν. 724. **προξενεῖν**: 'to be your πρόξενος', 'to be your champion'. The gen. seems to be used after the model of the gen. with φροντίζειν and ἐπιμελεῖσθαι, though perhaps the prep. προ- plays its part, albeit the verb is not a direct compound. — **δίκαιος ὤν**: 'being in duty bound', sc. τοῦτο ποιεῖν (i.e. σου προξενεῖν).

ἐκ τῆσδε δ' αὐτὴ γῆς ἀπαλλάσσου πόδα ·   729
ἀναίτιος γὰρ καὶ ξένοις εἶναι θέλω.   730

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἔσται τάδ' · ἀλλὰ πίστις εἰ γένοιτό μοι
τούτων, ἔχοιμ' ἂν πάντα πρὸς σέθεν καλῶς·

ΑΙΓΕΥС

μῶν οὐ πέποιθας, ἢ τί σοι τὸ δυσχερές;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πέποιθα · Πελίου δ' ἐχθρός ἐστι μοι δόμος
Κρέων τε · τούτοις ὁρκίοισι μὲν ζυγεὶς   735

τοσόνδε μέντοι σοι προσημαίνω, γύναι ·   725
ἐκ τῆσδε μὲν γῆς οὔ σ' ἄγειν βουλήσομαι,
αὐτὴ δ' ἐάνπερ εἰς ἐμοὺς ἔλθῃς δόμους,
μενεῖς ἄσυλος, κοὔ σε μὴ μεθῶ τινι.   728

---

729. **αὐτή** : *i.e.* unassisted by me, ἐπὶ σαυτῆς. Cp. σοῦ v. 723 and the note thereon. — **ἀπαλλάσσου πόδα** : = ἄπιθι. The acc. is probably that called "of specification". 730. **καὶ ξένοις** : *i.e.* οὐ μόνον σοὶ ἀλλὰ καὶ ξένοις. Under ξένοις Aegeus understands primarily Creon. The statement, however, is general, 'in the eyes of guest friends'. Aegeus would have a right to refuse to give Medea up, if she came to him as a suppliant; he would be violating the right of a neighbouring state, if he took her away. 731. **ἔσται τάδ'** : cp. for the phrase *Alc.* 328. — **πίστις** : emphatic and placed emphatically. 732. **πάντα** : adverbial accus. (ex-

tent of application) with ἔχοιμ ἂν καλῶς. — **πρὸς σέθεν** : 'on your side', 'as far as you are concerned'. Cp. the idiomatic use of *a* in Latin in the sense of *quod attinet ad.* 733. **οὐ πέποιθας** : = ἀπιστεῖς. The μῶν has its usual force. — Aegeus's word is as good as his bond, and he expects it to be taken as such. 734–740. Medea's rather blunt answer, which honours Aegeus's intentions, while it throws strong doubt on his ability to carry them out with steadfastness, is not very palatable to the hearer, as he shews by his reply. But he is human enough — and Greek enough — to accept the situation. 735. **τούτοις** (referring

ἄγουσιν οὐ μεθεῖ' ἂν ἐκ γαίας ἐμέ,
λόγοις δὲ συμβὰς καὶ θεῶν ἀνώμοτος,
φίλος γένοι' ἂν κἀπικηρυκεύματα
τάχ' ἂν πίθοι σε· τἀμὰ μὲν γὰρ ἀσθενῆ,
τοῖς δ' ὄλβος ἐστὶ καὶ δόμος τυραννικός.   740

ΑΙΓΕΥC

πολλὴν ἔδειξας, ὦ γύναι, προμηθίαν·
ἀλλ', εἰ δοκεῖ σοι, δρᾶν τάδ' οὐκ ἀφίσταμαι·
ἐμοί τε γὰρ τάδ' ἐστὶν ἀσφαλέστερα,
σκῆψίν τιν' ἐχθροῖς σοῖς ἔχοντα δεικνύναι,
τὸ σόν τ' ἄραρε μᾶλλον· ἐξηγοῦ θεούς.   745

to Πελίου οἶκος and Κρέων) belongs
to the whole μὲν . . . δέ complex
and belongs to φίλος as much as
to μεθεῖ' ἄν. Indeed, in vv. 735–
739 we have an expansion of τού-
τοις, λόγοις συμβὰς καὶ θεῶν ἀνώ-
μοτος, φίλος γένοι' ἂν κτλ.

735. ἄγουσιν: 'seeking my ex-
tradition'. ἄγειν is the regular
technical term. — ἐμέ: hardly
specially emphatic. The longer
form seems to be used here, as
elsewhere, to fill out the last foot
of the trimeter.   737. λόγοις δὲ
συμβάς: contrasted with ὁρκίοισι
μὲν ζυγείς and further explained
by the following phrase, which
shews that λόγοις means 'mere
words'. — θεῶν: objective gen., as
in θεῶν ὅρκος 'an oath by gods',
'an oath taken in the name of
gods'. 738. φίλος γένοι' ἄν: =
πίθοι' ἄν, as is shewn by what
immediately follows. — ἐπικηρυκεύ-

ματα: 'diplomatic overtures', look-
ing to my extradition. See ἐπικη-
ρυκεύομαι in L. and S. Cp. the
scene in the Heraclidae (vv. 55–
287, particularly vv. 236–287),
where overtures are made to
Demophon by Eurystheus's herald
concerning the surrender of the
Heraclidae. 741. πολλήν: em-
phatic and impatient. We have
the same emphasis on the same
word in modern Greek, e.g. πολύ
του δίδεις 'you are giving him
too much'. Aegeus means to
say that Medea is displaying too
much caution. 743. ἐμοί τε γάρ:
cp. σοί τε γάρ v. 565. 744. Seem-
ingly a crabbed way of saying
σκῆψίν (= πρόφασίν) τιν' ἔχειν
ὥστ' ἐχθροῖς τοῖς σοῖς δεικνύναι 'to
have some excuse to offer to your
foes'. As the words stand, σκῆ-
ψιν is common object to ἔχοντα
and δεικνύναι. 745. τὸ σόν: sc.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὄμνυ πέδον Γῆς πατέρα θ' Ἥλιον πατρὸς
τοὐμοῦ θεῶν τε συντιθεὶς ἅπαν γένος.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

τί χρῆμα δράσειν ἢ τί μὴ δράσειν; λέγε.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μήτ' αὐτὸς ἐκ γῆς σῆς ἔμ' ἐκβαλεῖν ποτε,
μήτ', ἄλλος ἤν τις τῶν ἐμῶν ἐχθρῶν ἄγειν   750
χρῄζῃ, μεθήσειν ζῶν ἑκουσίῳ τρόπῳ.

ΑΙΓΕΥC

ὄμνυμι Γαίας δάπεδον Ἡλίου τε φῶς
θεούς τε πάντας ἐμμενεῖν ἃ σοῦ κλύω.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀρκεῖ· τί δ' ὅρκῳ τῷδε μὴ ἐμμένων πάθοις;

ΑΙΓΕΥC

ἃ τοῖσι δυσσεβοῦσι γίγνεται βροτῶν.   755

μέρος.—ἄραρε (like ἔστιν in v. 743)
is practically a future.—ἐξηγοῦ θε-
ούς: sc. οὓς μ' ὀμνύναι ἐθέλεις.

746. πατέρα πατρός: either πα-
τὴρ πατρός or πάππος is 'grand-
father'. 747. συντιθείς: tauto-
logical with ἅπαν. 748. We
readily understand κελεύεις μ'
ὀμνύναι.—μή: regular with the
oratio obliqua infin. dependent on
a verb of swearing. See G. 1496,
B. 549, 2, Gl. 579 a.—λέγε:
note the tense and cp. πέραινέ μοι
λόγον v. 701.—749 f. αὐτός and

ἄλλος are contrasted, as often.
750. ἄγειν: cp. v. 736. 751. μεθή-
σειν: cp. v. 736.—ζῶν: cp. Achilles's
oath Hom. A 88 ff. οὔ τις ἐμεῦ ζῶν-
τος καὶ ἐπὶ χθονὶ δερκομένοιο | σοὶ
κοίλης παρὰ νηυσὶ βαρείας χεῖρας
ἐποίσει | συμπάντων Δαναῶν. —
ἑκουσίῳ τρόπῳ: circumstantial for
ἑκών. 753. ἃ σοῦ κλύω: practi-
cally dat. (= τούτοις ἃ σοῦ κλύω)
with ἐμμενεῖν. 754. πάθοις: a
short way of saying παθεῖν εὔχῃ.
755. We understand for the con-
struction πάθοιμι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

χαίρων πορεύου· πάντα γὰρ καλῶς ἔχει·
κἀγὼ πόλιν σὴν ὡς τάχιστ᾽ ἀφίξομαι
πράξασ᾽ ἃ μέλλω καὶ τυχοῦσ᾽ ἃ βούλομαι.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἀλλά σ᾽ ὁ Μαίας, πομπαῖος ἄναξ,
πελάσειε δόμοις, ὧν τ᾽ ἐπίνοιαν   760
σπεύδεις κατέχων πράξειας, ἐπεὶ
γενναῖος ἀνήρ,
Αἰγεῦ, παρ᾽ ἐμοὶ δεδόκησαι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ Ζεῦ Δίκη τε Ζηνὸς Ἡλίου τε φῶς,
νῦν καλλίνικοι τῶν ἐμῶν ἐχθρῶν, φίλαι,   765

756. χαίρων πορεύου: 'go on your way rejoicing', an elaborate χαῖρε, *vale*.   **757. κἀγώ**: the subject emphasised as though πορεύου σύ had gone before.   **758. ἅ**: sc. τυχεῖν. The acc. is inner object ('after gaining the success I wish to gain').   **759–763**. These anapaests of the Coryphaeus keep time to the retreating footsteps of Aegeus.   **759. ὁ Μαίας**: sc. υἱός, παῖς. — **πομπαῖος**: 'that has to do with πομπή (escort)'. Hermes was the divine escort in general, not merely the escort of souls (ψυχοπομπός).   **760 f.** Understand ὧν τ᾽ ἐπίνοιαν κατέχων σπεύδεις ('thou eagerly holdest fast the thought'),

⟨ταῦτα⟩ πράξειας ('achieve'). The reference is to the obtaining of children.   **763. παρ᾽ ἐμοί**: a more formal and judicial expression than the simple dative. — **δεδόκησαι**: = δέδοξαι. Apparently this form is a later developement. — The following long speech by Medea is, as it were, the mate to the speech in vv. 364–499. It falls into two halves of twenty-one verses each, vv. 764–789 (omitting vv. 767, 778, 779, 782, 785) and vv. 790–810.   **765. νῦν**: 'now at length', a shout of triumph. — **καλλίνικοι**: cp. v. 45. — **ἐχθρῶν**: after the model of the gen. with ἐγκρατής. See HA. 753 a, G. 1140.

γενησόμεσθα κεἰς ὁδὸν βεβήκαμεν· 766

οὗτος γὰρ ἀνὴρ ᾗ μάλιστ᾽ ἐκάμνομεν 768

λιμὴν πέφανται τῶν ἐμῶν βουλευμάτων·

ἐκ τοῦδ᾽ ἀναψόμεσθα πρυμνήτην κάλων 770

μολόντες ἄστυ καὶ πόλισμα Παλλάδος·

ἤδη δὲ πάντα τἀμά σοι βουλεύματα

λέξω· δέχου δὲ μὴ πρὸς ἡδονὴν λόγους.

πέμψασ᾽ ἐμῶν τιν᾽ οἰκετῶν Ἰάσονα

ἐς ὄψιν ἐλθεῖν τὴν ἐμὴν αἰτήσομαι, 775

μολόντι δ᾽ αὐτῷ μαλθακοὺς λέξω λόγους,

ὡς καὶ δοκεῖ μοι τἄλλα καὶ καλῶς ἔχει, 777

παῖδας δὲ μεῖναι τοὺς ἐμοὺς αἰτήσομαι— 780

νῦν δ᾽ ἐλπὶς ἐχθροὺς τοὺς ἐμοὺς τίσειν δίκην 767

γάμους τυράννων οὓς προδοὺς ἡμᾶς ἔχει 778

καὶ ξύμφορ᾽ εἶναι καὶ καλῶς ἐγνωσμένα 779

---

768 f. Join ᾗ μάλιστ᾽ ἐκάμνο-μεν τῶν ἐμῶν βουλευμάτων, 'at the point in my plans when I was most at sea' (cp. vv. 386-394). Both ἐκάμνομεν (laborabamus; so in English a ship is said to 'labour') and λιμὴν πέφανται are sea-metaphors; cp. v. 278 f. The figure is continued in v. 770. 770. Greek ships were regularly moored stern to shore. 771. ἄστυ καὶ πόλισμα: 'town and citadel'. The Acropolis was regularly called in the earlier time πόλις. The v. is = ἐλθόντες Ἀθή-ναζε. 772. ἤδη δέ: carrying on the thought of νῦν and implying (as is the fact) that she could not set forth her full plan before. Indeed, it would seem that at the time of her previous speech to her confidantes she had not matured her plan. 773. πρὸς ἡδονήν: 'lightly', 'as a jest'. 776. μολόντι for ἐλ-θόντι (after ἐλθεῖν) is a deliberate variation. It serves too to alliter-ate with μαλθακούς. 777. 'That I approve of everything else (τἄλ-λα) and it is all right.' The case is put both subjectively and objectively. τἄλλα (contrasted with the petition for the chil-dren) means Jason's abandon-ment of her to marry the princess and her own banishment, as is shewn in the subsequent scene which is prepared for here.

οὐχ ὡς λίπω σφε πολεμίας ἐπὶ χθονός,   781
ἀλλ' ὡς δόλοισι παῖδα βασιλέως κτάνω·   783
πέμψω γὰρ αὐτοὺς δῶρ' ἔχοντας ἐν χεροῖν,   784
λεπτόν τε πέπλον καὶ πλόκον χρυσήλατον·   786
κἄνπερ λαβοῦσα κόσμον ἀμφιθῇ χροΐ,
κακῶς ὀλεῖται πᾶς θ' ὃς ἂν θίγῃ κόρης.
τοιοῖσδε χρίσω φαρμάκοις δωρήματα.——
ἐνταῦθα μέντοι τόνδ' ἀπαλλάσσω λόγον,   790
ᾤμωξα δ' οἷον ἔργον ἔστ' ἐργαστέον
τοὐντεῦθεν ἡμῖν· τέκνα γὰρ κατακτενῶ
τἄμ'· οὔτις ἔστιν ὅστις ἐξαιρήσεται·

ἐχθροῖσι παῖδας τοὺς ἐμοὺς καθυβρίσαι   782
νύμφῃ φέροντας τήνδε μὴ φεύγειν χθόνα   785

---

783. παῖδα βασιλέως: Creon's child is sharply contrasted with Medea's own children. 786. Note the graceful chiasmus — 'dainty robe and wreath of beaten gold'. 787. κόσμον: of the πέπλος and πλόκος collectively; 'finery', *mundus, munditiae*. — ἀμφιθῇ χροΐ: = ἐνδύῃ. 788. πᾶς ὃς ἂν θίγῃ: she expects that this will include Creon. — κόρης: for αὐτῆς. Cp. *Hipp.* 46, when θεῷ is used for αὐτῷ referring to Ποσειδῶν in v. 45. 789. For the form of expression, cp. v. 718. 790. Here begins the second part of the speech, the transition being sharply marked by the words ἐνταῦθα μέντοι. 791 f. ᾤμωξα: for the tense cp. ᾔνεσα v. 223. — οἷον ... ἡμῖν: indirect exclamation, which would have the same form in oratio recta. — γάρ: explicative, 'name-

ly' rather than 'for'. 793. τἄμ': emphatically placed and with the emphasis explained by what immediately follows. They are most positively hers, for they are fully in her power. Cp. Aesch. *Ag.* 1225 f. δεσπότῃ | ἐμῷ· φέρειν γὰρ χρὴ τὸ δούλιον ζυγόν 'the master — my master; for bear I must the slave's yoke' (Cassandra speaking of Agamemnon) and *Ag.* 13 f. εὐνὴν ὀνείροις οὐκ ἐπισκοπουμένην | ἐμήν· φόβος γὰρ κτλ. 'a bed by dreams unvisited (like other beds) in my case; for', etc. Here we might have had (barring metre) οὐ γάρ τις κτλ. The asyndeton is causal. For the form of expression cp. *Alc.* 848 οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις αὐτὸν ἐξαιρήσεται 'no one shall take him (Death) out of my (Heracles's) hands'.

δόμον τε πάντα συγχέασ᾽ Ἰάσονος
ἔξειμι γαίας φιλτάτων παίδων φόνον　　　　795
φεύγουσα καὶ τλᾶσ᾽ ἔργον ἀνοσιώτατον·
οὐ γὰρ γελᾶσθαι τλητὸν ἐξ ἐχθρῶν, φίλαι.
ἴτω· τί μοι ζῆν κέρδος; οὔτ᾽ ἐμοὶ πατρὶς
οὔτ᾽ οἶκός ἐστιν οὔτ᾽ ἀποστροφὴ κακῶν·
ἡμάρτανον τόθ᾽ ἡνίκ᾽ ἐξελίμπανον　　　　800

794. **δόμον** : 'family', 'house-hold'. — **συγχέασ᾽** : the weight of the expression is on the participle, as often when the participle pre-cedes a verb expressive of motion or transition, 'I will confound be-fore I go out'. Cp. Lysias 32. 13 ὥστ᾽ ἐπιορκήσασα κατὰ τῶν παίδων τῶν ἐμαυτῆς τὸν βίον ἐκλιπεῖν, 'so as to commit perjury against my own children before I depart this life'. Similarly, probably, Hom. α 57 ff. αὐτὰρ Ὀδυσσεὺς | ἱέμενος καὶ καπνὸν ἀποθρῴσκοντα νοήσας (MSS. νοῆσαι) | ἧς γαίης θανεεῖν ἱμείρεται 'yearns to see if it were but the ris-ing smoke of his own land ere he die'. 796. **φεύγουσα** : *i.e.* seeking to escape punishment for. Jason would kill her for killing the children; cp. v. 1316. Of course, Medea would be in danger also for the killing of the princess and the king, but she does not think of that at this moment. She puts in too, in a purely emotional fashion, a reference to the horror of her deed, when she is thinking primarily of flight. 797. **ἐξ ἐχθρῶν** :

= ὑπ᾽ ἐχθρῶν. The point of view here is the same as in vv. 381–383 ; Medea will seek to escape (φεύγουσα v. 796) with her own life and not give her foes (mean-ing Jason, of course) a chance to triumph to the extent of taking vengeance on her. 798. There is a link lacking in the chain of thought : τί δ᾽ εἰ μὴ φεύξομαι, ἀλλὰ ληφθεῖσ᾽ ἀποθανοῦμαι; 'but what if I do not make good my flight, but be caught and put to death?'. — **ἴτω** : 'never mind'. Said in a tone of desperation. — The em-phasis on the pronouns shifts. 'Of what value is *life* to me? *I* have neither country nor home', etc. The asyndeton is causal (γάρ omitted) in both clauses. 799. **κακῶν** : ablatival (as with ἀποστρέφεσθαι, to which ἀπο-στροφή is the abstract, used here concretely). Medea seems for the moment to forget her prom-ised refuge at Athens. 800. **τόθ᾽ ἡνίκ᾽** : = τόθ᾽ ὅτ᾽. — **ἐξελίμπανον** : = ἐξέλειπον. The pres. λιμπάνω is to ἔλιπον as λαμβάνω to ἔλαβον.

δόμους πατρῴους ἀνδρὸς Ἕλληνος λόγοις
πεισθεῖσ᾽ ὃς ἡμῖν — σὺν θεῷ — τείσει δίκην·
οὔτ᾽ ἐξ ἐμοῦ γὰρ παῖδας ὄψεταί ποτε
ζῶντας τὸ λοιπὸν οὔτε τῆς νεοζύγου
νύμφης τεκνώσει παῖδ᾽, ἐπεὶ κακὴν κακῶς   805
θανεῖν σφ᾽ ἀνάγκη τοῖς ἐμοῖσι φαρμάκοις.
μηδείς με φαύλην κἀσθενῆ νομιζέτω
μηδ᾽ ἡσυχαίαν, ἀλλὰ θατέρου τρόπου,

The common lengthening of the stem λιπ- in the present appears in λείπω. The imperf. is = ἠρξά-μην ἁμαρτάνειν (ἁμαρτάνουσα). Medea goes back to the *fons et origo malorum*.

801. ἀνδρὸς Ἕλληνος : 'a Greek'. The use of ἀνήρ where we use the indefinite article was common. Cp. Plat. *Euthyphro* 15 D οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἄν ποτε ἐπεχείρησας ὑπὲρ ἀν-δρὸς θνητὸς ('a θής') ἄνδρα πρεσ-βύτην πατέρα ('an aged father') διωκαθεῖν φόνου ('on a charge of manslaughter'). δόμους πατρῴους and ἀνδρὸς Ἕλληνος are juxtaposed for contrast. 802. The essential relative clause (not to be set off by a comma) is finely dramatic. We are expecting something like ὃς ἡμᾶς προύδωκεν, when she leaps from crime to punishment. — σὺν θεῷ : cp. v. 625 for the full form. Cp. also σὺν θεῷ εἰπεῖν Plat. *Theaet.* 151 B. — τείσει δίκην : τείσω and ἔτεισα are the forms attested by the inscriptions. τί-νειν δίκην seems to be a more

elegant διδόναι δίκην. 803. ἐξ ἐμοῦ παῖδας : to be closely joined, 'children born of me'. — ποτε : *i.e.* 'ever again'. With the whole verse cp. *Hec.* 1045 f. (Hecuba to the blinded Polymestor) οὐ γάρ ποτ᾽ ὄμμα λαμπρὸν ἐνθήσεις κόραις ('set your bright sight in your eyeballs'), | οὐ παῖδας ὄψῃ ζῶντας οὓς ἔκτειν᾽ ἐγώ. 805. τεκνώσει παῖδ᾽ : cp. v. 574. The singular παῖδ᾽ is more appropriate than the plural in view of the negative. — κακὴν κακῶς : the penalty fits the crime (as it is from Medea's point of view). 806. ἀνάγκη : sc. ἐστί. — ἐμοῖσι : with gloating emphasis. 807. The abruptness of the asyn-deton marks the emotion of the speaker. — φαύλην and ἀσθενῆ are apparently synonymous, the com-mon word in this sense being added to explain the less common — a feature of style very common in Plato, it may be remarked. 808. ἡσυχαίαν : 'gentle', 'long-suffering'. — θατέρου τρόπου : seem-ingly a popular phrase, ' t'other way

βαρεῖαν ἐχθροῖς καὶ φίλοισιν εὐμενῆ·
τῶν γὰρ τοιούτων εὐκλεέστατος βίος.　　　810

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἐπείπερ ἡμῖν τόνδ' ἐκοίνωσας λόγον,
σέ τ' ὠφελεῖν θέλουσα καὶ νόμοις βροτῶν
ξυλλαμβάνουσα δρᾶν σ' ἀπεννέπω τάδε.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλως· σοὶ δὲ συγγνώμη λέγειν
τάδ' ἐστὶ μὴ πάσχουσαν, ὡς ἐγώ, κακῶς.　　　815

about'. Cp. Aristoph. *Av.* 109 f. μῶν ἡλιαστά ; — μᾶλλὰ θατέρου τρόπου, | ἀπηλιαστά. 'A couple of heliasts?'—'No; t'other way about, a couple of apeliasts.'

809. This verse explains what is meant by θατέρου τρόπου, though the explanation is really unnecessary save as a link to join on v. 810, which forms a fine close to Medea's tirade. The effective chiasmus in this verse is to be noted. 810. This is Greek popular morality—and modern popular morality too, the Golden Rule notwithstanding. Xenophon rises no higher when he mentions with apparent approval the younger Cyrus's prayer τοσοῦτον χρόνον ζῆν ἔστε νικῴη καὶ τοὺς εὖ καὶ τοὺς κακῶς ποιοῦντας ἀλεξόμενος (*Anab.* 1. 9. 11). For the higher Greek morality we must look to Plato, who makes his Socrates say (*Rep.* 335 D), οὐκ ἄρα τοῦ

δικαίου βλάπτειν ἔργον, ὦ Πολέμαρχε, οὔτε φίλον οὔτ' ἄλλον οὐδένα, ἀλλὰ τοῦ ἐναντίου, τοῦ ἀδίκου. — εὐκλεέστατος βίος : = εὐκλεέστατός ἐστιν ὁ βίος. 811. ἐκοίνωσας : cp. v. 685. 812. νόμοις βροτῶν : rather = τῷ δικαίῳ than referring to statute laws. Special statute laws, whether laid down by a lawgiver (*e.g.* Solon) or enacted by an assembly; customs recognised by the Greeks at large (Ἑλληνικοὶ νόμοι) ; general principles of right conduct (ἄγραφοι νόμοι, νόμιμα ἄγραπτα, νόμοι βροτῶν) — all these came under the head of νόμος or νόμοι to the Greek. 813. ξυλλαμβάνουσα : 'lending a helping hand to', ὑπηρετοῦσα. — ἀπεννέπω : = ἀπαγορεύω. 814. οὐκ ἔστιν ἄλλως : 'needs must'. The emphasis of σοί is explained in the next verse. 815. πάσχουσαν : the case is due to the inf. λέγειν.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἀλλὰ κτανεῖν σὸν σπέρμα τολμήσεις, γύναι;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὕτω γὰρ ἂν μάλιστα δηχθείη πόσις.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

σὺ δ᾽ ἂν γένοιό γ᾽ ἀθλιωτάτη γυνή.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἴτω· περισσοὶ πάντες οὖν μέσῳ λόγοι.—
ἀλλ᾽ εἶα χώρει καὶ κόμιζ᾽ Ἰάσονα·   820
ἐς πάντα γὰρ δὴ σοὶ τὰ πιστὰ χρώμεθα.
λέξῃς δὲ μηδὲν τῶν ἐμοὶ δεδογμένων,
εἴπερ φρονεῖς εὖ δεσπόταις γυνή τ᾽ ἔφυς. ✓

816. κτανεῖν : = ἀποκτεῖναι. —
σπέρμα : more appropriate of father
than of mother, but the word is so
used elsewhere (*e.g.* Soph. *Trach.*
304).   818. σύ : in contrast with
πόσις.— δὲ . . . γε is the adversa-
tive, καὶ . . . γε the copulative form.
As here used, δέ strengthened by
γε is = ἀλλά, *at*, introducing an
objection.   819. ἴτω: 'so be it'
(γινέσθω schol.).   So in Soph.
Phil. 120.   The force is different
in v. 798. — οὖν : = οἱ ἐν. — ἐν
μέσῳ : *i.e.* 'between' me and my
purpose. ἐν μέσῳ λόγοι are 'ob-
jections' here. 820. Medea hav-
ing dismissed the Coryphaeus
turns to an attendant, probably
the speaker of the prologue. It
would appear that in v. 774
οἰκετῶν was very loosely us

821. δή : probably an intensifi-
cation of πάντα.   823. εἴπερ φρο-
νεῖς εὖ δεσπόταις : the plural
substantive generalises, 'if you
are indeed a loyal servant' (= εἴ-
περ πιστὴ εἶ δούλη). — The ser-
vant departs on her errand.
Medea does not retire within, but
awaits Jason's coming.

In the following Third Stasi-
mon (vv. 824–865) the charms of
the land to which Medea is going,
Attica, are described in the first
strophic couplet (vv. 824–845) ; in
the second strophic couplet (vv.
846–865) it is naturally queried
how such a land can receive a
mother stained with the blood
of her children, and Medea is
annealed to in affecting terms to
her dreadful purpose.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

Ἐρεχθεῖδαι τὸ παλαιὸν ὄλβιοι   824
καὶ θεῶν παῖδες μακάρων, ἱερᾶς   825
χώρας ἀπορθήτου τ᾽ ἀποφερβόμενοι

824. **Ἐρεχθεῖδαι** : = ᾿Αθηναῖοι.
So called from the old hero and
king Erechtheus. — **τὸ παλαιόν** :
in the context clearly 'from of
old'. — **ὄλβιοι** : sc. *εἰσίν*. 825. **θεῶν
παῖδες** : according to the scholia
because they were children of
Erechtheus, who was, in a sense,
a son of Hephaestus and Earth.
But we need not be too precise
where patriotism and poetry are
blended. — **ἱερᾶς** : because under
the patronage of the gods, particu-
larly Athena. 826 f. **ἀπορθήτου** :
the circumstances of the times lend
a special pathos to this word in
the retrospect. By the midsummer
of 431 Attica was trampled under
foot of a Peloponnesian army.
As a matter of fact, after the
invasion of Xerxes neither Attica
nor Athens could be called *ἀπόρ-
θητος*, but Salamis had wiped
out that disgrace. — **ἀποφερβόμε-
νοι κλεινοτάταν σοφίαν** : Aristo-
phanes's use of *ἀποβόσκεσθαι* (a
homelier *ἀποφέρβεσθαι*) of in-
sects which *δένδρεσι ἐφεζόμενα
καρπὸν ἀποβόσκεται* (*Birds* 1066)
suggests that Euripides in this
figurative phrase, as charming as
it is unclear and elusive, was
thinking of the earth-born cicada,

the symbol of the autochthonous
Athenian. Perhaps the best com-
mentary on this difficult passage
is to be found in Plato's eloquent
words on the influence of envi-
ronment on the young (*Rep.* 401
B–D). In his ideal city the poets
are to put the likeness of good
character (*τὴν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ εἰκόνα
ἤθους*) into their poems, nor must
the other artisans — particularly
painters and architects — be al-
lowed to put baseness, intemper-
ance, vulgarity, and uncomeliness
(*τὸ κακόηθες καὶ ἀκόλαστον καὶ
ἀνελεύθερον καὶ ἄσχημον*) into
their handiwork, 'in order that
the guardians (of the state) may
not, by being reared among images
of vice as amid vicious herbage,
gather daily, as they browse, many
portions piecemeal from many
things and so imperceptibly com-
bine in their soul a great evil'
(*ἵνα μὴ ἐν κακίας εἰκόσι τρεφόμε-
νοι ἡμῖν οἱ φύλακες, ὥσπερ ἐν κακῇ
βοτάνῃ, πολλὰ ἑκάστης ἡμέρας
κατὰ σμικρὸν ἀπὸ πολλῶν δρεπόμε-
νοί τε καὶ νεμόμενοι* [= *ἀποφερβό-
μενοι*] *ἕν τι συνιστάντες λανθάνω-
σιν κακὸν μέγα ἐν τῇ ἑαυτῶν
ψυχῇ*). No, we must seek out
those artists that are able in-

κλεινοτάταν σοφίαν, αἰεὶ διὰ λαμπροτάτου
βαίνοντες ἀβρῶς αἰθέρος ἔνθα ποθ᾽ ἀγνὰς   830
ἐννέα Πιερίδας Μούσας λέγουσι
ξανθὰν Ἁρμονίαν φυτεῦσαι·   834

geniously to track out the nature of the fair and comely, ἵνα, ὥσπερ ἐν ὑγιεινῷ τόπῳ οἰκοῦντες, οἱ νέοι ἀπὸ παντὸς ὠφελῶνται, ὁπόθεν ἂν αὐτοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν καλῶν ἔργων ἢ πρὸς ὄψιν ἢ πρὸς ἀκοήν τι προσβάλῃ, ὥσπερ αὔρα φέρουσα ἀπὸ χρηστῶν τόπων ὑγίειαν, καὶ εὐθὺς ἐκ παίδων λανθάνῃ εἰς ὁμοιότητά τε καὶ φιλίαν καὶ συμφωνίαν τῷ καλῷ λόγῳ ἄγουσα ('that, just as though they were dwelling in a healthful place, the young may derive benefit from everything, whencesoever from beautiful works of art anything shall strike their sight or hearing, like a breeze that brings health from good regions, and that from childhood it [*i.e.* physical beauty, ἡ τοῦ καλοῦ τε καὶ εὐσχήμονος φύσις] may lead them imperceptibly into likeness and friendship and harmony with spiritual beauty' [τῷ καλῷ λόγῳ]). With the latter part of this passage we should compare vv. 835–845. Euripides may well have been in Plato's mind when he wrote what has just been quoted.

827 f. αἰεὶ . . . αἰθέρος: any man that has been young in Athens and that has walked

abroad of a spring morning through that wonderfully clear air that makes the chest expand and the foot fall lightly, will remember how he thought of Euripides's words then and will know that none ever fixed in words for all time a nobler bit of simple and complete description of a noble region and climate. The Athenians breathed a subtler air — αἰθήρ, not ἀήρ. **830 ff. ἔνθα ποθ᾽**: '(in that land) where once'. It is a bold touch to give nine mothers to one daughter, but the scholia are probably right in making Ἁρμονίαν the object, not the subject, of φυτεῦσαι. The Muses implanted, nay, engendered, Harmony — all concord and perfect fitting together of parts, whether in music or musical instruments or education — in Attica, and Harmonia, as a personification, is a fair woman with hair of the heroic colour. Cephissus and the cooling of its irrigating streams and the rose-gardens with Aphrodite in their midst crowning herself with the blooms come next. The Cephissus is the main stream of Attica; the Ilissus a mere brook-

τοῦ καλλινάου τ' ἀπὸ Κηφισοῦ ῥοὰς   835
τὰν Κύπριν κλῄζουσιν ἀφυσσομέναν
χώρας καταπνεῦσαι μετρίας ἀνέμων
ἡδυπνόους ⟨τ'⟩ αὔρας, αἰεὶ δ' ἐπιβαλλομέναν   840
χαίταισιν εὐώδη ῥοδέων πλόκον ἀνθέων
τᾷ σοφίᾳ παρέδρους πέμπειν ἔρωτας,
παντοίας ἀρετᾶς ξυνεργούς.   845

let, except in heavy rains. Irri-
gating channels were cut from the
Cephissus by the Attic farmers.
Even to-day splendid roses are
grown at Cephissia on the head-
waters of the Cephissus. There
was, too, a famous statue (as well
as a cult) of 'Aphrodite of the
Gardens'. — The antistrophe is
closely connected with the last
sentence of the strophe: '(where)
too they report', etc.

836. ἀφυσσομέναν: irrigation
poetised. 840. ἡδυπνόους: an an-
ticipation of the roses. — αἰεὶ δ':
echoing αἰεὶ δ— of the strophe
(strophic rhyme). 841. ῥοδέων
ἀνθέων: = ῥόδων. 843. The Loves
— for this is a sort of anticipation
of the multiplied figures of Eros
in later Greek art — that sit beside
Wisdom like an Archon's ad-
sessors (πάρεδροι) is a charming
hint at that ardent enthusiasm
which went hand in hand with
the highest Attic philosophy, as
exemplified by Plato. One can-
not help thinking of the opening
of the Phaedrus here — a passage

that inspired a modern poet, the
German Hölderlin, to write in his
Griechenland of the "Schatten
der Platanen, | Wo durch Blumen
der Ilissos rann, | Wo die Jüng-
linge sich Ruhm ersannen, | Wo
die Herzen Socrates gewann".
One thinks, too, of Aristophanes's
exquisite verses (Clouds 1005–
1008) ἀλλ' εἰς Ἀκαδήμειαν κατιὼν
ὑπὸ ταῖς μορίαις ('the sacred
olives'— for this is the Academy
of which Milton wrote, "The olive
groves of Academe, | Plato's re-
tirement, where the Attic bird
trills his thick warbled note the
summer through"; cp. Soph.
O.C. 670–673) ἀποθρέξῃ | στεφα-
νωσάμενος καλάμῳ λευκῷ μετὰ
σώφρονος ἡλικιώτου ('com-
rade'), | μίλακος ὄζων καὶ ἀπρα-
γμοσύνης καὶ λεύκης φυλλοβολού-
σης ('white poplar with dancing
leaves'), | ἦρος ἐν ὥρᾳ χαίρων ὁπό-
ταν πλάτανος πτελέᾳ ψιθυρίζῃ ('as
often as the plane tree is whisper-
ing to the elm'). Cp. also the
passage from the Republic quoted
above. In the language of th·

πῶς οὖν ἱερῶν ποταμῶν 846
ἢ πόλις ἢ φίλων
πόμπιμος σὲ χώρα
τὰν παιδολέτειραν ἕξει—
τὰν οὐχ ὁσίαν μεθ᾽ ἁγνῶν; 850
σκέψαι τεκέων πλαγάν,
σκέψαι φόνον οἷον ἀρῇ·
μή — πρὸς γονάτων σε πάντῃ
πάντως ἱκετεύομεν—
τέκνα φονεύσῃς. 855

present passage there is something like an echo of a phrase in the great Ἔρως chorus in the *Antigone* (781 ff.), where the ἵμερος εὐλέκτρου νύμφας 'yearning for a winsome bride'—a phase of Ἔρως —is described as τῶν μεγάλων πάρεδρος ἐν ἀρχαῖς θεσμῶν, where (though we should perhaps read ξύνθρονος ἀρχαῖς) the metaphor is the same.

846. **ἱερῶν ποταμῶν**: this includes the Ilissus, as well as the Cephissus. The genitive seems to belong only to πόλις, but its position (if the text be sound) is a very strange one. It is to be noted that Euripides uses the same rhythm, and possibly the same melody, here as in the former reference to 'sacred rivers' (v. 408). 847 f. **φίλων πόμπιμος**: the reference is to welcoming the coming not to speeding the parting guest. Euripides here forces

πόμπιμος (lit. 'escorting', 'setting on the way') with φίλων into the sense of φιλόξενος, and seems later (*Phoeniss.* 984) to use πόμπιμος alone as = φιλόξενος. One would have thought δεκτικός a fitter word. 849. **παιδολέτειραν**: = παιδοκτόνον. 850. **τὰν . . . ἁγνῶν**: a loose apposition to the preceding. 851. **πλαγάν**: *i.e.* which you have in view. In the next line the thought is more completely expressed. 852. **φόνον οἷον ἀρῇ**: = οἷον φόνον ἀρῇ ('are about to take upon you', with reference to the burden of guilt). The construction is indirect exclamation. 853. **πρὸς γονάτων σε**: the common order is rather πρός σε γονάτων (dic—per omnes te deos oro —Sybarin cur properes amando perdere). — **πάντῃ πάντως**: 'altogether in every way'. Repetition of the thought in slightly varied form for emphasis.

πόθεν θράσος ἢ φρενὸς ἢ　　　　　856
χειρὶ τέκνοις σέθεν
καρδίᾳ τε λήψῃ,
δεινὰν προσάγουσα τόλμαν,
πῶς δ᾽ ὄμματα προσβαλοῦσα　　　860
τέκνοις ἄδακρυν μοῖραν
σχήσεις φόνου; οὐ δυνάσῃ,
παίδων ἱκετᾶν πιτνόντων
τέγξαι χέρα φοινίαν
τλάμονι θυμῷ.　　　　　　　865

IACWN

ἥκω κελευσθείς· καὶ γὰρ οὖσα δυσμενὴς

856–859. If the words as printed are what Euripides wrote here, we must construe : πόθεν θράσος ἢ φρενὸς ἢ χειρὶ καρδίᾳ τε λήψῃ τέκνοις σέθεν δεινὰν προσάγουσα τόλμαν ; ' whence can you get boldness either of mind or for hand and heart in applying (= so as to apply) to your children fearful daring?'. The dat. χειρί will then be a substitute for the gen. that would match φρενός and will have been used *metri gratia.* It is to be noted that v. 856 echoes v. 846 in the initial interrogative pron. and in the rhyme θράσος ἢ φρενὸς ἢ parallel with the rhyme ἱερῶν ποταμῶν. **861. ἄδακρυν . . . φόνου:** 'refrain from weeping over the thought of their murder' is the sense (lit. 'keep tearless the

fate [or 'portion'] of murder'). **863. ἱκετᾶν πιτνόντων:** 'kneeling (before you) in suppliance', *i.e.* as you threaten them with the sword. **864. τέγξαι φοινίαν:** 'stain with blood' (= φοινίαν ποιῆσαι τέγξασα). **865. τλάμονι θυμῷ:** 'siccis oculis', as Horace would have said, though that thought has already been expressed.

In the following Fourth Episodion (vv. 866–975) we have the sham reconciliation between Medea and Jason — whose selfish and egoistical wishes to have things smoothed over blind his judgment — and the sending of the children with the fatal gifts to the Princess.

866. κελευσθείς: 'at your bidding', 'as you bid'. — **καὶ οὖσα:** to be joined, 'even though you are'.

οὔ τἂν ἁμάρτοις τοῦδέ γ᾽, ἀλλ᾽ ἀκούσομαι
τί χρῆμα βούλῃ καινὸν ἐξ ἐμοῦ, γύναι.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

Ἰᾶσον, ἄντομαί σε τῶν εἰρημένων
συγγνώμον᾽ εἶναι· τὰς δ᾽ ἐμὰς ὀργὰς φέρειν   870
εἰκός σ᾽, ἐπεὶ νῷν πόλλ᾽ ὑπείργασται φίλα.
ἐγὼ δ᾽ ἐμαυτῇ διὰ λόγων ἀφικόμην
κἀλοιδόρησα· Σχετλία, τί μαίνομαι
καὶ δυσμεναίνω τοῖσι βουλεύουσιν εὖ,
ἐχθρὰ δὲ γαίας κοιράνοις καθίσταμαι   875
πόσει θ᾽, ὃς ἡμῖν δρᾷ τὰ συμφορώτατα,

---

867. τἂν: = τοι ἂν. — **τοῦδέ γ᾽**:
'this (favour) at least' (*i.e.* τοῦ
ἐλθεῖν με). Jason makes light of
the favour in a most exasperatingly
patronising fashion. We can fairly
hear what Mr. Kipling might call
his "fine, silky tone". **868. γύναι**:
'madam'. Like ἄνερ this vocative
is regularly used as a form of
courtesy. **870. συγγνώμον᾽ εἶναι**:
= συγγνώμην ἔχειν. — **ὀργάς**: 'fits
of temper'. We can imagine that,
notwithstanding v. 13, Medea had
not always been an easy woman to
live with. **871. ἐπεὶ . . . φίλα**:
'because of our long and loving
intercourse as man and wife' she
means. The preposition in ὑπείρ-
γασται gives the notion of secrecy
and intimacy. **872.** So much by
way of prelude; now to the matter

in hand. For ἐγὼ δ᾽ cp. v. 526. —
ἐμαυτῇ . . . ἀφικόμην: 'had an inter-
view with myself'. For the phrase
cp. διὰ λόγων ἰέναι. See HA. 795,
1 d; G. 1206, 1 (ε); B. 404, 1:
873. κἀλοιδόρησα: 'and scolded
myself.' She then rehearses in
popular (and epic) manner the
scolding she gave herself. We
have something like this in the
scolding that the watcher's heart
gave him in Soph. *Ant.* 228-230.
874. βουλεύουσιν εὖ: *i.e.* for you.
The reference is, of course, to
Jason, though put in the general
form. She comes down to par-
ticulars in v. 876, as though πόσει
were a different person. But that
verse really repeats and explains
(with the following verse and a
half) this verse.

γήμας τύραννον καὶ κασιγνήτους τέκνοις
ἐμοῖς φυτεύων; οὐκ ἀπαλλαχθήσομαι
θυμοῦ—τί πάσχω;—θεῶν ποριζόντων καλῶς;
οὐκ εἰσὶ μέν μοι παῖδες, οἶδα δὲ χθόνα   880
φεύγοντας ἡμᾶς καὶ σπανίζοντας φίλων;
ταῦτ' ἐννοήσασ' ᾐσθόμην ἀβουλίαν
πολλὴν ἔχουσα καὶ μάτην θυμουμένη·
νῦν οὖν ⟨σ'⟩ ἐπαινῶ σωφρονεῖν τέ μοι δοκεῖς
κῆδος τόδ' ἡμῖν προσλαβών, ἐγὼ δ' ἄφρων   885

877. **τύραννον** : ' a princess '; feminine as in v. 42. We should probably understand from γήμας τύραννον the word τυράννους before παῖδας. — **τέκνοις** : the same construction as in vv. 563 and 596 and defending the interpretation given in those two places. 878. **φυτεύων** : the action of γήμας is completed, that of φυτεύων just begun. 879. **τί πάσχω**;: the parenthesis is more vigorous and natural than if we had τί πάσχω ὅτι οὐκ ἀπαλλαχθήσομαι κτέ.— **ποριζόντων καλῶς** : = εὖ διδόντων. Cp. the prayer εὖ δοίης (*Alc.* 1004 χαῖρ', ὦ πότνι', εὖ δὲ δοίης). 880. **οὐκ** belongs to the μὲν . . . δὲ complex. ' Is it not true that ' reproduces the force. — **εἰσὶ μέν μοι παῖδες** : ' I have children to care for '. The first person is grammatical as well as logical subject of the second clause (οἶδα δὲ κτέ.) as though we had ἔχω μὲν παῖδας in the first clause. 881. **ἡμᾶς** : referring to both her-

self and the children. 882. **ἐννοήσασ'** : ' having taken into consideration '. — **ᾐσθόμην** : *sensi*, ' I realised '. 883. For the construction of the participles see HA. 982, G. 1588, B. 661 and note, Gl. 588 b. 884. **νῦν** : contrasting the present gentle speech with her former reproaches. — **οὖν** : *i.e.* ἐπειδὴ ᾐσθόμην κτέ. — **μοι δοκεῖς** : the grammatical subject is different, but not the logical. In fact σωφρονεῖν μοι δοκεῖς is only a sort of gloss on σ' ἐπαινῶ. — **σωφρονεῖν** : purely mental here, as shewn by the contrasted ἄφρων (v. 885). 885. **κῆδος . . . προσλαβών** : = ὅτι κῆδος . . . προσέλαβες. — **κῆδος** : ' alliance by marriage ', = γάμον, λέχος (= γυναῖκα). — **ἡμῖν προσλαβών** : = ἡμῖν πρὸς (*i.e.* πρὸς ἡμῖν) λαβών. — **ἐγώ** : as though σύ had been expressed as subject of δοκεῖς. When a contrast is not anticipated, is not felt or realised by the speaker or writer from the

ᾗ χρῆν μετεῖναι τῶνδε τῶν βουλευμάτων
καὶ ξυμπεραίνειν, καὶ παρεστάναι λέχει
νύμφην τε κηδεύουσαν ἥδεσθαι σέθεν.
ἀλλ' ἐσμὲν οἷόν ἐσμεν — οὐκ ἐρῶ κακόν —
γυναῖκες· οὐκ οὖν χρή σ' ἀμείβεσθαι κακοῖς   890
οὐδ' ἀντιτείνειν νήπι' ἀντὶ νηπίων.
παριέμεσθα καὶ φαμὲν κακῶς φρονεῖν
τότ', ἀλλ' ἄμεινον νῦν βεβούλευμαι τόδε.

start, we have what may be called a retroactive antithesis, in which the first member must be supplied by an afterthought to balance the expressed second member. With ἄφρων we understand μοι δοκῶ.

886. ᾗ: simple relative where ᾗτινι would be more precise. — μετεῖναι: note the tense. Medea speaks as though the wedding were in progress. So the following infinitives in vv. 887 and 888. For the construction with μετεῖναι see HA. 734; G. 1097, 2 and 1161; Gl. 510 a. — τῶνδε: = τούτων (i.e. τῶν σῶν). 887. ξυμπεραίνειν: sc. αὐτά (i.e. τὰ βουλεύματα). — παρεστάναι λέχει: i.e. as bridesmaid. 888. κηδεύουσαν: 'aiding in her marriage'. κηδεύουσαν ἥδεσθαι is = κηδεύειν ἡδέως. 889 f. ἀλλ' ἐσμὲν κακὸν γυναῖκες is the unrhetorical form of the sentence. γυναῖκες is subject of ἐσμέν, and κακόν (or in the sentence as it stands in the text οἷόν ἐσμεν = τοιοῦτον οἷόν ἐσμεν) the predicate. — οὖν: i.e. ἐπειδὴ κακόν ἐσμεν γυ-

ναῖκες. — σ': i.e. as a man. — ἀμείβεσθαι: 'answer reproaches with reproaches' (lit. 'make return with reproaches'). κακά is here, as often, = ὀνείδη. Cp. Androm. 154 ὑμᾶς μὲν οὖν τοῖσδ' ἀνταμείβομαι λόγοις. 891. A repetition of the thought of οὐκ . . . κακοῖς: 'nor answer the fool according to her folly' gives the sense. A man, says Medea in effect, is so far superior to a woman that he ought not to — argue with her, we should expect, but Medea with a grim humour goes farther and says — quarrel or wrangle with her. 892. Note the asyndeton. It gives an added force to the emphatic expression of surrender. 893. τότ': shewing that we have here the oratio obliqua of κακῶς ἐφρονοῦμεν (or ἐφρονοῦμεν τότε). At the same time, τότ' is expressly contrasted with the following νῦν. — τόδε: accus. of inner (effected) object = τόδε τὸ βούλευμα or ὧδε. The reference is to the exemplification of change of mind which

ὦ τέκνα τέκνα, δεῦρο λείπετε στέγας,
ἐξέλθετ᾽, ἀσπάσασθε καὶ προσείπατε   895
πατέρα μεθ᾽ ἡμῶν καὶ διαλλάχθηθ᾽ ἅμα
τῆς πρόσθεν ἔχθρας ἐς φίλους μητρὸς μέτα·
σπονδαὶ γὰρ ἡμῖν καὶ μεθέστηκεν χόλος·
λάβεσθε χειρὸς δεξιᾶς — οἴ μοι, κακῶν
ὡς ἐννοοῦμαι δή τι τῶν κεκρυμμένων·   900
ἆρ᾽, ὦ τέκν᾽, οὕτω καὶ πολὺν ζῶντες χρόνον
φίλην ὀρέξετ᾽ ὠλένην; τάλαιν᾽ ἐγώ,
ὡς ἀρτίδακρύς εἰμι καὶ φόβου πλέα·
χρόνῳ δὲ νεῖκος πατρὸς ἐξαιρουμένη
ὄψιν τέρειναν τῶνδ᾽ ἔπλησα δακρύων.   905

she seems at once dramatically to give in calling out the children. We are to understand that at her call the two little boys appear with (probably) the paedagogus.

894. Cp. *Heracl.* 48 f. ὦ τέκνα τέκνα, δεῦρο λαμβάνεσθ᾽ ἐμῶν | πέπλων, 'come here and take hold of my robe'. 896. ἅμα: = μεθ᾽ ἡμῶν. 897. ἐς φίλους: a general way of describing Jason. Cp. vv. 39, 459. — μητρὸς μέτα: tautological after ἅμα. The two phrases taken together are = *una cum matre*. 'Mother' rather than 'your mother' gives the proper force. 898. ἡμῖν: sc. ἐς φίλους εἰσίν. — μεθέστηκεν: sc. ἡμῖν. 899. λάβεσθε: λαμβάνειν has the construction of ἔχειν, λαμβάνεσθαι that of its synonym ἅπτεσθαι. — χειρὸς δεξιᾶς: Medea seems to mean her own hand. It seems

that Jason, Medea, and the children are to clasp their right hands together to seal the reconciliation. As the children, at Medea's bidding, put out their hands to her she gives way and, weeping over them, utters the following words from οἴ μοι to ὠλένην in v. 902. Raising her head, she feigns to apologise to her friends (the chorus), in the next sentence, for her weakness. — κακῶν: to be construed with τῶν κεκρυμμένων in the next verse (τῶν κεκρυμμένων κακῶν). 900. ὡς: 'how'. δή intensifies ἐννοοῦμαι like our 'do'. 901 f. οὕτω: *i.e.* as you are just doing. — καί: 'really', as elsewhere. 902. τάλαιν᾽ ἐγώ: perhaps best understood as vocative. 904 f. 'But the long-delayed reconciliation with their father makes me weep over them', is, in

ΧΟΡΟΣ

κἀμοὶ κατ' ὄσσων χλωρὸν ὡρμήθη δάκρυ,
μὴ καὶ 'ποβαίη μεῖζον ἢ τὸ νῦν κακόν.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

αἰνῶ, γύναι, τάδ', οὐδ' ἐκεῖνα μέμφομαι·
εἰκὸς γὰρ ὀργὰς θῆλυ ποιεῖσθαι γένος,
γάμους παρεμπολῶντος ἀλλοίους, πόσει.   910

effect, what Medea says. — χρόνῳ: local (temporal) dative, emphatic and emphatically placed. — πατρός: objective gen. with νεῖκος. — ἐξαιρουμένη: 'taking out of my way', 'getting rid of'.   905. τέρειναν: 'chubby' is our nearest term, but it has not the tenderness of the Greek term. — τῶνδ': i.e. τῶν τέκνων. The word is emphatic and contrasted with πατρός. Medea's tears have fallen upon the faces of the children as she bent over them and, perhaps, kissed them.

906. κατ': 'down from'. — χλωρόν: surely not of colour. It is an ornamental poetic epithet the precise meaning of which, to the mind of a Greek poet, it is hard to determine. It is used here where we should say 'warm' or, perhaps, an even stronger term, as in Byron's "My own [eyes] a *burning tear-drop* laves | To think such breasts should suckle slaves". — ὡρμήθη: 'starts'. The aorist is used much as in v. 223. 907. μὴ καὶ 'ποβαίη κτὶ.: '(for

fear) lest there even result a greater than the present evil'. Medea's words and the answer of her friends are a bit of 'tragic irony' that conveys no special meaning to Jason. — μεῖζον ἢ τὸ νῦν κακόν: = μεῖζον κακὸν ἢ τὸ νῦν ⟨κακόν ἐστιν⟩. The 'present evil' is the marriage of Jason and Medea's banishment.   908. τάδ': *i.e.* your present speech and conduct. — ἐκεῖνα : *i.e.* your former speeches and conduct.   909. ὀργὰς ποιεῖσθαι : = ὀργίζεσθαι. — θῆλυ γένος : 'female kind', *i.e.* 'womankind' (τὸ γυναικεῖον γένος).   910. γάμους παρεμπολῶντος ἀλλοίους : 'when he (sc. αὑτοῦ, referring by anticipation to πόσει) smuggles in alien wedlock'. ἐμπολᾶν is to 'traffic', to buy or sell as merchants do. The addition of παρά as prefix suggests contraband trade. — πόσει : 'a husband' (generic) and dat. with ὀργὰς ποιεῖσθαι as with ὀργίζεσθαι. It is to be noted that πόσις has no genitive in the Attic poets. We

ἀλλ' ἐς τὸ λῷον σὸν μεθέστηκεν κέαρ,
ἔγνως δὲ τὴν νικῶσαν ἀλλὰ τῷ χρόνῳ. —   912
ὑμῖν δέ, παῖδες, οὐκ ἀφροντίστως πατὴρ   914
πολλὴν ἔθηκα — σὺν θεοῖς — προμηθίαν·   915
οἶμαι γὰρ ὑμᾶς τῆσδε γῆς Κορινθίας
τὰ πρῶτ' ἔσεσθαι σὺν κασιγνήτοις ἔτι.
ἀλλ' αὐξάνεσθε, τἄλλ' δ' ἐξεργάζομαι
πατήρ τε καὶ θεῶν ὅστις ἐστὶν εὐμενής.

βουλήν· γυναικὸς ἔργα ταῦτα σώφρονος.   913

---

have a line, in certain important respects, similar to this one in Soph. *Ant.* 909 πόσις μὲν ἄν μοι, κατθανόντος (sc. τοῦδε), ἄλλος ἦν 'a husband I might have again, were it a husband that had died'. Here again we note the avoidance of the genitive of πόσις.

911. The thought of Medea's μεθέστηκεν χόλος (898) is practically repeated here, though the verse is, in form, an antithesis to the following. 912 f. ἔγνως τὴν νικῶσαν : the thought of v. 911 is repeated. 'You have made up your mind for the better.' The aorist is accurate; the action is past. We, however, use the perfect form in translating. τὴν νικῶσαν is = τὴν λῴονα. Understand γνώμην, cognate object to ἔγνως. — ἀλλά : an abbreviation of εἰ μὴ εὐθὺς, ἀλλὰ (*at*, 'yet') τῷ χρόνῳ. It may be rendered 'at least'. — τῷ χρόνῳ : cp. v. 904. 914. Thus

far Jason has spoken to Medea, now he turns to the children. In such transitions we expect ἀλλά rather than δέ. — πατήρ : instead of ἐγώ, because Jason is emphasising his paternal relation to the children. 915. ἔθηκα : = ἐποιησάμην. — σὺν θεοῖς : the result of Jason's care-taking rests 'on the knees of the gods'. He would not speak boastfully of his efforts. For the phrase cp. v. 625. Cp. for the thought v. 918 f., where the notion of σὺν θεοῖς is expanded. 916. οἶμαι : still preserving the modest tone. 917. τὰ πρῶτα : neuter used of persons, as elsewhere. Cp. Aesch. *Pers.* 1 f. Τάδε μὲν (meaning 'we') Περσῶν τῶν οἰχομένων | Ἑλλάδ' ἐς αἶαν πιστὰ ('faithful retainers') καλεῖται. — ἔτι : 'yet', *i.e.* notwithstanding the present exile. 918. ἀλλ' : where we should say 'only'. 919. πατήρ : see on v. 914.

ἴδοιμι δ' ὑμᾶς εὐτραφεῖς ἥβης τέλος   920
μολόντας, ἐχθρῶν τῶν ἐμῶν ὑπερτέρους. —
αὕτη, τί χλωροῖς δακρύοις τέγγεις κόρας
στρέψασα λευκὴν ἔμπαλιν παρηίδα
κοὐκ ἀσμένη τόνδ' ἐξ ἐμοῦ δέχῃ λόγον;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὐδέν· τέκνων τῶνδ' ἐννοουμένη πέρι.   925

ΙΑCΩΝ

τί δῆτα λίαν τοῖσδ' ἐπιστένεις τέκνοις;   929

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἔτικτον αὐτούς, ζῆν δ' ὅτ' ἐξηύχου τέκνα   930

920 f. A prayer. ἴδοιμι is = ἐπίδοιμι 'live to see'. Jason puts himself piously in the hands of the gods. — ἥβης τέλος μολόντας: a detailed ἡβήσαντας. The words ἥβης τέλος are a mere circumlocution for ἥβην. The gen. is defining, not partitive. **922 f.** While Jason has been addressing the children Medea has turned away her face in silent grief. Jason now notices this and addresses her somewhat roughly. αὕτη is about = 'see here!'. — τί ... κόρας: for the expression see v. 906. We might say here 'bitter tears'. With v. 923 cp. v. 30. λευκήν seems to be a mere ornamental epithet. Cp. v. 30. **925.** The adverbial οὐδέν 'for no reason'

answers the interrogative τί 'for what reason'. We could supply, of course, an idiomatic παθοῦσα or μαθοῦσα in both cases, but it seems hardly necessary. The second half of the sentence may be explained by prefixing in translating 'it's merely because'. — ἐννοουμένη is = φροντίζουσα. The thought is anxious thought. **930.** ἔτικτον: the aorist ἔτεκον (as a practical perfect; 'I bore', 'I am a mother') is the strictly correct form, but, because of its frequent awkwardness for the verse, the Tragic Poets appear to have admitted ἔτικτον at times as its equivalent. — αὐτούς: masc., though referring to τέκνοις. Sex gets the better of gender. — ὅτ' ... to v. 920 f.

13

εἰσῆλθέ μ' οἶκτος εἰ γενήσεται τόδε.   931

IACωN

θάρσει νυν· εὖ τὰ τῶνδε θήσομαι πατήρ.   926

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

δράσω τάδ'· οὔ τοι σοῖς ἀπιστήσω λόγοις·   927
γυνὴ δὲ θῆλυ ⟨χρῆμα⟩ κἀπὶ δακρύοις.—   928
ἀλλ' ὧνπερ οὕνεκ' εἰς ἐμοὺς ἥκεις λόγους   932
τὰ μὲν λέλεκται, τῶν δὲ νῦν μνησθήσομαι.
ἐπεὶ τυράννοις γῆς μ' ἀποστεῖλαι δοκεῖ —
κἀμοὶ τάδ' ἐστὶ λῷστα, γιγνώσκω καλῶς,   935
μήτ' ἐμποδὼν σοὶ μήτε κοιράνοις χθονὸς
ναίειν· δοκῶ γὰρ δυσμενὴς εἶναι δόμοις—,

931. **εἰ γενήσεται** : indirect question. The 'pity' expressed in οἶκτος involves anxious doubt. Cp. v. 184. For the general expression cp. *Heracl.* 645 ψυχὴν ἐτήκου νόστος εἰ γενήσεται 'thou hadst been anxiously wondering whether a return would be vouchsafed'. — **τόδε** : *i.e.* τὸ ζῆν αὐτούς. 926. Cp. *Hec.* 875 τὰ δ' ἄλλα θάρσει· πάντ' ἐγὼ θήσω καλῶς. There we have the act. in the phrase. We find the mid., as here, *Hipp.* 709 ἐγὼ δὲ τἀμὰ θήσομαι καλῶς. 927. **τάδ'** : *i.e.* what you bid me, viz. θαρσεῖν. — There is a fine sarcasm in οὔ τοι σοῖς ἀπιστήσω λόγοις with its emphatic σοῖς. Cp. v. 800 f. 928. Cp. *Androm.* 727 f. ἀνειμένον τι χρῆμα πρεσβυτῶν γένος | καὶ δυ-

σφύλακτον ὀξυθυμίας ὕπο. — **ἐπὶ δακρύοις** : = ἀρτίδακρυν (903) 'prone to tears'. 932. Medea now dries her tears and comes down to business, as it were. — **εἰς ἐμοὺς ἥκεις λόγους** : = ἐς λόγους ἐμοὶ ἥκεις. For the phrase ἐς λόγους ἔρχεσθαι (ἰέναι) with the dat. cp. Xen. *Anab.* 2. 5. 4 ; 3. 1. 29. The phrase takes the construction of διαλέγεσθαι. — **ἥκεις** : sc. κελευσθείς (866). 933. **μνησθήσομαι** : *commemorabo,* 'will mention'. 934. **γῆς** : ablatival with ἀποστεῖλαι (= ἐκ γῆς). 935. **κἀμοὶ** : 'for myself too' or 'and for myself'. — **τάδ'** : explained in what follows. 936. **σοὶ** : emphatic and contrasted with κοιράνοις χθονός. 937. **δόμοις** : sc. κοιράνων χθονός.

ἡμεῖς μὲν ἐκ γῆς τῆσδ' ἀπαροῦμεν φυγῇ,
παῖδας δ', ὅπως ἂν ἐκτραφῶσι σῇ χερί,
αἰτοῦ Κρέοντα τήνδε μὴ φεύγειν χθόνα.   940

ΙΑϹΩΝ

οὐκ οἶδ' ἂν εἰ πείσαιμι, πειρᾶσθαι δὲ χρή.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σὺ δ' ἀλλὰ σὴν κέλευσον αἰτεῖσθαι πατρὸς —   942

ΙΑϹΩΝ

μάλιστα, καὶ πείσειν γε δοξάζω σφ' ἐγώ.   944

γυναῖκα παῖδας τήνδε μὴ φεύγειν χθόνα.   943

---

938. ἡμεῖς ἀπαροῦμεν: 'we will lift' (sc. ἄγκυραν), *solvemus*. Another nautical metaphor. ἡμεῖς is, of course, = ἐγώ. 939. ὅπως ἂν: 'that haply', 'that so'. 940. The oratio obliqua represents παῖδες τήνδε μὴ φευγόντων χθόνα. 941. οὐκ οἶδ' ἂν: the ἄν belongs, logically and grammatically, to πείσαιμι. For its position cp. *Alc.* 48 οὐ γὰρ οἶδ' ἂν εἰ πείσαιμί σε. 942. ἀλλά: *i.e.* εἰ μὴ αὐτὸς ἀλλά. Cp. v. 912. Medea speaks as though Jason had either refused or expressed his positive inability to act. 'At all events', gives the sense. —σήν: sc. γυναῖκα, which

would have been added had Medea finished her sentence. There is a dramatic force, too, in Medea's not using a term that is hateful to her. She alone is Jason's rightful wife. — πατρός: as though αἰτεῖσθαι had been παραιτεῖσθαι (cp. v. 1154), but the construction is without precise parallel. 944. μάλιστα: Jason's acquiescence is prompt and hearty to this proposition. He is not anxious, it appears, to approach Creon, but is very ready to use his bride as a go-between. — δοξάζω: = πέποιθα, as in *Alc.* 853 f. καὶ πέποιθ' ἄξειν ἄνω |

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

εἴπερ γυναικῶν ἐστι τῶν ἄλλων μία.   945
συλλήψομαι δὲ τοῦδέ σοι κἀγὼ πόνου·
πέμψω γὰρ αὐτῇ δῶρ' ἃ καλλιστεύεται
τῶν νῦν ἐν ἀνθρώποισιν, οἶδ' ἐγώ, πολὺ   948
παῖδας φέροντας. — ἀλλ' ὅσον τάχος χρεὼν   950
κόσμον κομίζειν δεῦρο προσπόλων τινά. —
εὐδαιμονήσει δ' οὐχ ἓν ἀλλὰ μύρια,

λεπτόν τε πέπλον καὶ πλόκον χρυσήλατον   949

---

945. Not at bottom a mere piece of flattery on Medea's part, but a bitter reflection how she herself had yielded to Jason. Cp. her words in v. 801 f. — τῶν ἄλλων: the illogical Greek usage, common with the superlative and imitated in Milton's well-known "Adam the goodliest man of men since born | His sons, the fairest of her daughters Eve" (*Paradise Lost*, 4. 323 f.). We should say here 'like the rest of women'. We might have had τῶν πολλῶν for τῶν ἄλλων; cp. sum paullo infirmior, multorum unus (= τῶν πολλῶν εἷς) Hor. *Sat.* 1. 9. 71 f. **946. σοι**: with the σύν in συλλήψομαι. — κἀγώ: tautological after the preposition in συλλήψομαι. — πόνου: with the λήψομαι in συλλήψομαι. **947. δῶρ'**: object of φέροντας. — καλλιστεύεται: = κάλλιστά ἐστι. **948. οἶδ' ἐγώ**: cp. v. 39. In such phrases the speaker takes the responsibility

emphatically upon himself; hence the expressed pronominal subject. — πολύ: with emphasis at the end of the sentence. Cp. *e.g.* *Alc.* 151 γυνή τ' ἀρίστη τῶν ὑφ ἡλίῳ μακρῷ (where μακρῷ is = πολύ). **950 f.** Medea breaks off her speech to Jason for a moment to give her order, after the giving of which one of her attendants, several of whom we may suppose to have been by her, goes within to execute it. For a similar parenthetical command cp. Soph. *Ant.* 491 καί νιν καλεῖτ'. — ὅσον τάχος: = ὅσον (ὡς) τάχιστα. — χρεόν (sc. ἐστί) takes the place of an imperative. For the position of φέροντας cp. *I.T.* 1329 f. ἡμᾶς μέν, οὖς σὺ δεσμὰ συμπέμπεις ξένων ἔχοντας. **952. ἕν**: accus. of inner object and = μίαν εὐδαιμονίαν. — μύρια: hardly more than πολλά. Only two εὐδαιμονίαι ('pieces of good fortune') are mentioned in what follows. But then Jason is

ἀνδρός τ᾽ ἀρίστου σοῦ τυχοῦσ᾽ ὁμευνέτου
κεκτημένη τε κόσμον ὅν ποθ᾽ Ἥλιος
πατρὸς πατὴρ δίδωσιν ἐκγόνοισιν οἷς. —   955
λάζυσθε φερνὰς τάσδε, παῖδες, ἐς χέρας
καὶ τῇ τυράννῳ — μακαρίᾳ — νύμφῃ δότε
φέροντες· οὔ τοι δῶρα μεμπτὰ δέξεται.

ΙΑΣΩΝ

τί δ᾽, ὦ ματαία, τῶνδε σὰς κενοῖς χέρας;

a host in himself, and the gifts are of divine origin. — The distinction μυρίοι 'very many' and μύριοι 'ten thousand' is a figment of the grammarians. †Μmm·

953. **ἀνδρὸς ἀρίστου ὁμευνέτου**: 'a most excellent spouse'. For this use of ἀνήρ cp. Plat. *Euthyphr.* 15 D ὑπὲρ ἀνδρὸς θητὸς ἄνδρα πρεσβύτην πατέρα διωκαθεῖν φόνου, and for the plural Plat. *Prot.* 319 A ποιεῖν ἄνδρας ἀγαθοὺς πολίτας (simply 'good citizens'). — **σοῦ**: 'in you'. The double genitive with τυγχάνειν is simply an extension of the double accus. with ποιεῖν. For the phrase cp. *Alc.* 10 f. ὁσίου γὰρ ἀνδρὸς ὅσιος ὢν ἐτύγχανον | παιδὸς Φέρητος 'I always found Pheres's son a pious man'. 955. **πατρὸς πατήρ**: cp. v. 746. — **δίδωσιν**: the gift was given once for all, but is continually handed on. Hence the present. 956. The maid has returned meantime with the gifts. — **λάζυσθε**: = λαμβάνετε. — **φέρνας**: so called because they are

given to a bride, though not merely as a wedding gift. 957 f. **μακαρίᾳ**: a congratulatory interjection, 'happy creature !'. μακαρίζει (one might say) τὴν νύμφην ἡ Μήδεια εἰρωνικῶς. — **δότε φέροντες**: Homeric phrase. Cp. θ 482 φέρων . . . ἔθηκε. — **οὔ τοι**: to be joined closely with μεμπτά. — **μεμπτά**: bitterly ironical. The gifts are in reality weapons 'not to be despised'. The magic robe and diadem are here openly displayed and given to the two children in such wise that one boy carries the robe, the other, the diadem. The gifts are magic gifts; the poison, magic poison. The poison is to take effect only on the Princess and whoever touches her after she has put on the fatal ornaments. Cp. v. 787 f. For this free treatment of the poisoned objects and the possible objection to it as lacking verisimilitude see Introd. p. 59. 959. **τῶνδε**: said with a gesture toward the gifts.
—•   ᴴc.

δοκεῖς σπανίζειν δῶμα βασιλικὸν πέπλων,   960
δοκεῖς δὲ χρυσοῦ; σῷζε, μὴ δίδου τάδε·
εἴπερ γὰρ ἡμᾶς ἀξιοῖ λόγου τινὸς
γυνή, προθήσει χρημάτων, σάφ᾽ οἶδ᾽ ἐγώ.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μή μοι σύ· πείθειν δῶρα καὶ θεοὺς λόγος,
χρυσὸς δὲ κρεῖσσον μυρίων λόγων βροτοῖς.   965
κείνης ὁ δαίμων, κεῖνα νῦν αὔξει θεός,
νέα, τυραννεῖ· τῶν δ᾽ ἐμῶν παίδων φυγὰς

960 f. The epanaphora in δοκεῖς . . . δοκεῖς δέ is to be noted. Jason speaks in a rhetorical tone, in fact, with a certain pompousness. — σῷζε : 'keep', = κάτεχε. — τάδε : practically object of both σῷζε and δίδου, though strictly primarily the object of the former. 962 f. ἡμᾶς : said with proud emphasis. — ἀξιοῖ λόγου τινός : literally 'thinks worth any account', *aliqua aestimatione dignum putat*, where we should say 'thinks of any account' and where the thought could be most simply expressed in Greek by τιμᾷ or τίμιον νομίζει. 963. σάφ᾽ οἶδ᾽ ἐγώ : cp. v. 948. 964. μή μοι σύ : sc. ταῦτα λέγε. The emphatic σύ because the speaker herself knows better. — πείθειν . . . λόγος : λόγος (sc. ἐστίν) is = *proverbium* (or *verbum*) *est*. The 'saying' is an old hexameter Δῶρα θεοὺς πείθει,

δῶρ᾽ αἰδοίους βασιλῆας 'gifts blind the eyes of gods and reverend kings'. This is quoted in the Scholia and by Plato, *Rep.* 390 E. λόγῳ or λόγοις was often added to πείθειν to indicate that it had its more legitimate sense and did not mean 'to bribe'. 966 f. The frequent asyndeta are to be noted. Medea jerks out her sentences sharply. We feel that she is forcing herself to play a part. — κείνης and κεῖνα (=τὰ κείνης) are a good example of epanaphora. — ὁ δαίμων : practically ἡ τύχη or, more precisely, ἡ εὐτυχία. 967. νέα : sc. ἐστί. — ἐμῶν, though merely attribute to παίδων, marks the antithesis and contrasts Medea with Jason's bride. Strictly speaking, the contrasted sentence should have begun with ἐγὼ δέ. — φυγάς : 'exemption from banishment' is meant. The word is plural because of the plural παίδων.

ψυχῆς ἂν ἀλλαξαίμεθ', οὐ χρυσοῦ μόνον.—
ἀλλ', ὦ τέκν', εἰσελθόντε πλησίους δόμους
πατρὸς νέαν γυναῖκα, δεσπότιν δ' ἐμήν,   97ι
ἱκετεύετ', ἐξαιτεῖσθε μὴ φεύγειν χθόνα
κόσμον διδόντες· τοῦδε γὰρ μάλιστα δεῖ,
ἐς χεῖρ' ἐκείνην δῶρα δέξασθαι τάδε.
ἴθ' ὡς τάχιστα, μητρὶ δ' ὧν ἐρᾷ τυχεῖν
εὐάγγελοι γένοισθε πράξαντες καλῶς.   975

968. **ψυχῆς**: = ἀντὶ ψυχῆς, gen. of price, see HA. 746, G. 1133, B. 353, Gl. 513.— **ἀλλαξαίμεθ'**: plural notwithstanding ἐμῶν just above. Such shifts of number in the case of the first plural for singular are not uncommon.— χρυσοῦ: here, as in vv. 961 and 965, with reference to the diadem.   969. **εἰσελθόντε**: dual among plurals as elsewhere. — **πλησίους δόμους**: the epithet suggests that Medea points toward the house in directing the children. The house was, apparently, not represented by the scenery. See Introd. p. 62.   970. **δεσπότιν δ' ἐμήν**: she swallows her pride, for the sake of the end in view, and speaks like a servant (cp. v. 17). The particle δέ is regularly used with the expression of the second of two relations in which the same person stands.   971. **ἱκετεύετ', ἐξαιτεῖσθε**: such asyndeton between a pair of words at the head of a trimeter is not very uncommon. — μὴ φεύγειν χθόνα: representing the subjunctive of appeal

(μὴ φεύγωμεν χθόνα) in oratio recta.   972. **διδόντες**: 'giving at the same time'. — **τοῦδε**: defined by what follows.   973. **ἐς χεῖρ'**: seemingly emphatic. She must take them into her hands. But the magic poison does not act until she puts them on. Cp. v. 981. 974. **ὧν ἐρᾷ** (= ἐπιθυμεῖ) **τυχεῖν**: the genitive is probably due to τυχεῖν, but the whole clause is practically a genitive dependent on εὐάγγελοι.   975. **γένοισθε**: a prayer. The children go out with Jason and their Paedagogus. One child probably carries the diadem, the other the robe. See on v. 957 f.

Medea awaits the news of the result of their mission. In the meantime the Chorus sing a despairing song, the Fourth Stasimon (vv. 976–1001). Its contents are as follow: There is no hope for the children; their fate depends on that of Glauce, and that is certain: she will be destroyed by the fatal gifts. (First Strophic Couplet.) An apostrophe

ΧΟΡΟΣ

νῦν ἐλπίδες οὐκέτι μοι παίδων ζόας,   976
οὐκέτι· στείχουσι γὰρ ἐς φόνον ἤδη·
δέξεται νύμφα χρυσέων ἀναδεσμᾶν
δέξεται — δύστανος — ἄταν,
ξανθᾷ δ᾽ ἀμφὶ κόμᾳ θήσει τὸν Ἅιδα   980
κόσμον αὐτὰ χεροῖν λαβοῦσα.   981

πείσει χάρις ἀμβρόσιός τ᾽ αὐγὰ πέπλων   982
χρυσοτεύκτου ⟨τε⟩ στεφάνου περιθέσθαι,   983
νερτέροις δ᾽ ἤδη πάρα νυμφοκομήσει·   985
τοῖον εἰς ἕρκος πεσεῖται
καὶ μοῖραν θανάτου — δύστανος —, ἄταν δ᾽

to Jason lamenting his unhappy fate and an address to Medea mourning her anguish. (Second Strophic Couplet.)

976. ζόας: objective gen. with ἐλπίδες. 977. οὐκέτι: repeating with pathos the preceding οὐκέτι. 978. δέξεται: = δέξεται γάρ, the asyndeton is causal. — ἀναδεσμᾶν: dependent on ἄταν. Through the rest of this strophe only the diadem is mentioned; in the antistrophe, both the robe and the diadem. 979. δέξεται: another pathetic repetition. — δύστανος: an interjection, or ejaculation, of pity. Cp. v. 957. 981. αὐτὰ χεροῖν λαβοῦσα: echoing v. 973. 982. χάρις: 'charm', 'beauty'. Cp. Hom. ζ 237 κάλλεϊ καὶ χάρισι στίλβων 'gleaming with beauty and charms'. — ἀμβρόσιος: 'su-

pernatural' or 'divine'. ἀμβρόσιος is to ἄμβροτος ('immortal') as θεῖος to θεός. 983. περιθέσθαι: 'to put on', ἐνδῦναι. 985. νερτέροις πάρα: *apud inferos*. — ἤδη: = εὐθύς. — νυμφοκομήσει: 'will deck herself as bride'. Cp. v. 956, where the magic gifts are described as φερναί. 986. ἕρκος: the robe and diadem are likened to the toils in which wild beasts are caught. Cp. ὑπερφεύξεται v. 988 and the note thereon. 987. μοῖραν θανάτου: practically = ἄταν. Cp. μοῖραν φόνου, v. 861 f. — δύστανος: cp. v. 979. We should have expected δύστανος — ἄταν δ᾽ to fall in the same place in the antistrophe as δύστανος — ἄταν in the strophe; but, for reasons best known to himself, Euripides did not make the strophic rhyme.

οὐχ ὑπερφεύξεται ⟨δραμοῦσα⟩.   988

σὺ δ', ὦ τάλαν, ὦ κακόνυμ-   989
φε κηδεμὼν τυράννων·
παισίν οὐ κατειδὼς
ὄλεθρον βιοτᾶς προσάγεις ἀλόχῳ
τε σᾷ στυγερὸν θάνατον.   993
δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχῃ.   995

καταστένομαι δὲ σὸν ἄλ-   996
γος, ὦ τάλαινα παίδων
μᾶτερ, ἃ φονεύσεις
τέκνα νυμφιδίων ἕνεκεν λεχέων
ἅ, σὲ προλιπὼν ἀνόμως,   1000
ἄλλᾳ ξυνοικεῖ πόσις συνεύνῳ.   1001

988. ὑπερφεύξεται: the figure is drawn from a wild beast leaping over the hunting-net. 990. κακό-νυμφε: 'unfortunate in wedlock'. — κηδεμών: *affinis*; more specifically = *gener*, 'son-in-law'. 991. οὐ κατειδώς: 'unwitting'. 992. ὄλεθρον βιοτᾶς: = θάνατον (which is used in the next verse). 993. στυγερόν: perhaps not a mere ornamental epithet, but = 'loathsome'. 995. μοίρας: here = εὐδαιμονίας. — ὅσον: exclamatory. 996 f. Lastly, Medea's misery is lamented. σόν is emphatic. — παίδων μᾶτερ: probably simply 'mother'. The gen. παί-δων simply gives a generic force.

Cp. παιδων πατήρ 'a father', v. 344. 999. νυμφιδίων λεχέων: 'the wedlock'. The following relative clause is essential. 1000. ἅ: acc. of inner obj. with συνοικεῖ. 1001. πόσις συνεύνῳ: designedly brought together. πόσις seems to be required to be understood as though it were repeated — 'your husband lives as husband with another mate'.

The following short episodion (Fifth Episodion, vv. 1002–1080) is occupied with the Paedagogus's announcement of the success of the children's mission and with Medea's speech revealing the workings of her heart.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

δέσποιν᾽, ἀφεῖνται παῖδες οἵδε σοι φυγῆς,
καὶ δῶρα νύμφη βασιλὶς ἀσμένη χεροῖν
ἐδέξατ᾽, εἰρήνη δὲ τἀκεῖθεν τέκνοις. —
ἔα,
τί συγχυθεῖσ᾽ ἔστηκας ἡνίκ᾽ εὐτυχεῖς   1005
κοὐκ ἀσμένη τόνδ᾽ ἐξ ἐμοῦ δέχῃ λόγον;   1007

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

αἰαῖ.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

τάδ᾽ οὐ ξυνῳδὰ τοῖσιν ἐξηγγελμένοις.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

αἰαῖ μάλ᾽ αὖθις.

τί σὴν ἔστρεψας ἔμπαλιν παρηίδα   1006

1002. The Paedagogus enters with the children (παῖδες οἵδε). His tone is joyful until he is struck by Medea's ominous gloom and silence. He had expected a cheerful answer to vv. 1002–1004, after which he pauses. 1003 f. βασιλίς: = τύραννος. — χεροῖν ἐδέξατ᾽: Medea had made a point of this (ἐς χεῖρα δέξασθαι, v. 973; cp. also v. 981). — τἀκεῖθεν: 'in that quarter', *i.e.* on the part of the bride, whose word is expected to be law with Creon. 1005. συγχυθεῖσ᾽: 'confounded', much like ἐκπλαγεῖσα. — ἡνίκ᾽ εὐτυχεῖς: *cum felix sis.* The temporal conj. has an underlying adver-

sative force here.   1007 = 924.
1008. Cp. *Alc.* 814, where Heracles says in surprise, ὅδ᾽ οὐ θυραίων πημάτων ἄρχει λόγος.   1009 f. αἰαῖ μάλ᾽ αὖθις: 'alas yet again'. μάλ αὖθις is thus used elsewhere with ejaculations. — ἀγγέλλων οὐκ οἶδα: practically a variant of the idiomatic λανθάνω ἐμαυτὸν ἀγγέλλων. — Note the trimeter divided (here at the main caesura) between two speakers. This is rare in the earlier extant plays. Similar is *Alc.* 819, where an interlocutor breaks into a trimeter with a somewhat similar question. — τύχην: in a bad sense.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

μῶν τιν' ἀγγέλλων τύχην
οὐκ οἶδα, δόξης δ' ἐσφάλην εὐαγγέλου;   1010

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἤγγειλας οἶ' ἤγγειλας· οὐ σὲ μέμφομαι.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

τί δαὶ κατηφὲς ὄμμα καὶ δακρυρροεῖς;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πολλή μ' ἀνάγκη, πρέσβυ· ταῦτα γὰρ θεοὶ
κἀγὼ κακῶς φρονοῦσ' ἐμηχανησάμην.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

θάρσει· κάτει τοι καὶ σὺ πρὸς τέκνων ἔτι.   1015

1010. **δόξης εὐαγγέλου**: 'the fame of having brought good news', for which there might be, and commonly was, a substantial reward. Messengers in tragedy are elsewhere found seeking the δόξα εὐάγγελος, *e.g.* the Corinthian in the *Oedipus Tyrannus* (particularly v. 1005 f.). For the phrase δόξα εὐάγγελος = δόξα τοῦ εὐάγγελος ('a messenger of good tidings') εἶναι cp. Aesch. *Ag.* 274 εὐαγγέλοισιν ἐλπίσιν. 1011. Cp. v. 889 ἀλλ' ἐσμὲν οἷόν ἐσμεν — οὐκ ἐρῶ κακόν. 1012. **δαί**: seemingly a vulgar δή. — **κατηφές**: sc. ἐστί. Cp. *Heracl.* 633 κατηφὲς

ὄμμ' ἔχεις. The phrase κατηφὲς ὄμμα is = κατηφεῖς. 1013 f. **ἀνάγκη**: sc. δακρυρροεῖν. — **θεοὶ . . . ἐμηχανησάμην**: cp. v. 919 f. From κακῶς φρονοῦσ' ἐμηχανησάμην the appropriate pl. must be retroactively supplied with θεοί. A κακὴ βουλή has pleased both the gods and Medea. 1015. **κάτει**: practically fut. pass. to κατάγειν, the regular term for restoring from exile. — **καὶ σύ**: as though the children had really gone into exile and come back. — **ἔτι**: cp. 917, where Jason is speaking of restoring the children from exile when they shall be grown.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἄλλους κατάξω πρόσθεν ἢ τάλαιν᾽ ἐγώ.

ΠΑΙΔΑΓΩΓΟΣ

οὔ τοι μόνη σὺ σῶν ἀπεζύγης τέκνων ·
κούφως φέρειν χρὴ θνητὸν ὄντα συμφοράς.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

δράσω τάδ᾽ · ἀλλὰ βαῖνε δωμάτων ἔσω
καὶ παισὶ πόρσυν᾽ οἷα χρὴ καθ᾽ ἡμέραν. —   1020
ὦ τέκνα τέκνα, σφῷν μὲν ἔστι δὴ πόλις

1016. **κατάξω**: a fine bit- of tragic irony. She is thinking of 'bringing home' the children (implied in ἄλλους) to the realm of the dead. — **πρόσθεν**: sc. πρὶν αὐτὴ κατελθεῖν. 1017 f. ᾽You are not alone in your fate᾽ is the stock Job's comfort of tragedy. Cp. *Alc.* 416-420. 1018. **κούφως φέρειν**: opposed to βαρέως φέρειν = χαλεπῶς φέρειν. — **θνητὸν ὄντα**: = ὅστις θνητός ἐστιν. — There is an intentional jingle in φέρειν συμφοράς. 1019. **δράσω τάδ᾽**: *i.e.* κούφως οἴσω. Cp. v. 927 for the phrase. Medea speaks with a certain dryness in both cases. She sends the man about his business with scant ceremony. The pres. βαῖνε indicates that the action is one that the Paedagogus is about to do — or should be about to do. 1020. A command apparently to prepare food for the *children as part of* his daily service

to them. The slave then retires within doors, leaving the children with their mother. — **χρή**: sc. σε πορσύνειν. — **καθ᾽ ἡμέραν** belongs to χρή ⟨σε πορσύνειν⟩. 1021. The pathetic emphasis of repetition (ἐπίζευξις) is well and naturally employed here. — **δή**: untranslatable. We can hardly say 'you indeed have it is true᾽. It gives ἔστι the same emphasis in an unemphatic position in the sentence that it would have if placed without a particle at the head of the sentence. We can best reproduce this force by oral emphasis or by italics (᾽*you have*᾽). From this point to v. 1039 Medea speaks as though she were really going to leave the children alive at Corinth. Only in v. 1039 does she give, in the words ἐς ἄλλο σχῆμ᾽ ἀποστάντες βίου, a verbal hint of her fell purpose; and then it is only our knowledge of that

καὶ δῶμ' ἐν ᾧ λιπόντες ἀθλίαν ἐμὲ
οἰκήσετ' αἰεὶ μητρὸς ἐστερημένοι,
ἐγὼ δ' ἐς ἄλλην γαῖαν εἶμι δὴ φυγὰς
πρὶν σφῷν ὄνασθαι κἀπιδεῖν εὐδαίμονας,   1025
πρὶν λουτρὰ καὶ γυναῖκα καὶ γαμηλίους
εὐνὰς ἀγῆλαι λαμπάδας τ' ἀνασχεθεῖν.

purpose that makes us feel the words as = μεταστάντες βίου (cp. *Alc.* 21).

1023. **οἰκήσετ' αἰεί**: cp. Antigone's description of the tomb in which she is immured as an οἴκησις ἀείφρουρος (Soph. *Ant.* 892). Under proper circumstances Medea's words need mean no more than 'you will live your life long'. 1024. **εἶμι δή**: a sort of forced antithesis to ἔστι δή above. 1025. **ὄνασθαι**: the 'benefit' that she had hoped to derive from her children is described in vv. 1032–1035. Thus the contents of v. 1025 are expanded in inverse order (chiasmus). A Greek naturally craved that children be at his deathbed and do him the last honours. Cp. *Alc.* 662 ff., where Admetus renounces his duty to his father, bidding him make haste to get himself other sons οἳ γηροβοσκήσουσι καὶ θανόντα σε | περιστελοῦσι καὶ προθήσονται νεκρόν. Cp. also *Alc.* 334 f., where Admetus says to Alcestis of their children τῶνδ' ὄνησιν εὔχομαι | θεοῖς γενέσθαι ('that bene-

fit be vouchsafed me') · σοῦ γὰρ οὐκ ὠνήμεθα (*i.e.* inasmuch as we have not lived out our life together). — **ἐπιδεῖν**: generally 'live to see'. Medea is not to die, but the children. Those that are in her secret understand the sinister reference, though the relations are reversed. **1026 f.** The wished-for εὐδαιμονία of the children is described, in which their mother would have borne a large part. — **λουτρά** and **γυναῖκα** have their appropriate verbs (παρασχεῖν and στεῖλαι) supplied retroactively (zeugma) from ἀγῆλαι. λουτρά refers to the bath of spring water which was part of the wedding ceremonies for the groom, as well as for the bride. — **γυναῖκα**: = νύμφην. The singular comes in oddly among the plurals. Euripides seems to have been constrained to this by the verse. **1027. ἀγῆλαι**: = κοσμῆσαι, with reference to making up and decking the nuptial couch. — **λαμπάδας ἀνασχεθεῖν**: for the general expression, cp. Medea's words in v. 482 ἀνέσχον σοι φάος σωτηρίας.

ὦ δυστάλαινα τῆς ἐμῆς αὐθαδίας,
ἄλλως ἄρ᾽ ὑμᾶς, ὦ τεκν᾽, ἐξεθρεψάμην,
ἄλλως δ᾽ ἐμόχθουν καὶ κατεξάνθην πόνοις　　1030
στερρὰς ἐνεγκοῦσ᾽ ἐν τόκοις ἀλγηδόνας.
ἦ μήν ποθ᾽ — ἡ δύστηνος — εἶχον ἐλπίδας
πολλὰς ἐν ὑμῖν, γηροβοσκήσειν τέ με
καὶ κατθανοῦσαν χερσὶν εὖ περιστελεῖν,
ζηλωτὸν ἀνθρώποισι· νῦν δ᾽ ὄλωλε δὴ　　1035
γλυκεῖα φροντίς· σφῷν γὰρ ἐστερημένη
λυπρὸν διάξω βίοτον ἀλγεινόν τ᾽ ἐγώ,
ὑμεῖς δὲ μητέρ᾽ οὐκέτ᾽ ὄμμασιν φίλοις

For torches at the wedding ceremonies see *e.g. Alc.* 915 f. τότε μὲν (*i.e.* at our wedding) πεύκαις σὺν Πηλιάσιν | σύν θ᾽ ὑμεναίοις ἔστειχον ἔσω, and Apoll. Rhod. 4. 808 f. αὐτὴ δὲ σέλας (= φῶς, λαμπάδα) χείρεσσιν ἀνέσχον | νυμφίδιον. For the form ἀνασχεθεῖν (= ἀνασχεῖν) see HA. 494.

1028. ὦ δυστάλαινα: ejaculation, not address to herself. The adj. is in the nom. — αὐθαδίας: for the gen. see on v. 96. 1029. ἄρ᾽: of disappointment. Cp. *H.F.* 339 ὦ Ζεῦ, μάτην ἄρ᾽ ὁμόγαμόν σ᾽ ἐκτησάμην, 'O Zeus, to no purpose then (ἄρ᾽) did I become husband of one wife with thee'. The μάτην in the passage just cited is = ἄλλως here. 1030. ἐμόχθουν and κατεξάνθην πόνοις are cause and effect. Note the difference of tense. The former action culminates in the latter. 1032. ἦ

μήν: 'yea verily', a strong expression, especially used to introduce an oath. — ποθ᾽: 'once', emphatic and contrasted with νῦν in v. 1035. 1033 f. πολλάς: she means simply 'more than one', but the exaggeration is natural. See on μύρια v. 952. — γηροβοσκήσειν and περιστελεῖν are indirect discourse infinitives in apposition to ἐλπίδας. 1035. ζηλωτόν: neuter (prob. accus.) in loose apposition with the preceding infinitives. Such a fortune as Medea had hoped for is 'a thing looked upon with envy by mankind', inasmuch as each craves it for himself. — δή: see on v. 1021. 1036. φροντίς: practically = ἐλπίς. 1037. διάξω: *degam*, 'spend'. — βίοτον: = βίον. — ἐγώ: contrasted with the following ὑμεῖς. 1038. ὄμμασιν φίλοις: a tender and natural touch.

ὄψεσθ' ἐς ἄλλο σχῆμ' ἀποστάντες βίου.
φεῦ φεῦ, τί προσδέρκεσθέ μ' ὄμμασιν, τέκνα; 1040
τί προσγελᾶτε τὸν πανύστατον γέλων;
αἰαῖ, τί δράσω; καρδία γὰρ οἴχεται,
γυναῖκες, ὄμμα φαιδρὸν ὡς εἶδον τέκνων.
οὐκ ἂν δυναίμην· χαιρέτω βουλεύματα
τὰ πρόσθεν· ἄξω παῖδας ἐκ γαίας ἐμούς. 1045
τί δεῖ με πατέρα τῶνδε τοῖς τούτων κακοῖς
λυποῦσαν αὐτὴν δὶς τόσα κτᾶσθαι κακά; ·
οὐ δῆτ' ἔγωγε· χαιρέτω βουλεύματα. —
καίτοι τί πάσχω; βούλομαι γέλωτ' ὀφλεῖν

1039. See above on v. 1021. Death as another form of life is hardly a touch of Orphic mysticism, though it has been so understood. It is merely an incidental expression of belief in a future life. **1040.** ὄμμασιν: a picturesque touch, not a tautology. **1042.** δράσω: aor. subjunctive. — καρδία means here 'resolution', θάρσος. **1043.** γυναῖκες: the members of the Chorus. — ὄμμα: seemingly collective. — ὡς εἶδον: = ἐπεὶ εἶδον, 'since I have seen', 'now that I have seen'. **1044.** ἂν δυναίμην: potential as well in form as in the meaning of the verb. 'Could not be able' is the literal meaning. — Note the abruptness of this highly emotional passage as marked by asyndeton. **1045.** ἐμούς: a defiant assertion of proprietorship; cp. v. 793. **1046.** τούτων: resuming τῶνδε.

Cp. Soph. *Ant.* 189 f. ἥδ' (the ship of state) ἐστὶν ἡ σῴζουσα καὶ ταύτης ἔπι ('on board her') | πλέοντες ὀρθῆς πλοῦς καλοὺς (Mss. τοὺς φίλους) ποιούμεθα. For the opposite, ὅδε resumed by οὗτος, cp. Soph. *Ant.* 296 ff. οὗτος as a resumption, not differing practically from the oblique cases of αὐτός, is common in prose. Then, too, αὐτῶν would require predicate position here. **1047.** λυποῦσαν: conative and = πειρωμένην λυπεῖν, *dum dolore afficere studeo.* — αὐτήν: contrasted with πατέρα τῶνδε. — δὶς τόσα: sc. ἢ ὅσα τοῦτον. **1049.** With the repeated χαιρέτω βουλεύματα in v. 1048, Medea seems to seal her surrender to the promptings of her natural affection; but now her desire for revenge reasserts itself, and she chides herself for yielding to affection. She awakes, as it

ἐχθροὺς μεθεῖσα τοὺς ἐμοὺς ἀζημίους;   1050
τολμητέον τάδ᾽· ἀλλὰ τῆς ἐμῆς κάκης,
τὸ καὶ προσέσθαι μαλθακοὺς λόγους φρενί. —
χωρεῖτε, παῖδες, ἐς δόμους· ὅτῳ δὲ μὴ
θέμις παρεῖναι τοῖς ἐμοῖσι θύμασιν,
αὐτῷ μελήσει, χεῖρα δ᾽ οὐ διαφθερῶ. —   1055

were (with the words καίτοι τί πάσχω;), from a dream of maternal love to the reality of vengeance. — γέλωτ᾽ ὀφλεῖν: sc. αὐτοῖς, i.e. ἐχθροῖς τοῖς ἐμοῖς. Cp. vv. 383, 404.

1051 f. τολμητέον τάδ᾽: 'I must screw my courage up to this'. — ἀλλὰ τῆς ἐμῆς κάκης κτέ.: 'nay, fie upon my cowardice that I should even', etc. Both genitive and articular infinitive seem to be exclamatory. We find a parallel in Alc. 832 ἀλλὰ σοῦ τὸ μὴ φράσαι, 'but shame upon you that you did not tell me', perhaps more literally, 'but you! not to tell me!'. 1053–1070. Medea orders the children within doors and, as though preparing for sacrifice, issues a 'Procul este, profani'. Then she checks herself, and then with a bitter cry and appealing to her passionate heart (θυμέ), as though it were some παιδαγωγός turned murderous, she bids it spare the children. Even though they be not with her, yet the knowledge that they are alive will be a constant source of joy

to her. 'What? leave them here to the tender mercies of my foes? By all the fiends, it cannot be. The die is cast; they cannot escape; the princess is dying'— and by their gifts, she would imply. 'Well, we are come to the parting of the ways. I will bid them farewell.' For the parallel to this passage, said to be from Neophron's *Medea*, see Introd. p. 42. 1053 ff. χωρεῖτε: here Medea makes as though to dismiss the children within. — ὅτῳ . . . μελήσει: a warning to the Chorus not to interfere, but couched in the terms of a sacrificial formula excluding the profane. 1054. θέμις: sc. ἐστί. — θύμασιν: in the sacrifices is implied the murder of the two children. 1055. αὐτῷ μελήσει: sc. μὴ παρεῖναι, 'he shall make it his business not to be by', a formal μὴ παρέστω. — χεῖρα δ᾽ οὐ διαφθερῶ: seemingly 'I will not let my hand be corrupted, bribed', i.e. turned from its purpose. Cp. Hec. 597 f. ὁ δ᾽ ἐσθλὸς ἐσθλός, οὐδὲ συμφορᾶς ὕπο | φύσιν διέφθειρ᾽, ἀλλὰ χρηστός ἐστ᾽ ἀεί, 'but the

ἆ ἆ,
μὴ δῆτα, θυμέ, μὴ σύ γ᾽ ἐργάσῃ τάδε·
ἔασον αὐτούς, ὦ τάλαν· φεῖσαι τέκνων·
καὶ ⟨μὴ⟩ μεθ᾽ ἡμῶν ζῶντες εὐφρανοῦσί σε. —
μὰ τοὺς παρ᾽ Ἅιδῃ νερτέρους ἀλάστορας,
οὔ τοί ποτ᾽ ἔσται τοῦθ᾽, ὅπως ἐχθροῖς ἐγὼ   1060
παῖδας παρήσω τοὺς ἐμοὺς καθυβρίσαι.

good man is good, nor does he let his character be corrupted by misfortune, but remains honest'.

1056. μὴ δῆτα: in a tone of abject supplication. The same tone in σύ γ᾽. 1058. To be understood as = καὶ μὴ μεθ᾽ ἡμῶν ⟨ὄντες⟩ ζῶντες εὐφρανοῦσί σε, 'even if they shall not be living with us, yet by living they are going to gladden thee'. In ἡμῶν she includes herself and her θυμός — her passionate heart — as twain. — ζῶντες: masc. as referring to παῖδας, notwithstanding the intervening τέκνων. 1059. The thought of leaving the children behind, which Medea has just suggested to herself, shews her the impossibility of escape for the children. The death of the princess, which she realises is now taking place, will make the death of the children, the bearers of the gifts, at the hands of the enraged Corinthians (or rather of the next of kin of the king and princess; see v. 1304) a matter of certainty. It is conceived by Euripides that Me-

dea can escape, but that, in order to do so, she must abandon her children tŏ her foes or else kill them. (See v. 1236 ff.) The magic chariot is not yet at Medea's disposal. — μὰ κτἑ.: ὄμνυμι is, of course, understood before the oath. — ἀλάστορας: avenging spirits, like the Furies, are meant. 1060 f. τοῦθ᾽: = τόδε, and explained by the following appositional clause. The whole construction is a development of the type οὐκ ἔστιν· ὅπως with subjunctive or future indicative, as here. οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως + subj. is = an English 'shall' future ; οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως, strictly speaking, is = an English 'will' future. Thus, we have here a circumlocution for οὔτοι ποτὲ παρήσω. Such circumlocutions give weight to a negative expression, both in Greek and in English. — Both ἐγώ and τοὺς ἐμούς are emphatic, contrasting Medea's conduct with the (imagined) conduct of other people in such circumstances. 1061. καθυβρίσαι: final infin., ad violandum or violandos.

πάντως σφ' ἀνάγκη κατθανεῖν· ἐπεὶ δὲ χρὴ,
ἡμεῖς κτανοῦμεν, οἵπερ ἐξεφύσαμεν·   1063
καὶ δὴ ἐπὶ κρατὶ στέφανος, ἐν πέπλοισι δὲ   1065
νύμφη τύραννος ὄλλυται, σάφ' οἶδ' ἐγώ. —
ἀλλ' — εἶμι γὰρ δὴ τλημονεστάτην ὁδόν —   1067
παῖδας προσειπεῖν βούλομαι. δότ', ὦ τέκνα,   1069
δότ' ἀσπάσασθαι μητρὶ δεξιὰν χέρα.   1070
ὦ φιλτάτη χείρ, φίλτατον δέ μοι κάρα
καὶ σχῆμα καὶ πρόσωπον εὐγενὲς τέκνων.

καὶ τούσδε πέμψω τλημονεστέραν ἔτι —   1068

1062. **πάντως**: 'in any case'. — **χρή** repeats ἀνάγκη in a slightly different form. 1063. **οἵπερ**: = οἱ αὐτοὶ οἵπερ. The whole rel. clause is an appositive to ἡμεῖς. For the masc. pl. cp. v. 314 f. — **ἐξεφύσαμεν**: for φύειν of a mother (= τίκτειν) cp. Soph. *Trach.* 31 κἀφύσαμεν δὲ (as we should read for the traditional δή) παῖδας, 'and I bore children too'. 1065. The clause that begins here gives (though there is no γάρ in it) the reason of πάντως σφ' ἀνάγκη κατθανεῖν. — **καὶ δή** : = ἤδη 'already'. 1066. **σάφ' οἶδ' ἐγώ**: cp. v. 963. 1067–1070. The vision of her dying rival has risen before Medea's eyes. But she takes no pleasure in it now; she thinks only of its dread significance for her and turns from it abruptly (ἀλλ') to bid farewell to her children as though she were

literally starting upon a journey — her journey into exile. Her audience understand that she is going — to kill the children. 1067. **δή**: with εἶμι, 'going I am'. 1068. **πέμψω**: parallel in tense with εἶμι, which is practically future. 1069. For προσαγορεύειν (to which προσειπεῖν is aorist) used of parting words cp. *Alc.* 195. 1070. **ἀσπάσασθαι**: 'to kiss' (= κύσαι; cp. v. 1141); final infin. used like *ad osculandum*. — The children give Medea their hands. 1071 f. Exclamation rather than address. The substantives seem best taken as nominatives. The address to the children follows. Cp. Agamemnon's words over Iphigenia *I.A.* 681. — **σχῆμα καὶ πρόσωπον**: 'form and features'. For σχῆμα of a well-known and dear shape cp. *Androm.* 1, *Alc.* 911 (both times of things).

εὐδαιμονοῖτον — ἀλλ' ἐκεῖ· τὸ δ' ἐνθάδε
πατὴρ ἀφείλετ'. ὦ γλυκεῖα προσβολή,
ὦ μαλθακὸς χρὼς πνεῦμά θ' ἥδιστον τέκνων.   1075
χωρεῖτε χωρεῖτ'. — οὐκέτ' εἰμὶ προσβλέπειν
οἵα τε παῖδας, ἀλλὰ νικῶμαι κακοῖς
καὶ μανθάνω μὲν οἷα δρᾶν μέλλω κακά,
θυμὸς δὲ κρείσσων τῶν ἐμῶν βουλευμάτων.   1079

ὅσπερ μεγίστων αἴτιος κακῶν βροτοῖς   1080

1073. **εὐδαιμονοῖτον**: a more expressive χαίρετον. — **ἐκεῖ**: 'yonder', *i.e.* in the other world, which is often thus vaguely referred to. — **τὸ δ' ἐνθάδε**: sc. εὐδαιμονεῖν. — The words ἀλλ' . . . ἀφειλετ' can have no meaning for the children. 1074 f. **ὦ . . . τέκνων**: again exclamation. The substantives are in the nominative. Medea embraces the children and fondles them as she speaks. προσβολή means 'contact' with reference to the embrace. — That Euripides has very perfectly understood and very perfectly expressed a mother's feelings here may be seen from the words which a modern woman-novelist, Mrs. Humphry Ward, puts in the mouth of her Eleanor (*Eleanor*, Chap. V, near end), with reference to the latter's dead child: "He was so warm and sweet always in his sleep. The touch of him — and the scent of him — his dear breath — and his curls — and the moist little hands

— sometimes they used to intoxicate me — to give me life — like wine." There could be no better parallel. Keble (*Praelectiones*, p. 596), while he admits the charm of the maternal love shewn by Medea here, thinks that such affection is unsuited to the fierce Colchian witch. "Nequa enim dulcissima illa, quibus Medea valedicit pueris suis, quorum ipsa jamjam exitio imminet, quidquam sapiunt, quod proprie pertineat sive ad Colchidem sive ad magam, sive ad atrocem ipsius indolem: maternos tantum, opinor, amores spirant, ac tenerrimos quidem." Mr. Keble in his criticism seems almost to have taken a hint from the Hypothesis. (See p. 68.) **1076–1080.** Medea drives the children into the house as though they were not to see her go away. **οὐκέτ' κτλ.** forms an aside and rounds out the close of the speech. **1078. μανθάνω**: 'realise'. Cp. *Alc.* 940 ἄρτι μανθάνω,

ΧΟΡΟΣ

πολλάκις ἤδη διὰ λεπτοτέρων   1081
μύθων ἔμολον καὶ πρὸς ἁμίλλας
ἦλθον μείζους ἢ χρὴ γενεὰν
θῆλυν ἐρευνᾶν·
ἀλλὰ γάρ ἐστιν μοῦσα καὶ ἡμῖν   1085

'I am just realising'.— **οἷα** : = ὡς δεινά. 1079. **τῶν ἐμῶν βουλευμάτων** : 'my reason' gives the proper psychological turn. The conflict in which reason succumbs is, from another point of view, one between lust for revenge and a mother's natural affection.

1081–1115. Medea, it appears, does not go within after v. 1080, but remains without silently waiting to have her expectations about the bride confirmed (see v. 1116 f.). In the meantime the Coryphaeus delivers a speech in anapaests. The metre seems to keep time to Medea's footsteps as she paces to and fro — like a tigress, one is tempted to say. The Coryphaeus's reflections deal with the sorrows attendant upon having children and are in so far connected with the plot. But such meditations as she indulges in are felt by the Poet to be likely to seem to some unnatural, and he makes the woman explain that women are not all ignorant and incapable of philosophic speculation, albeit the learned class is

small among them. Cp. the moralisings of the old Colchian women, vv. 119–130, 190–203. 1081 f. **πολλάκις ἔμολον**: for the aorist see v. 293. For the idiom διὰ μύθων ἔμολον (= ἦλθον) cp. v. 872. But here the sense is different; μύθων is = λόγων in the sense of 'speculations'. 1083 f. **ἦλθον**: synonymous with ἔμολον. As a general rule in Greek when, for the sake of avoiding repetition of the same notion by the same word, a rarer synonym is used in one place, it stands, as here, in the former place. That seems to mean that a Greek writer regularly formed his sentence fully in his mind before he wrote it down and thus checked the repetition in advance. — **γενεὰν θῆλυν** : = τὸ γυναικεῖον γένος or, simply, γυναῖκας. 1084. **ἐρευνᾶν** shews that ἁμίλλας are 'struggles' or 'efforts' of thought, subjects of meditation. See on μύθων just before. 1085 f. **ἀλλὰ γάρ**: 'but, you see'. — μοῦσα ἣ προσομιλεῖ σοφίας ἕνεκεν is a circumlocution for σοφία or φιλοσοφία. — **καὶ ἡμῖν** : i.e. οὐ

ᾗ προσομιλεῖ σοφίας ἕνεκεν —
πάσαισι μὲν οὔ, παῦρον δὲ γένος ·
μίαν ἐν πολλαῖς εὕροις ἂν ἴσως —,
κοὐκ ἀπόμουσον τὸ γυναικῶν ·
καὶ φημὶ βροτῶν οἵτινές εἰσιν   1090
πάμπαν ἄπειροι μηδ' ἐφύτευσαν
παῖδας προφέρειν εἰς εὐτυχίαν
τῶν γειναμένων.

μόνον τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ἀλλὰ καὶ ἡμῖν ταῖς γυναιξίν.

1087–1089. Instead of letting his character wind up this part of her long sentence immediately with the words κοὐκ ἀπόμουσον τὸ γυναικῶν, which form a neat repetition from the negative point of view of the thought of v. 1085, Euripides makes her limit the general statement of v. 1085 f. to a small class of women. πάσαισι takes its case from ἡμῖν, to which it forms, with οὔ, a restrictive after-thought. The δέ clause we must understand as = παῦρον δὲ γένος ἐστὶ γυναικῶν ('but there is a small class of women') αἷς ἐστι μοῦσά κτέ. The verse μίαν . . . ἴσως makes more precise the meaning of παῦρον γένος without really adding to the thought. μίαν and ἴσως must be closely joined, 'perhaps one'. 1089. With τὸ γυναικῶν understand μέρος. The verse is = κοὐκ ἀπόμουσοι αἱ γυναῖκες. — With the expression here cp. Heracl. 325–328 ἐξ

ἐσθλῶν δὲ φὺς | οὐδὲν κακίων τυγχάνεις γεγὼς πατρός — | παύρων μετ' ἄλλων · ἕνα γὰρ ἐν πολλοῖς ἴσως | εὕροις ἂν ὅστις ἐστὶ μὴ χείρων πατρός, 'a scion of a noble race, you are so fortunate as to be as good a man as your father — a rare case ; you might perhaps find one in a thousand that is as good a man as his father'. 1090–1093. After the apology contained in vv. 1085–1089 we are brought back to where we were at the end of the first clause of the long sentence (vv. 1081–1084). καί thus links vv. 1084 and 1090. 1090. φημί: emphatic, as often, and = 'I affirm'. — βροτῶν . . . παῖδας is practically a substantive in the accusative and subject to προφέρειν. The genitive βροτῶν is partitive and depends on οἵτινες . . . παῖδας. The words εἰσιν . . . παῖδας express the same thought twice. 1092. προφέρειν εἰς εὐτυχίαν is = εὐτυχεστέρους εἶναι. εἰς with the accus. marking the extent of application of the action of a verb is common.

οἱ μὲν ἄτεκνοι, δι' ἀπειροσύνην
εἴθ' ἡδὺ βροτοῖς εἴτ' ἀνιαρὸν   1095
παῖδες τελέθουσ', οὐχὶ τυχόντες
πολλῶν μόχθων ἀπέχονται·
οἷσι δὲ τέκνων ἐστὶν ἐν οἴκοις
γλυκερὸν βλάστημ' ἐσορῶ μελέτῃ
κατετρυχομένους τὸν ἄπαντα χρόνον,   1100
πρῶτον μὲν ὅπως θρέψουσι καλῶς
βίοτόν θ' ὁπόθεν λείψουσι τέκνοις·
ἔτι δ' ἐκ τούτων εἴτ' ἐπὶ φλαύροις
εἴτ' ἐπὶ χρηστοῖς

1094. All that follows from here to the end of the anapaests is an exposition of the grounds of the assertion βροτῶν . . . τῶν γειναμέ-νων. The asyndeton is employed where we should naturally have had (barring metre) οἱ μὲν γὰρ κτέ. **1096.** τελέθουσ': = εἰσίν. — οὐχὶ τυχόντες : sc. αὐτῶν, *i.e.* πολλῶν μόχθων, which is rather to be construed with ἀπέχονται. **1097.** ἀπέχονται: not to be taken literally, but = ἐλεύθεροί εἰσι. **1098 f.** τέκνων γλυκερὸν βλά-στημ': = τέκνα. The clause οἷσι τέκνων . . . βλάστημ' is object to ἐσορῶ. **1100 ff.** τὸν ἄπαντα χρόνον: as we say, 'the whole time'. — τὸν ἄπαντα χρόνον is sub-divided into πρῶτον μέν, ἔτι δ' (1103), and (instead of τέλος δέ or the like) τὸ πάντων λοίσθιον (1105). The double indirect question (1101 f.) forms a natural

object to the action of μελέτῃ κατε-τρυχομένους, which is a graphic ἐπιμελουμένους, after which verb a ὅπως clause is common; after this the construction in the ἔτι δ' clause, although that clause is parallel with the πρῶτον μέν clause, becomes independent. **1101 f.** A potential translation ('can') will best reproduce the force of θρέψουσι and λείψουσι. The ὅπως clause is felt by Euripi-des as an indirect question (= ὅτῳ τρόπῳ θρέψουσι), as is shewn by the following indirect question, ὁπόθεν λείψουσι. **1102.** βίοτον (= *victum,* 'livelihood') is put before its conjunction for the emphasis of contrast with θρέψουσι. — ὁπόθεν : = ὁπόθεν λαβόντες. **1103 f.** ἐκ τούτων : = μετὰ ταῦτα. — εἴτ' . . . εἴτ': in Latin, *sive . . . sive* cannot be used = *utrum . . . an* in a double indirect question; in

μοχθοῦσι, τόδ᾽ ἐστὶν ἄδηλον·   1104 a
ἓν δὲ τὸ πάντων λοίσθιον ἤδη   1105
πᾶσιν κατερῶ θνητοῖσι κακόν·
καὶ δὴ γὰρ ἅλις βίοτόν θ᾽ ηὗρον,
σῶμά τ᾽ ἐς ἥβην ἤλυθε τέκνων
χρηστοί τ᾽ ἐγένοντ᾽· εἰ δὲ κυρῆσαι
δαίμων οὗτως, φροῦδος ἐς Ἅιδου   1110
Θάνατος προφέρων σώματα τέκνων.

Greek, on the other hand, εἴτε ...
εἴτε for πότερον ... ἤ in a double
indirect question is quite common.
— ἐπὶ φλαύροις and ἐπὶ χρηστοῖς
are nearly equal to ὑπὲρ φλαύρων
and ὑπὲρ χρηστῶν.

1104 a. τόδ᾽: in apposition to
the indirect question. 1105 f. To
be understood as = ἓν δὲ ἤδη κατερῶ
τὸ πάντων λοίσθιον (meaning 'last
and worst') πᾶσιν θνητοῖσι κα-
κόν. The dat. goes with λοίσθιον.
1107–1109. καὶ δή: = ἤδη. — ἅλις
βίοτόν θ᾽ ηὗρον: = βίοτόν θ᾽ ἅλις
ηὗρον. The words ἅλις . . .
ἐγένοντ᾽ sum up the aims and
hopes of vv. 1101–1104 a. — ἐς
ἥβην ἤλυθε: = ἤβησε (ingressive,
or, better here, consummative ao-
rist).—ἐγένοντ᾽: 'have turned out'.
1109–1111. κυρῆσαι δαίμων: the
personal form of κυρῆσαι (κυρή-
σειε) or, in common prose, τύχοι.
1110. οὗτως: 'that way', anticipat-
ing what follows. — φροῦδος: sc.
ἐστίν. The phrase is = οἴχεται. —
Ἅιδου: sc. δῶμα, οἶκον, or the like.
1111. Θάνατος: seemingly the mes-

senger of Hades here as in the Al-
cestis.—προφέρων: the preposition
seems to have the same force as
in the famous Homeric προίαψεν
(Α 3), which Euripides seems to
have been thinking of here. But
it is interesting to notice that here
it is σώματα, in the Iliad ψυχαί,
that are sent untimely Hadesward
(Ἅιδι, for which we have elsewhere,
as ζ 11, Ἀιδόσδε = ἐς Ἅιδου).
That is due to the material refer-
ence above (v. 1108 σῶμά τ᾽ ἐς
ἥβην ἤλυθε τέκνων) and to the
form of that reference. We can
infer from Euripides's language
here that the explanation of the
preposition in προίαψεν that has
come down to us in the Homeric
scholia was taught in the schools
of his day (προίαψεν οὖν, ἔβλαψε
πρὸ τοῦ ὅρου παραπέμψασα τῷ
Ἅιδῃ, τοῦτ᾽ ἔστι πρὸ τοῦ πρέπον-
τος ἀνθρώποις θανάτου, Schol. Il.
Dindorf, III, p. 2); for he is
speaking of untimely death (πρὸ
τοῦ πρέποντος ἀνθρώποις θανά-
του).

πῶς οὖν λύει πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις
τήνδ' ἔτι λύπην ἀνιαροτάτην
παίδων ἔνεκεν
θνητοῖσι θεοὺς ἐπιβάλλειν;   1115

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

φίλαι, πάλαι τοι προσμένουσα τὴν τύχην   1116
καραδοκῶ τἀκεῖθεν ᾗ 'ποβήσεται,
καὶ μὴν δέδορκα τόνδε τῶν Ἰάσονος
στείχοντ' ὀπαδῶν, πνεῦμα δ' ἠρεθισμένον
δείκνυσιν ὥς τι καινὸν ἀγγελεῖ κακόν.   1120

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ

Μήδεια, φεῦγε φεῦγε μήτε νάιον   1122
λιποῦσ' ἀπήνην μήτ' ὄχον πεδοστιβῆ.

ὦ δεινὸν ἔργον παρανόμως εἰργασμένη   1121

1112–1115. λύει: = λυσιτελεῖ. Cp. v. 566. We should expect at the end of the sentence something like θνητοὺς παρὰ θεῶν λαμβάνειν, but the grammatical subject becomes the logical subject. — πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις: *besides all the others'; κακοῖς seems to be understood, though the feminine λύπην follows. — παίδων ἔνεκεν: with λύπην.

The second half of this episodion is occupied with a messenger's announcement and narrative of the fate of the bride and with Medea's final resolve. 1116. τοι: 'as you know'. — τὴν τύχην: object of προσμένουσα. 'The result' gives the force here. Cp. the phrase in the next verse. 1117. καραδοκῶ: combined perfect and present with πάλαι (*iam dudum*). See HA. 826, G. 1258, B. 522, Gl. 454 d. — τἀκεῖθεν: we should say simply 'matters there'. The Greek point of view is different from the English. τἀκεῖθεν is merely formal (proleptic) object to καραδοκῶ. — ᾗ (ἀ)ποβήσεται: practically = indirect question, although relative in form. 1118. καὶ μήν: 'and lo', introducing a new-comer. — τόνδε: practically = ὧδέ τινα. 1119. ἠρεθισμένον: *i.e.* panting. 1122 f. The messenger en-

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τί δ' ἄξιόν μοι τῆσδε τυγχάνει φυγῆς;

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ

ὄλωλεν ἡ τύραννος ἀρτίως κόρη   1125
Κρέων θ' ὁ φύσας φαρμάκων τῶν σῶν ὕπο.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

κάλλιστον εἶπας μῦθον ἐν δ' εὐεργέταις
τὸ λοιπὸν ἤδη καὶ φίλοις ἐμοῖς ἔσῃ.

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ

τί φῄς; φρονεῖς μὲν ὀρθὰ κοὐ μαίνῃ, γύναι,
ἥτις τυράννων ἑστίαν ἠκισμένη   1130
χαίρεις κλύουσά τ' οὐ φοβῇ τὰ τοιάδε;

ters from the direction of the house of Jason and the princess (from the spectator's right) in great haste. He is in the ordinary guise of a servant. The breathlessness of the messenger is well indicated by the repeated puffing φεῦγε. — νάιον ἀπήνην and ὄχον πεδοστιβῆ, 'ship carriage' (= ναῦν) and 'vehicle that treads the ground' (= ἅμαξαν) are fine bits of tragic ὄγκος. On the servant's part this is vulgar grandiloquence. — νάιον: seems preferable to ναίαν. Euripides seems, in the case of adjectives in -ιος, to have used generally -ία with a third declension substantive (which does not shew its gender by its ending), -ιος with a first declension substan-

tive. — λιποῦσ': 'leaving unused' = ἀπολιποῦσα. Cp. Dem. 54. 4.

1124. Interlocked for τί δέ μοι τυγχάνει ἄξιον τῆσδε φυγῆς; With τυγχάνει supply ὄν. 1125. ἀρτίως: with ὄλωλεν. The interlocked order here seems indicative of breathless excitement. Both this and the following verse seem to come out bit by bit. 1128. τὸ λοιπὸν ἤδη: 'from this time forth'. 1129. μέν: 'really', without corresponding δέ. 1130 f. ἥτις χαίρεις: quae gaudeas. — For the construction of ἠκισμένη with χαίρεις see HA. 983, G. 1580, B. 660, 1. 1131. τὰ τοιάδε: i.e. as I have just announced. The words are to be joined with κλύουσα (we should say 'at such news').

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἔχω τι κἀγὼ τοῖσδε σοῖς ἐναντίον
λόγοισιν εἰπεῖν· ἀλλὰ μὴ σπέρχου, φίλος,
λέξον δ' ὅπως ὤλοντο· δὶς τόσον γὰρ ἂν
τέρψειας ἡμᾶς, εἰ τεθνᾶσι παγκάκως.   1135

ΑΓΓΕΛΟΣ

ἐπεὶ τέκνων σῶν ἦλθε δίπτυχος γονὴ
σὺν πατρὶ καὶ παρῆλθε νυμφικοὺς δόμους,
ἤσθημεν — οἵπερ σοῖς ἐκάμνομεν κακοῖς —
δμῶες, δι' οἴκων δ' εὐθὺς ἦν πολὺς λόγος
σὲ καὶ πόσιν σὸν νεῖκος ἐσπεῖσθαι τὸ πρίν,   1140
κυνεῖ δ' ὁ μέν τις χεῖρ' ὁ δὲ ξανθὸν κάρα
παίδων, ἐγὼ δὲ καὐτὸς ἡδονῆς ὕπο
στέγας γυναικῶν σὺν τέκνοις ἅμ' ἑσπόμην.
δέσποινα δ' ἦν νῦν ἀντὶ σοῦ θαυμάζομεν

1132 f. She means 'I have something to say on my side in answer to the accusations implied in your words'. She could answer the messenger, but she begs him to have his say out. 1133. φίλος: used as vocative, as in Homer. — Medea here paves the way for the ἀγγελικὴ ῥῆσις, which the audience are expecting. This calm speech after the messenger's previous excitement is somewhat like Medea's long, calm harangue, v. 214 ff., after her passionate outburst. 1136. τέκνων ... γονή: bombastic for τὼ σὼ τέκνω. 1137. παρῆλθε: = εἰσῆλθε. — νυμφικοὺς δόμους: singular in sense like *aedes*. For

the phrase cp. v. 378. 1138. οἵπερ: = οἱ αὐτοὶ οἵπερ. The clause is an appositive to δμῶες. 1139. δμῶες: subject of ἤσθημεν. 1140. ἐσπεῖσθαι: 'had made up' (lit. 'had truced'). 1141. τις: redundant. 1142. ἐγὼ καὐτός: this common phrase is sometimes = ἐγὼ (as here), sometimes = αὐτός. We can rarely feel the force of all its elements. 1143. στέγας γυναικῶν: = γυναικωνίτιδα. — σύν and ἅμ' are both tautological with ἑσπόμην. But such tautology is quite common even in prose. 1144. δέσποινα: = '*the* mistress'. Prose would demand the article to anticipate the relative. — θαυμάζομεν: 'pay

πρὶν μὲν τέκνων σῶν εἰσιδεῖν ξυνωρίδα   1145
πρόθυμον εἶχ' ὀφθαλμὸν εἰς Ἰάσονα,
ἔπειτα μέντοι προυκαλύψατ' ὄμματα
λευκήν τ' ἀπέστρεψ' ἔμπαλιν παρηίδα
παίδων μυσαχθεῖσ' εἰσόδους· πόσις δὲ σὸς
ὀργὰς ἀφήρει καὶ χόλον νεάνιδος   1150
λέγων τάδ'· Οὐ μὴ δυσμενὴς ἔσῃ φίλοις,
παύσῃ δὲ θυμοῦ καὶ πάλιν στρέψεις κάρα
φίλους νομίζουσ' οὕσπερ καὶ πόσις σέ θεν,
δέξῃ δὲ δῶρα καὶ παραιτήσῃ πατρὸς
φυγὰς ἀφεῖναι παισὶ τοῖσδ' ἐμὴν χάριν;   1155
ἢ δ' ὡς ἐσεῖδε κόσμον, οὐκ ἠνείχετο,
ἀλλ' ᾔνεσ' ἀνδρὶ πάντα· καὶ πρὶν ἐκ δόμων

homage to'. Cp. Xen. *Hell.* I.
6. 11.

1145. For the circumlocution
cp. v. 1136.   1147. ἔπειτα : *i.e.*
ἐπεὶ τέκνων σῶν εἰσεῖδεν ξυνωρίδα.
— μέντοι : = δέ.   1148. Cp. vv.
928 and 30.   1149. εἰσόδους: the
plural is due to the plural παίδων
cp. φυγάς v. 967.   1150. ἀφήρει :
conative.   1151 f. οὐ μή: 'won't
you not'. The μή in this idiom
is due to avoidance of repeti-
tion of the negative particle in
the same form (οὐ οὐ). Then,
too, οὐ οὐ would naturally mean
'won't you, won't you'. The οὐ
here goes with the whole of the
double (or rather treble) ques-
tion, of which the first part is neg-
ative, the second and third parts
affirmative ('won't you not . . . but
. . . and . . .').   1153. οὕσπερ:

= τοὺς αὐτοὺς οὕσπερ. The re-
dundant καί after οὕσπερ is not
uncommon after this pronoun.
1155. ἐμὴν χάριν : a charmingly
egoistical close. The possessive
pron. takes the place of the case
form in the phrase, as in *meá
gratiá*.   1156. For the form of
the opening of the verse cp. Soph.
O.T. 1265 ὃ δ', ὡς ὁρᾷ νιν, κτέ. —
κόσμον : very effectively placed for
the emphasis, a sort of "high
light" in the verse. — οὐκ ἠνεί-
χετο : 'could not refrain'. The
childish vanity and love of finery
in the poor girl is affectingly por-
trayed here and in the sequel.
For the double augment see HA.
361 a, G. 544, B. 175 n., Gl. 268 d,
and cp. the form ἠμπίσχετο (a
sort of echo) below.   1157. ᾔνεσ' :
≠ ἠπάνυστο. Cf. Alc. 12.—ἐκδόμων.

μακρὰν ἀπεῖναι πατέρα καὶ παῖδας σέθεν
λαβοῦσα πέπλους ποικίλους ἠμπίσχετο
χρυσοῦν τε θεῖσα στέφανον ἀμφὶ βοστρύχοις   1160
λαμπρῷ κατόπτρῳ σχηματίζεται κόμην
ἄψυχον εἰκὼ προσγελῶσα σώματος·
κἄπειτ' ἀναστᾶσ' ἐκ θρόνων διέρχεται
στέγας ἁβρὸν βαίνουσα παλλεύκῳ ποδί
δώροις ὑπερχαίρουσα, πολλὰ πολλάκις   1165
τένοντ' ἐς ὀρθὸν ὄμμασι σκοπουμένη.
τοὐνθένδε μέντοι δεινὸν ἦν θέαμ' ἰδεῖν·

we should expect this to be followed by something like ἐξελθεῖν, but that is involved in μακρὰν ἀπεῖναι.

1158. μακράν : sc. ὁδόν. — πατέρα καὶ παῖδας σέθεν reads as though Jason were Medea's father. Either Euripides wrote very carelessly or the text is corrupt. See Appendix on the Text. 1161. Cp. the beautiful description in the *Hecuba* (923 ff.) of the Trojan lady just before the sack of the city : Ἐγὼ δὲ πλόκαμον ἀναδέτοις | μίτραισιν ἐρρυθμιζόμαν | χρυσέων ἐνόπτρων λεύσ-|σουσ' ἀτέρμονας εἰς αὐγάς, 'and I the braids of my hair with upbound fillets was putting in order, gazing into golden mirrors' boundless beams'. 1164. στέγας : 'the room '. — ἁβρὸν βαίνουσα : an echo of v. 830, the *curiosa felicitas* of which would naturally cling to its author's mind. — παλλεύκῳ : not a merely idle epithet but picturesque. " Her small snow feet had slippers,

but no stocking", as Byron says of Haidee. 1165. δώροις ὑπερχαί-ρουσα : seemingly echoed by Sophocles where, in the *Trachi-mians* (764) Heracles clad in the fatal skirt is described as κόσμῳ τε χαίρων καὶ στολῇ. — πολλὰ πολλάκις : tautological, 'ever and anon'. Cp. v. 853 f. 1166. τέ-νοντ' is the tendon of the heel over which the hem of the robe falls ; ὀρθόν because she is on her feet. The poor girl is looking at her train, as it were. The best commentary seems to be Aristae-net. 1. 25 θαμὰ δὲ καὶ τὴν πτέρναν ('heel') αὐτὴ πρὸς ἑαυτὴν ἐπιστρε-φομένη διεσκοπεῖτο ('she was re-garding '). — ὄμμασι : 'with all her eyes ', 'with admiring gaze '. 1167. Cp. Soph. *O.T.* 1267 δεινὰ δ' ἦν τἀνθένδ' ὁρᾶν, which reads like an echo of Euripides. — ἦν ἰδεῖν : 'was to be seen'; but probably ἰδεῖν is subject of ἦν and θέαμ' object of ἰδεῖν.

χροιὰν γὰρ ἀλλάξασα λεχρία πάλιν
χωρεῖ τρέμουσα κῶλα καὶ μόλις φθάνει,
θρόνοισιν ἐμπεσοῦσα, μὴ χαμαὶ πεσεῖν.   1170
καί τις γεραιὰ προσπόλων δόξασά που
ἢ Πανὸς ὀργὰς ἤ τινος θεῶν μολεῖν
ἀνωλόλυζε — πρίν γ᾽ ὁρᾷ διὰ στόμα
χωροῦντα λευκὸν ἀφρὸν ὀμμάτων τ᾽ ἄνω
κόρας στρέφουσαν αἷμά τ᾽ οὐκ ἐνὸν χροΐ,   1175
εἶτ᾽ ἀντίμολπον ἧκεν ὀλολυγῆς μέγαν
κωκυτόν. εὐθὺς δ᾽ ἢ μὲν ἐς πατρὸς δόμους
ὥρμησεν, ἢ δὲ πρὸς τὸν ἀρτίως πόσιν
φράσουσα νύμφης συμφοράς, ἅπασα δὲ

1168. χροιὰν ἀλλάξασα : *i.e.*
turning pale. Cp. *Alc.* 173 f. οὐδὲ
τοὐπιὸν | κακὸν μεθίστη χρωτὸς
εὐειδῆ φύσιν, "no change | At all
to that skin's nature, fair to see,
| Caused by the imminent evil"
(Browning).—λεχρία: *i.e.* stagger-
ing.—πάλιν: with χωρεῖ. 1169. τρέ-
μουσα κῶλα : 'her limbs a-tremble'.
1170. ἐμπεσοῦσα : ' by sinking
upon ', instrumental participle. —
μὴ πεσεῖν : infin. of negative result,
or infin. treated as acc. of inner obj.,
with φθάνει. The construction
is strange. We should expect καὶ
μόλις φθάνει θρόνοισιν ἐμπεσοῦσα
(supplementary partic. with φθάνει)
πρὶν χαμαὶ πεσεῖν. 1171. που
= οἶμαι, 'I presume'. 1172. The
old woman thought it was a faint-
ing fit. Pan sends 'panic terror'.
Here he is a possible author of
fainting. In *Hipp.* 141 ff. (Ἦ σύ

γ᾽ ἔνθεος, ὦ κούρα, | εἴτ᾽ ἐκ Πανὸς
εἴθ᾽ Ἑκάτας | ἢ σεμνῶν κορυβάντων
φοι-|τᾷς ἢ ματρὸς ὀρείας;) Pan
is the author of temporary mad-
ness. 1173. ἀνωλόλυζε: such a
cry as women raised at religious
rites, over portents, and over
events of good omen. — The
woman's religious — or rather
superstitious — emotions are short
lived. She at once sees that some-
thing very serious is the matter. —
πρὶν γ᾽: 'until, that is to say'.
1175. στρέφουσαν: sc. αὐτήν. The
rapid change of subject is quite in-
telligible. Rolling up the pupils of
the eyes is a familiar feature of a fit.
1177. κωκυτόν : 'a cry of lamenta-
tion'. 1177-80. The hurry and
confusion of the servants is as
admirably as it is briefly described.
We fairly hear the patter and
tramp of feet in v. 1180.

στέγη πυκνοῖσιν ἐκτύπει δραμήμασιν.   1180
ἤδη δ᾽ ἂν ἕλκων κῶλον ἐκπλέθρου δρόμου
ταχὺς βαδιστὴς τερμόνων ἀνθήπτετο,
ἡ δ᾽ ἐξ ἀναύδου καὶ μύσαντος ὄμματα
δεινὸν στενάξασ᾽ — ἡ τάλαιν᾽ — ἠγείρετο·
διπλοῦν γὰρ αὐτῇ πῆμ᾽ ἐπεστρατεύετο·   1185
χρυσοῦς μὲν ἀμφὶ κρατὶ κείμενος πλόκος
θαυμαστὸν ἵει νᾶμα παμφάγου πυρός,
πέπλοι δὲ λεπτοί, σῶν τέκνων δωρήματα,

1180. Cp. *El.* 802 πᾶσα δ᾽ ἐ-
κτύπει στέγη, where κτυπεῖν is used
as here.   1181 f. The speaker
seems to mean in the time it would
take a good walker to travel the
length of the stadium — the 'two
hundred yards' dash' of antiquity.
It is not, of course, implied that
the Greeks had walking-matches.
ἕλκων κῶλον (= ἕλκων πόδα) de-
scribes the gait of a man walking,
just as in Soph. *Ant.* 224 κοῦφον
ἐξάρας πόδα ('lifting the foot out
light') describes that of a man
running. Philoctetes (Soph. *Phil.*
291) describes his limping in the
words δύστηνον ἐξέλκων πόδα. In
Hdt. 6. 125 we have ἕλκων μὲν
μόγις τοὺς κοθόρνους 'hardly drag-
ging his boots' (= 'hardly able
to walk for the weight of his
boots'). — For a similar compari-
son from the stadium (and in a
messenger's speech) cp. *El.* 824
θᾶσσον δὲ βύρσαν ἐξέδειρεν ἢ δρο-
μεὺς | δισσοὺς διαύλους ἵππιος διή-
νυσε 'and he flayed off the hide

quicker than a mounted runner'
(an odd phrase) 'finishes two
double courses in the stadium'.
— With ἐκπλέθρου δρόμου cp. ἔκ-
πλεθρον ἀγῶνα *El.* 883 f. 1183. ἡ
δ᾽: we should say 'when she' and
the more elegant Greek form was
ἡνίκα (= cum 'inversum'). This
is popular style. — ἐξ ἀναύδου:
'from (the state of) one speech-
less'.   The adj., like the follow-
ing partic., is masc.   The ex-
pression is general. — μύσαντος:
equivalent to a perfect part.
1184. ἠγείρετο: *i.e.* began to come
to.   1185. γάρ introduces the
reason for the groan. — ἐπεστρα-
τεύετο: as we speak of a disease
'attacking' one. 1187. παμφάγου:
'devouring', 'consuming'.   For
the παν-adj. in this place in the
verse cp. vv. 5 and 30. 1188. σῶν
τέκνων δωρήματα: the responsibil-
ity is put ominously and suddenly.
The diadem was as much the gift
of the children as the robes. The
plural πέπλοι is like 'drapery',

λευρὰν ἔδαπτον σάρκα τῆς δυσδαίμονος.
φεύγει δ' ἀνᾴξασ' ἐκ θρόνων πυρουμένη   1190
σείουσα χαίτην κρᾶτά τ' ἄλλοτ' ἄλλοσε
ῥῖψαι θέλουσα στέφανον· ἀλλ' ἀραρότως
σύνδεσμα χρυσοῦν εἶχε, πῦρ δ', ἐπεὶ κόμην
ἔσεισε, μᾶλλον δὶς τόσῳ 'ξελάμπετο.
πίτνει δ' ἐπ' οὖδας συμφορᾷ νικωμένη,   1195
πλὴν τῷ τεκόντι κάρτα δυσμαθὴς ἰδεῖν·
οὔτ' ὀμμάτων γὰρ δῆλος ἦν κατάστασις
οὔτ' εὐφυὲς πρόσωπον, αἷμα δ' ἐξ ἄκρου
ἔσταζε κρατὸς συμπεφυρμένον πυρί,
σάρκες δ' ἀπ' ὀστέων ὥστε πεύκινον δάκρυ   1200
γναθμοῖς ἀδήλοις φαρμάκων ἀπέρρεον,

sort of collective plural. The plu-
ral δωρήματα matches the plural
πέπλοι.

1189. λευρὰν ἔδαπτον σάρκα:
Euripides was probably thinking
of Aesch. *Prom.* 368 f., where the
ποταμοὶ πυρὸς from Aetna are
described as 'devouring with
savage jaws (δάπτοντες ἀγρίαις
γνάθοις; cp. γναθμοῖς ἀδήλοις in
v. 1201 below) fair-fruited Sicily's
smooth acres (τῆς καλλικάρπου Σι-
κελίας λευροὺς γύας)'. 1191. ἄλλοτ'
ἄλλοσε: 'now this way, now
that'. 1192. ῥῖψαι: = ἀπορρῖψαι.
1193 f. ἐπεὶ ἔσεισε: 'after she had
shaken', for ἐπεὶ σείσειε 'when-
ever she had shaken'. — μᾶλλον
δὶς τόσῳ: 'twice as much again'
(lit. 'more by twice as much').
1195. ἐπ' οὖδας: = χαμαί. κερ. τ

τεκόντι: = τῷ πατρί. Father rather
than mother is mentioned because
the speaker has his mind on what
is coming. Then, too, as a matter
of fact Euripides seems to imagine
Creon a widower. — δυσμαθὴς ἰδεῖν:
= δύσγνωστος, 'hard to recognise'.
ἰδεῖν seems to be = ὄμμασι or ἰδόντι.
1197. κατάστασις must mean 'posi-
tion' here.   1198. εὐφυές: predi-
cated and = εὐειδές.   1199. συμ-
πεφυρμένον: cp. *Alc.* 496 (of the
mangers of the man-eating mares
of Diomedes) αἵμασιν πεφυρμένας.
'Clotted with fire' is a bold
phrase.   1200. The oozing resin
of evergreen trees is still called
δάκρυα by the Greeks. — With this
v. cp. v. 1217.   1202. θέαμα:
probably accus. indicating the re-
ceding action.

δεινὸν θέαμα.   πᾶσι δ᾽ ἦν φόβος θιγεῖν
νεκροῦ· τύχην γὰρ εἴχομεν διδάσκαλον·
πατὴρ δ᾽ — ὁ τλήμων — συμφορᾶς ἀγνωσίᾳ
ἄφνω παρελθὼν δῶμα προσπίτνει νεκρῷ,   1205
ᾤμωξε δ᾽ εὐθὺς καὶ περιπτύξας χέρας
κυνεῖ προσαυδῶν τοιάδ᾽ · Ὦ δύστηνε παῖ,
τίς σ᾽ ὧδ᾽ ἀτίμως δαιμόνων ἀπώλεσε;
τίς τὸν γέροντα τύμβον ὀρφανὸν σέθεν
τίθησιν; οἴμοι, συνθάνοιμί σοι, τέκνον.   1210
ἐπεὶ δὲ θρήνων καὶ γόων ἐπαύσατο,
χρῄζων γεραιὸν ἐξαναστῆσαι δέμας

1202. **πᾶσι**: πᾶσι is contrasted implying 'everybody else', as the sequel shews, but limited to the bystanders (as though πᾶσιν ἡμῖν) by the following εἴχομεν. 1204. **συμφορᾶς ἀγνωσίᾳ**: he had not witnessed his daughter's death and so τύχην οὐκ εἶχεν διδάσκαλον. 1205. **παρελθών**: cp. v. 1137. — **δῶμα**: probably 'the room'. — **προσπίτνει**: 'lights upon', or 'stumbles upon'. 1206. **περιπτύξας χέρας**: 'embracing', sc. τὸν νεκρόν. Cp. *Alc.* 183 κυνεῖ δὲ προσπίτνουσα. 1208. **ἀτίμως**: we should say positively 'shamefully'. 1209. **τὸν γέροντα τύμβον**: sc. με (cp. Soph. *O.T.* 1153 μὴ δῆτα — πρὸς θεῶν — τὸν γέροντά μ᾽ αἰκίσῃς). 'Me an old man with one foot in the grave' we should say; but Euripides says, more boldly, 'aged tomb'. So in *Heracl.* 167 old Iolaus calls him-

self γέρων τύμβος (γέροντος οὕνεκα | τύμβου, τὸ μηδὲν ὄντος, ὡς εἰπεῖν ἔπος). In both passages γέρων is used as an adj. — **ὀρφανόν** is predicative with τίθησιν, and σέθεν is ablatival genitive with it.   1211. Cp. *Alc.* 185 ἐπεὶ δὲ πολλῶν δακρύων ἔσχεν (εἶχεν Mss.) κόρον. The genitives here are = θρηνῶν καὶ γοώμενος.   1212 f. **χρῄζων** and **προσεῖχεθ᾽** are coincident in time. We might have had the thought expressed (barring metre) by ἔχρῃζε μὲν . . ., προσείχετο δὲ . . . — **γεραιόν** calls attention to the natural stiffness and feebleness of age. — **ἐξαναστῆσαι**: ἐξ suggests an entanglement. The word is repeated in v. 1215. — For the figure of the ivy cp. *Hec.* 398 ὁποῖα κισσὸς δρυὸς ἐγὼ τῆσδ᾽ ἕξομαι, 'I will cling to her like the ivy to the oak'.

προσείχεθ᾽ ὥστε κισσὸς ἔρνεσιν δάφνης
λεπτοῖσι πέπλοις, δεινὰ δ᾽ ἦν παλαίσματα·
ὃ μὲν γὰρ ἤθελ᾽ ἐξαναστῆσαι γόνυ,   1215
ἡ δ᾽ ἀντελάζυτ᾽· εἰ δὲ πρὸς βίαν ἄγοι,
σάρκας γεραιὰς ἐσπάρασσ᾽ ἀπ᾽ ὀστέων.
χρόνῳ δ᾽ ἀπέσβη καὶ μεθῆχ᾽ — ὁ δύσμορος —
ψυχήν· κακοῦ γὰρ οὐκέτ᾽ ἦν ὑπέρτερος.
κεῖνται δὲ νεκροὶ παῖς τε καὶ γέρων πατὴρ   1220
πέλας — ποθεινὴ δακρύοισι συμφορά,
καί μοι — τὸ μὲν σὸν ἐκποδὼν ἔστω λόγου·
γνώσῃ γὰρ αὐτὴ ζημίας ἀποστροφήν —
τὰ θνητὰ δ᾽ οὐ νῦν πρῶτον ἡγοῦμαι σκιάν·   1224

1214. **παλαίσματα**: the posture the two figures suggests the stly comparison of a pair of stlers. 1215. **ἐξαναστῆσαι γόνυ**: ἐξαναστῆναι but implying pre-ıs kneeling as opposed to sit-ς. 1216. **ἀντελάζυτ᾽**: = ἀντεῖχε. **πρὸς βίαν**: = βιαίως. — **ἄγοι**: ρπώη. 1217. **σάρκας γεραιάς**: v. 1212 γεραιὸν δέμας. — **ἀπ᾽ ἐων**: cp. v. 1200. 1218. **χρόνῳ**: v. 904. — **ἀπέσβη**: there is a adful fitness in this figure after description of the fiery action the poison in the case of the le. The idea is explained in ἦκε ψυχήν. 1219. **κακοῦ**: = τοῦ οῦ. 1220. **νεκροί**: predicate h κεῖνται. The phrase is our dead'. 1221. **πέλας**: sc. ἀλλή-ı. — **ποθεινὴ δακρύοισι συμφορά**: ıese words are what Euripides ıte they can only mean 'a mis-

fortune dear to tears', *i.e.* one that we are fain to weep over. It is an odd turn of phrase. — What follows continues the thought in the form of a reflection by the speaker (prompted by the present συμφορά) on the vanity of human happiness. 1222 f. The speaker puts Medea's present case aside as he moralises. — **μοι**: as though not ἡγοῦμαι σκιάν but δοκεῖ σκιά followed. The form of the sentence is altered after the parenthesis. — **τὸ σόν**: sc. μέρος. The phrase is = σύ. — **ἐκποδών**: = ἔξω. — **λόγου**: 'account'. 1223. **αὐτή**: 'of yourself'. — **ζημίας**: 'punishment'. 1224. **δ᾽** serves at once to resume after the parenthesis and to contrast (awkwardly and in a forced way) Medea's case with human affairs at large. — **οὐ νῦν πρῶτον**: cp. v. 446.

θνητῶν γὰρ οὐδείς ἐστιν εὐδαίμων ἀνήρ,   1228
ὄλβου δ᾽ ἐπιρρυέντος εὐτυχέστερος
ἄλλου γένοιτ᾽ ἂν ἄλλος, εὐδαίμων δ᾽ ἂν οὔ.   1230

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἔοιχ᾽ ὁ δαίμων πολλὰ τῇδ᾽ ἐν ἡμέρᾳ
κακὰ ξυνάπτειν — ἐνδίκως — Ἰάσονι.   1232

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

φίλαι, δέδοκται τοὔργον ὡς τάχιστά μοι   1236

οὐδ᾽ ἂν τρέσας εἴποιμι τοὺς σοφοὺς βροτῶν   1225
δοκοῦντας εἶναι καὶ μεριμνητὰς λόγων —
τούτους μεγίστην ζημίαν ὀφλισκάνειν·   1227
ὦ τλῆμον, ὥς σοῦ συμφορὰς οἰκτίρομεν,   1233
κόρη Κρέοντος, ἥτις εἰς Ἅιδου πύλας
οἴχῃ γάμων ἔκατι τῶν Ἰάσονος.   1235
παῖδας κτανούσῃ τῆσδ᾽ ἀφορμᾶσθαι χθονὸς   1237

1228–1230. The γάρ introduces a substantiation of the general sentiment of v. 1224. The sentiment here has a striking likeness to Solon's famous remarks to Croesus, as given by Herodotus in I. 32 — a passage that was doubtless familiar to Euripides. There Solon distinguishes the ὄλβιος (= εὐδαίμων in Euripides) from the εὐτυχής, and says that if a man have been εὐτυχής all his life, and have ended his life well, he is the happy man that Croesus is inquiring about — the man that deserves to be called ὄλβιος (οὗτος ἐκεῖνος τὸν σὺ ζητέεις, ὁ ὄλβιος

κεκλῆσθαι ἄξιός ἐστι). But the sweeping assertion that Solon is made to make before this, πᾶν ἐστι ἄνθρωπος συμφορή, seems to have struck Euripides with even greater force. The moralising of this speaker is like the moralising of the old Colchian early in the play. — εὐδαίμων ἀνήρ seems to be practically one word and to mean no more than εὐδαίμων. 1232. ἐνδίκως: 'and it serves him right'. Coming in as a correction this kills the sympathy that might else lie in the words. The Coryphaeus has no kindness for Jason. 1236. δέδοκται . . . μοι: expressed

καὶ μὴ σχολὴν ἄγουσαν ἐκδοῦναι τέκνα   1238
ἄλλῃ φονεῦσαι δυσμενεστέρᾳ χερί.   1239
πάντως πέπρωται ταῦτα, κοὐκ ἐκφεύξεται.   1064
ἀλλ' εἶ' ὁπλίζου, καρδία· τί μέλλομεν;   1242
τὰ δεινὰ κἀναγκαῖα μὴ πράσσειν κακοῦ.
ἄγ', ὦ τάλαινα χεὶρ ἐμή, λαβὲ ξίφος,
λάβ', ἕρπε πρὸς βαλβῖδα λυπηρὰν βίας   1245
καὶ μὴ κακισθῇς, μηδ' ἀναμνησθῇς τέκνων
ὡς φίλταθ', ὡς ⟨σφ'⟩ ἔτικτες, ἀλλὰ τήνδε γε
λαθοῦ βραχεῖαν ἡμέραν παίδων σέθεν
κἄπειτα θρήνει· καὶ γὰρ εἰ κτενεῖς σφ', ὅμως
φίλοι γ' ἔφυσαν, δυστυχὴς δ' ἐγὼ γυνή.   1250

πάντως σφ' ἀνάγκη κατθανεῖν· ἐπεὶ δὲ χρή,   1240
ἡμεῖς κτενοῦμεν, οἵπερ ἐξεφύσαμεν.   1241

---

with an odd conciseness; 'I have resolved upon the deed (τοὔργον subject of δέδοκται) as quickly as possible' means 'I have resolved to do the deed (τοὔργον πρᾶξαι) as quickly as possible'. — ἄγουσαν marks by its tense the process that results in ἐκδοῦναι.

1239. φονεῦσαι: infinitive of the goal = ad caedem. — δυσμενεστέρᾳ: rather ἧττον εὐμενεῖ. Normally οὐδὲν μητρὸς εὐμενέστερον. **1064.** 'This is absolutely fixed (= this their doom is sealed), and they cannot escape'. **1243.** Medea steels herself with a proverb. **1245. ἕρπε**: she is thinking now of her whole body, not of her hand. — βαλβῖδα λυπηρὰν βίας: 'the grievous starting-point of violence'. The metaphor is from the stadium.

The βαλβίς is the runner's starting-point. Our 'toe the mark' and 'come up to the scratch' are similar phrases. **1246. κακισθῇς**: 'flinch', 'turn coward'. **1247 ff. τήνδε γε . . . θρήνει**: cp. Soph. Phil. 83 ff. νῦν δ' εἰς ἀναιδὲς ἡμέρας μέρος βραχύ ('for the brief span of a day of shamelessness') | δός μοι σεαυτὸν κᾆτα (= καὶ εἶτα) τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον | κέκλησο πάντων εὐσεβέστατος βροτῶν. **1248. λαθοῦ παίδων σέθεν**: the brief positive form of μὴ ἀναμνησθῇς . . . ἔτικτες. **1249. κἄπειτα θρήνει**: 'and after that begin to mourn them'. — γάρ: '(mourn, I say;) for' etc. — καὶ εἰ: 'even if'. — κτενεῖς: 'mean to kill'. **1250. φίλοι γ'**: 'dear at all events'. Cp. Hec. 417 οἰκτρὰ

*a trivializing conjecture – cf. vv. 1073-4 ?
'..metaph: βαλβῖδα... βία...

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἰὼ Γᾶ τε καὶ παμφαὴς   1251
ἀκτὶς Ἀλίου, κατίδετ’ ἴδετε τὰν
ὀλομέναν γυναῖκα πρὶν φοινίαν
τέκνοις προσβαλεῖν χέρ’ αὐτοκτόνον·
σᾶς γὰρ χρυσέας ἀπὸ γονᾶς   1255
ἔβλαστεν, θεοῦ δ’ αἷμα π(έδοι) πίτνειν
φόβος ὑπ’ ἀνέρων.
ἀλλά νιν, ὦ φάος διογενές, κάτειρ-
γε, κατάπαυσον, ἔξελ’ οἴκων, φονῶ-

σύ, τέκνον, ἀθλία (= δυστυχής) δ’ ἐγὼ γυνή. — The following choral song consists of (a) a prayer to the sun (the earth is only incidentally included) that he may interpose to avert the doom of his descendants, Medea's children (strophe); and (b) an apostrophe to Medea, lamenting her fruitless motherhood and expressing horror of the deed she is on the point of committing (antistrophe)

1251. παμφαής: nom. for voc. 1252. ἀκτὶς Ἀλίου: circumlocution for Ἅλιε. The last syllable of ἀκτίς, usually shortened, is here kept long. — κατίδετ’ ἴδετε: repetition of a compound verb by the use of its simple or, better said, an instance of a preposition prefixed to the same verb doubled. Cp. *Bacch.* 1065 κατῆγεν ἦγεν ἦγεν ἐς μέλαν πέδον, ‘downward he drew, drew, drew it to the ground’ 1253. ὀλομέναν: with the same force

as in Homer's μῆνιν Πηληιάδεω Ἀχιλῆος οὐλομένην (‘accursed’). It is the participle to the imprecation ὄλοιο. Cp. *Phoen.* 1029. 1254. προσβαλεῖν: αὐτήν to be supplied from γυναῖκα is, of course, the subject. — αὐτοκτόνον: in the sense of τὰ ἑαυτῆς ἀποκτείνουσαν. 1255. Earth is lost sight of; the prayer is really, after all, to the sun. 1257. φόβος: ‘a fearful thing’; sc. ἐστί. — ἀνέρων: sharply contrasted by its position with the emphatic θεοῦ. 1258. ἀλλά: ‘nay’, in strong protestation.—νιν: = αὐτήν, meaning Medea. — διογενές: seemingly in the primitive sense of ‘sky-born’. 1259. The hindrance (κάτειργε) is to lead to a positive stopping (κατάπαυσον) and to an utter removal (ἔξελ’ οἴκων). There is thus a climax marked not only by the meaning of the verbs also by the change of tense present to aorist.

σαν ἀλαόν τ' Ἐρινὺν ὑπ' ἀλαστόρων.   1260

μάταν μόχθος ἔρρει τέκνων,   1261
μάταν ⟨   ⟩ γένος φίλιον ἔτεκες, ὦ
κυανεᾶν λιποῦσα Συμπληγάδων
πετρᾶν ἀξενωτάταν ἐσβολάν.
δειλαία, τί σοι φρενοβαρὴς   1265
χόλος προσπίτνει καὶ ζαμενὴς ⟨   ⟩
φόνος ἀμείβεται;
χαλεπὰ γὰρ βροτοῖς ὁμογενῆ μιά-
σματ' (ἐπὶ γαῖαν αὐτοφόνταις ξυνῳ-
δὰ) θεόθεν πίτνοντ' ἐπὶ δόμοις ἄχη.   1270

<   ΠΑΙΔΕΣ
                                    >

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ἀκούεις βοὰν ἀκούεις τέκνων;   1273
ἰὼ τλᾶμον, ὦ κακοτυχὲς γύναι.   1274

1260. Ἐρινύν: appositive to
νιν. — ὑπ' ἀλαστόρων: 'under the
influence of evil spirits'. To be con-
strued with ἀλαόν as though that
were a participle meaning 'blinded'.
There is perhaps a play on words
in ἀλαόν and ἀλαστόρων. **1261.** μό-
χθος τέκνων: cp. Medea's own words,
v. 1029 f. **1262.** γένος: 'offspring'.
1263 f. Cp. v. 2. — ἀξενωτάταν
ἐσβολάν: it is not the entrance
(ἐσβολάν) that is inhospitable so
much as the sea to which that en-
trance (the Bosporus) leads — the
sea called by the Greeks, euphe-
mistically, Εὔξεινος, 'hospitable'.
1267. ἀμείβεται seems to mean

'succeeds' (to the love you had for
your children, ἀντὶ τῆς εὐμενείας).
1268–1270. Corrupt verses that no-
body has made anything satisfac-
tory out of. 'For hard for mortals
(are) kindred stains (i.e. stains of
blood of kindred) upon the earth
for slayers of their own falling
harmonious from the gods upon
households (as) pains' is surely
a sentence more lurid than lucid.
1273. For ἀκούεις ἀκούεις βοὰν
τέκνων. — A cry of the boys — per-
haps simply an αἰαῖ — has fallen
out before this verse. **1274.** An
apostrophe to Medea. The ὦ
simply resumes the ἰώ.

ΠΑΙC Α

οἴ μοι, τί δράσω; ποῖ φύγω μητρὸς χέρας;   1271

ΠΑΙC Β

οὐκ οἶδ', ἀδελφὲ φίλτατ' · ὀλλύμεσθα γάρ.   1272

ΧΟΡΟC

παρέλθω δόμους; ἀρῆξαι φόνον
τέκνοις σοι δοκεῖ;   1275

ΠΑΙΔΕC

ναὶ — πρὸς θεῶν — ἀρήξατ' · ἐν δέοντι γάρ ·
ὡς ἐγγὺς ἤδη γ' ἐσμὲν ἀρκύων ξίφους.

ΧΟΡΟC

τάλαιν', ὡς ἄρ' ἦσθα πέτρος ἢ σίδα-
ρος, ἄτις τέκνων ὃν ἔτεκες   1280
ἄροτον αὐτόχειρι μοίρᾳ κτενεῖς.   1281

1271 f. This brief despairing dialogue of the two boys behind the scenes is very unnatural in tone but apprises us of what is going forward. It is like the cries of Polymestor behind the scenes in *Hec.* 1035, 1037, 1039 f. For the way in which these brief parts were taken see Introd. p. 63. 1274 f. παρέλθω: subjunct. of appeal. These words are addressed by one of the ladies to her neighbour — by the Coryphaeus to his neighbour, in terms of the Chorus — and are overheard by the boys, who answer from within with one voice. — ἀρῆξαι ... δοκεῖ:

a shift of construction equiv. to ἀρήξω φόνον τέκνοις;, or ἀρήξωμεν φόνον τέκνοις;. ἀρῆξαι is = ἀμῦναι. 1276. πρὸς θεῶν: sc. ἱκετεύομεν or the like. — ἐν δέοντι γάρ: sc. ἀρήξετε. γάρ, instead of ὡς, avoids repetition and ambiguity here. ὡς is the common causal particle after an imv. 1277. γ': emphasising ὡς just as it does ἐπεί. — ξίφους weakens the metaphor in ἀρκύων (for which cp. v. 986) by explaining it. But 'toils of the sword' is still a strong phrase. 1279. ἄρ': the confidantes of Medea now first fully realise her relentlessness. 1280 f. ἄτις κτενεῖς:

μίαν δὴ κλύω μίαν τῶν πάρος   1282
γυναῖκ' ἐν φίλοις χέρα βαλεῖν τέκνοις,
Ἰνὼ μανεῖσαν ἐκ θεῶν, ὅθ' ἡ Διὸς
δάμαρ νιν ἐξέπεμπε δωμάτων ἄλῃ ·   1285
πίτνει δ' — ἀ τάλαιν' — ἐς ἅλμαν φόνῳ
τέκνων δυσσεβεῖ
ἀκτῆς ὑπερτείνασα ποντίου πόδα
δυοῖν τε παίδοιν ξυνθανοῦσ' ἀπόλλυται.
τί δῆτ' οὖν γένοιτ' ἂν ἔτι δεινόν; ὦ   1290
γυναικῶν λέχος πολύπονον,
ὅσα βροτοῖς ἔρεξας ἤδη κακά.   1292

quae interfectura sis. — τέκνων
ἄροτον: 'tilth of children', =τέκνα
simply. Were the metaphor carried
out, κτενεῖς should (barring metre)
give place to καταμήσεις (cp. Soph.
Ant. 601). — αὐτόχειρι μοίρᾳ: 'a
fate made by thine own hand'.

1282. μίαν δή: 'just one ',
further emphasised by the second
μίαν. Note the repeated word
in the same place in this verse
as in the corresponding v. 1273.
1283. ἐν ... βαλεῖν: = ἐμβαλεῖν.
— Such a rhyme as we have here
is not uncommon in dochmiacs.
1284. Ἰνώ: Ino (the wife of
Athamas of Thebes) driven mad
by Hera (because she had nursed
Dionysus) throws herself into the
sea with her two children. This
seems plainly to be the simple
version of the legend followed
here. In his *Ino* (produced in
one of the years 430-426 B.C.),

Euripides appears to have made ⲟⲩ ||ᾳⲟ
the story much more complicated. ᴵ·Ⲓ⳽ⲟⲓⲁ
— ἐκ θεῶν: = ὑπὸ θεῶν. The
phrase is a general one; the next
clause shews that Hera was the
author of the madness. 1286. φόνῳ:
a bold sociative dative. It is ex-
plained in v. 1289. 1287. Note
τέκνων in the same place as τέκνοις
in the corresponding verse above.
1288. It is meant that she leaped
over a cliff into the sea. 1290. οὖν:
repeating and reënforcing δῆτ'. —
τί ἔτι δεινόν: 'what horror still ',
i.e. what horror in future if this
rare crime has been repeated.
1291. γυναικῶν λέχος: 'wedlock ',
but implying (as was told in the
play *Ino*) that Athamas's second
wife was the occasion of Ino's mad
act. — πολύπονον anticipates the
following exclamation. 1292. ἤδη:
contrasted, seemingly, with ἔτι
above. Jason now appears with

ΙΑϹΩΝ

γυναῖκες αἳ τῆσδ' ἐγγὺς ἕστατε στέγης,
ἆρ' ἐν δόμοισιν ἡ τὰ δείν' εἰργασμένη —
Μήδεια — τοῖσδ' ἔτ' ἢ μεθέστηκεν φυγῇ ;   1295
δεῖ γάρ νυν ἤ τοι γῆς σφε κρυφθῆναι κάτω
ἢ πτηνὸν ἆραι σῶμ' ἐς αἰθέρος βάθος,
εἰ μὴ τυράννων δώμασιν δώσει δίκην.
πέποιθ' ἀποκτείνασα κοιράνους χθονὸς
ἀθῷος αὐτὴ τῶνδε φεύξεσθαι δόμων ;   1300

a band of armed retainers to rescue his children from the vengeance of the Corinthians. His entrance would be from the spectator's right.

1295. ἔτ': sc. ἐστίν. An ἤδη is implied with the following μεθέστηκεν. — μεθέστηκεν φυγῇ: = μεθέστηκεν φυγοῦσα = πέφευγεν. 1296. γάρ: used as though the sentence were simply δεῖ γάρ νιν τυράννων δώμασιν δοῦναι δίκην. Jason enquires for Medea because (γάρ) she will be wanted for punishment and is in danger. Are we to understand that Jason (not knowing as yet that Medea has killed the children) is unwilling that she should fall into the hands of the Corinthians? V. 1301 reads so. But, after all, he leaves her in the next breath to the avengers. — νυν: = ἄρα, and explained in εἰ μὴ κτέ. — τοι: emphasising the pair of alternatives, notwithstanding its position, not the first alternative merely. — σφε: = αὐτήν.

1297. πτηνὸν ἆραι σῶμ': = ἀναπτάσθαι. In many of his plays Euripides seems bound to make somebody or something fly. Here we have an anticipation of the *dénouement*, of Medea's escape in the car drawn by winged serpents. 1298. εἰ μὴ . . . δώσει: 'unless she means to give', said with a touch of irony. — τυράννων δώμασιν: the 'royal family' must surely mean, under the circumstances, the next of kin of the murdered king and princess, whoever these next of kin might be. It was the duty of the next of kin to exact the blood penalty. Cp. *Alc.* 732 f., where Pheres expects that Acastus, Alcestis's brother, will demand satisfaction of Admetus for her death. 1300. αὐτή: seemingly redundant, but due to the underlying general thought, παρ' ἄλλων δίκην λαβοῦσα αὐτὴ μὴ δώσειν, for which the more precise ἀποκτείνασα . . . δόμων is substituted.

ἀλλ᾽ — οὐ γὰρ αὐτῆς φροντίδ᾽ ὡς τέκνων ἔχω —
κείνην μὲν οὓς ἔδρασεν ἔρξουσιν κακῶς,
ἐγὼ δὲ παίδων ἦλθον ἐκσώσων βίον,
μή μοί τι δράσωσ᾽ οἱ προσήκοντες γένει
μητρῷον ἐκπράσσοντες ἀνόσιον φόνον.   1305

ΧΟΡΟΣ

ὦ τλῆμον, οὐκ οἶσθ᾽ οἳ κακῶν ἐλήλυθας,

1301. οὐ γὰρ αὐτῆς ὡς τέκνων:
= οὐ γὰρ οὕτως αὐτῆς ('not so
much for her') ὡς τέκνων. οὕτως
) (ὡς is the regular correlation in
such cases. 1302. οὓς ἔδρασεν:
virtual subject of ἔρξουσιν. The
persons meant are the next of kin
alluded to in v. 1298. — ἔδρασεν
ἔρξουσιν: ἔρξουσιν for δράσουσιν
for the sake of the verse. — κακῶς:
belonging to both the preceding
verbs. Cp. v. 475. 1303. 'Her
the avengers will take care of; I
am come to save the children' in-
dicates the relation of this and the
last verse. The persons in the
two verses are contrasted chiasti-
cally. — ἦλθον: practical perfect,
as often (= ἥκω). 1304. μοι:
dat. of disadvantage. — τι: i.e. τι
κακόν. — δράσωσ᾽: sc. αὐτούς. — οἱ
προσήκοντες γένει: sc. τοῖς τυράν-
νοις. See on v. 1298. 1305. μη-
τρῷον: = τὸ τῶν παίδων μητρός.
We should expect the expression
of relationship to refer to the
subject of the verb of the sen-
tence. The context shews that

such is not the case. Similarly in
Homer when Orestes and Aegis-
theus are spoken of together, Aegis-
theus is called πατροφονεύς because
he killed — not his own (as in the
case of parricida) but — Orestes's
father. — ἐκπράσσοντες: sc. αὐτούς
(= τοὺς παῖδας). For the double
acc. see HA. 724. — φόνον: sc.
τῶν τυράννων (obj. gen.). — It is
noteworthy that this speech of
Jason's is cast in a form, 8 vv. +
5 vv., the second division intro-
duced by an ἀλλά marking a
sharp transition. Such an ar-
rangement of 13 vv. introduces
both the *Oedipus Tyrannus* and
the *Oedipus Coloneus* of Sophocles.
Creon's long speech in *Ant.* 162–
210 is also introduced by 13 vv.
divided in the same way, though
without the adversative at the
beginning of the second division.
1306. οἳ κακῶν ἐλήλυθας: indirect
exclamation. Cp. Soph. *O. T.* 413
κοὐ βλέπεις ἵν᾽ εἶ κακοῦ, 'in what a
plight you are'. The gen. is parti-
tive, as in *ubinam gentium sumus?*.

Ἰᾶσον· οὐ γὰρ τοῦσδ' ἂν ἐφθέγξω λόγους.

IACΩN

τί δ' ἔστιν; οὔ που κἄμ' ἀποκτεῖναι θέλει;

XOPOC

παῖδες τεθνᾶσι χειρὶ μητρῴᾳ σέθεν.

IACΩN

οἴ μοι, τί λέξεις; ὥς μ' ἀπώλεσας, γύναι.   1310

XOPOC

ὡς οὐκέτ' ὄντων σῶν τέκνων φρόντιζε δή.

IACΩN

ποῦ γάρ νιν ἔκτειν'; ἐντὸς ἢ ἔξωθεν δόμων;

XOPOC

πύλας ἀνοίξας σῶν τέκνων ὄψῃ φόνον.

1307. **οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐφθέγξω**: sc. εἰ ᾔδησθα. 1308. **τί δ' ἔστιν**: sc. τὸ κακὸν τοῦτο — **οὔ που . . . θέλει**: hardly to be justly interpreted as an expression of craven fear. Jason is a moral, not a physical, coward. It is said rather in a tone of scornful incredulity. 1309. **παῖδες**: emphatic, though in the normal position of the subject. Trans. 'No, it is your children', etc. — **μητρῴᾳ**: here, of course, 'their mother's'. Cp. on v. 1305. 1310. **λέξεις**: = λέγειν θέλεις, 'mean'. 1311. **οὐκέτ' ὄντων**: sc. ἀλλὰ τεθνεώτων, hence the neg. is not changed under the influence of the imv. The gen. is probably to be construed directly with **φρόντιζε** ('pray think of your children as dead'). 1312. **γάρ**: 'why', in a tone of horror and surprise ('γάρ admirantis'). 1313. A solemn and formal statement. The pathetic force of the σῶν can be best appreciated by substituting for it here and in v. 1311 the colourless τῶν. — **φόνον**: far more expressive than νεκρούς. It means 'murdered bodies'.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

χαλᾶτε κλῇδας ὡς τάχιστα, πρόσπολοι,
ἐκλύεθ' ἁρμούς, ὡς ἴδω διπλοῦν κακόν,   1315
τοὺς μὲν θανόντας, τὴν δὲ — τείσωμαι φόνῳ.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τί τούσδε κινεῖς κἀναμοχλεύεις λόγους
νεκροὺς ἐρευνῶν κἀμὲ τὴν εἰργασμένην;
παῦσαι πόνου τοῦδ', εἰ δ' ἐμοῦ χρείαν ἔχεις,
λέγ' εἴ τι βούλῃ, χειρὶ δ' οὐ ψαύσεις ποτέ ·   1320

1314 f. κλῇδας : not to be
taken in the sense of 'keys'.
'Slacken the keys' would be non-
sense. χαλᾶτε κλῇδας seems to
mean no more than the following
ἐκλύεθ' ἁρμούς, 'undo the fasten-
ings' (sc. τῶν πυλῶν). Inasmuch
as the door was fastened from
within, Jason's words to his at-
tendants are an order to break
open the door. — **πρόσπολοι** : ad-
dress to the attendants that had
come with Jason. **1316**. Instead
of ending, rather flatly, with
something like τὴν δὲ ταῦτ' εἰρ-
γασμένην, Jason falls back into
the construction of ὡς ἴδω and
bursts out into τείσωμαι φόνῳ.
1317. Medea here appears above
the roof of the house mounted in
a chariot drawn by winged ser-
pents and with the dead bodies
of the two boys. (See Introd.
pp. 35, 59 f.) This was effected in
the theatre by a sort of crane, the

famous μηχανή of the θεὸς ἀπὸ
μηχανῆς, *deus ex machina.* —
'Why are you disturbing and pry-
ing open these words?' must mean
'why do you talk thus of disturb-
ing and prying open?'. Aris-
tophanes makes plain reference to
the strange phrase when he makes
the Coryphaeus in the *Clouds*
(1397) address Phidippides with
the words ὦ καινῶν ἐπῶν κινητὰ
καὶ μοχλευτά. See further Ap-
pendix on the Text. **1318**. **εἰργα-
σμένην** : sc. τὸν φόνον. **1319**. **εἰ
δ' . . . ἔχεις** : 'and if it is I that
you want'. **1320**. **εἴ τι βούλῃ** :
= ὅ τι βούλει. — **χειρὶ δ' . . . ποτέ** :
manu vero me tangis numquam,
'but with hand thou shalt touch
me nevermore'. The emphasis
of these words, particularly of
χειρί, gives a retroactive emphasis
to λέγ'. We have a phase of the
familiar contrast of λόγος and
ἔργον.

τοιόνδ' ὄχημα πατρὸς Ἥλιος πατὴρ
δίδωσιν ἡμῖν ἔρυμα πολεμίας χερός.

ΙΑϹѠΝ

ὦ μῖσος, ὦ μέγιστον ἐχθίστη γύναι
θεοῖς τε κἀμοὶ παντί τ' ἀνθρώπων γένει,
ἥτις τέκνοισι σοῖσιν ἐμβαλεῖν ξίφος   1325
ἔτλης τεκοῦσα κἄμ' ἄπαιδ' ἀπώλεσας
καὶ — ταῦτα δράσασ' — ἥλιόν τε προσβλέπεις
καὶ γαῖαν ἔργον τλᾶσα δυσσεβέστατον,
ὄλοι'. ἐγὼ δὲ νῦν φρονῶ, τότ' οὐ φρονῶν
ὅτ' ἐκ δόμων σε βαρβάρου τ' ἀπὸ χθονὸς   1330
Ἕλλην' ἐς οἶκον ἠγόμην, κακὸν μέγα

1321 f. τοιόνδ' κτέ.: another
case of the common emphatic re-
versal of cause and effect. Logi-
cal and tame were τοιόνδε δ' ὄχημα
. . . δίδωσιν . . . ὥστε χειρὶ οὐ ψαύ-
σεις ποτέ. — πατρὸς Ἥλιος πατήρ:
cp. v. 746. 1322. δίδωσιν: practi-
cally = δέδωκε. The gift's effect is
present. — ἔρυμα πολεμίας χερός:
= ἐρυσόμενον ('to ward off') πολε-
μίαν χεῖρα. The appositive ex-
presses purpose. Cp. v. 478
(ἐπιστάτην). 1323. μῖσος: 'hated
creature', abstract for concrete. —
μέγιστον ἐχθίστη: cp. πλεῖστον
ἡδίστην Alc. 790 and Shakespeare's
"most unkindest". μέγιστον is
superlative to μέγα used with adjec-
tives in the sense of 'very' (μέγα
σέμνη Νίκη). 1325 f. ἥτις ἔτλης:
quae ausa sis. 1326. τεκοῦσα:
emphatic ('although you were
their mother') but tautological
after τέκνοισι σοῖσιν. This (like
μέγιστον ἐχθίστη) is the natural
language of emotion. — κἄμ' ἄπαιδ'
ἀπώλεσας: Jason is utterly selfish
to the last. ἀπώλεσας is a vigor-
ous substitute for ἐποίησας or κατέ-
στησας. Cp. v. 436. 1327. ταῦτα
δράσασ': in effect a strong ἐπὶ
τούτοις. 1328. ἔργον τλᾶσα δυσ-
σεβέστατον: another vigorous
tautology. 1329. ὄλοι': the curse
comes with added force after the
long breathless qualification. —
ἐγὼ δέ: as though ὄλοιο μὲν σύ
had gone before. — φρονῶ: 'un-
derstand', 'realise'. 1330. δόμων:
we must supply from the sequel
βαρβάρων. 1331. κακόν: in
position with σε.

πατρός τε καὶ γῆς προδότιν ἥ σ᾽ ἐθρέψατο,
οἷόν σ᾽ ἀλάστορ᾽ εἰς ἔμ᾽ ἔσκηψαν θεοί.
κτανοῦσα γὰρ δὴ σὸν κάσιν παρέστιος
τὸ καλλίπρῳρον εἰσέβης Ἀργοῦς σκάφος·   1335
ἤρξω μὲν ἐκ τοιῶνδε· νυμφευθεῖσα δὲ
παρ᾽ ἀνδρὶ τῷδε καὶ τεκοῦσά μοι τέκνα
εὐνῆς ἔκατι καὶ λέχους σφ᾽ ἀπώλεσας.
οὐκ ἔστιν ἥτις τοῦτ᾽ ἂν Ἑλληνὶς γυνὴ
ἔτλη ποθ᾽, ὧν γε πρόσθεν ἠξίουν ἐγὼ   1340

1332 f. **πατρός**: dependent on κακὸν μέγα. Note the chiasmus in κακὸν . . . ἐθρέψατο. — **γῆς** . . . **ἐθρέψατο**: = πάτρας προδότιν. 1333. **οἷον** . . . **θεοί** depends (as indir. exclam.) primarily on φρονῶ (v. 1329). — **ἀλάστορ᾽**: 'fiend'. — **ἔσκηψαν**: 'have launched' (like a thunderbolt). Cp. v. 94. 1334–1338. It was the inveterate, the consistent, fiendishness and blood-thirstiness of Medea's character (from his point of view) that Jason had failed to realise. He had not seen that the woman that would not stick at any crime for her lover's sake would be equally ruthless against him, if he spurned her love. Medea's consistent blood-thirstiness is set forth, as Jason now appreciates it, in these verses. The savage is a savage still; benevolent assimilation is a failure. 1334. **παρέστιος**: contrasted with the following παρ᾽ ἀνδρὶ τῷδε and practically = ἐν δόμοις βαρβάροις as that is = Ἕλλην ἐν οἴκῳ.

1335. **εἰσέβης**: the weight of the expression falls on κτανοῦσα. The thought would be more directly expressed thus: ἔκτεινας γὰρ δὴ σὸν κάσιν παρέστιος πρὶν τὸ καλλί-πρῳρον ἐσβῆναι Ἀργοῦς σκάφος. — **Ἀργοῦς σκάφος**: cp. 1. 1336. **ἤρξω μὲν ἐκ τοιῶνδε**: resumptive, 'that was the way you began'. The end of the course thus begun is given in the next clause. 1337. **τεκοῦσα τέκνα**: Homeric in tone; cp. ἦ τέκε, τέκνα. 1338. **εὐνῆς καὶ λέχους**: emphatic tautology. Cp. v. 1367. 1339. **Ἑλληνὶς γυνή**: emphatic and = εἰ Ἑλληνὶς γυνὴ κοὐ βάρβαρος ἦν. — It may well be that from this passage Sophocles took a hint for his patient and gentle Greek Deianira, a complete foil to the passionate Barbarian Medea and more like, though finer than, Euripides's Andromache. 1340. **ὧν**: construction according to sense as though we had had before Ἑλληνί-δων γυναικῶν. — **γε**: ironical, 'forsooth'. — **πρόσθεν**: of preference,

γῆμαι σὲ κῆδος ἐχθρὸν ὀλέθριόν τ' ἐμοί,
λέαιναν, οὐ γυναῖκα, τῆς Τυρσηνίδος
Σκύλλης ἔχουσαν ἀγριωτέραν φύσιν. —
ἀλλ' — οὐ γὰρ ἂν σὲ μυρίοις ὀνείδεσι
δάκοιμι· τοιόνδ' ἐμπέφυκέ σοι θράσος —   1345
ἔρρ', αἰσχροποιὲ καὶ τέκνων μιαιφόνε·
ἐμοὶ δὲ τὸν ἐμὸν δαίμον' αἰάζειν πάρα,
ὃς οὔτε λέκτρων νεογάμων ὀνήσομαι,
οὐ παῖδας οὓς ἔφυσα κἀξεθρεψάμην
ἔξω προσειπεῖν ζῶντας, ἀλλ' ἀπώλεσα⟨ς⟩.   1350

a more expressive ἀντί.— ἠξίουν : the imperfect, because he has repented of his folly. — ἐγώ : the emphasis implies 'fool that I was' (μωρίαν ὀφλισκάνων).

1341. κῆδος : acc. of inner object to γῆμαι. We should understand κῆδος ⟨γενόμενον⟩ ('that has proved') ἐχθρὸν ὀλέθριόν τ' ἐμοί. The word is here practically = γάμον. 1342. λέαιναν : in apposition to σέ.— Τυρσηνίδος : this geographical specification makes the rant and fustian of this and the following verse still frigider. Jason seems unable to indulge in plain, honest passion. That this is an intentional — and not unhappy — touch on Euripides's part seems pretty plain from v. 1359, where Medea satirises Jason's Τυρσηνίδος Σκύλλης in Σκύλλαν ἢ Τυρσηνὸν ᾤκησεν πέδον, 'Scylla that lived in Tuscany'. As a contrast to this cold particularising cp. Alcestis's ἐχίδνης οὐδὲν ἠπιωτέρα (Alc. 310).

1344. Both σέ and μυρίοις are strongly emphatic. 1345. δάκοιμι : 'sting'. — τοιόνδ' : cp. v. 1321. 1347. ἐμοί : contrasted with σέ in v. 1344. Cp. also ἐγὼ δέ in v. 1329.— τὸν ἐμὸν δαίμον' : = τὴν ἐμὴν τύχην. The emphasis of ἐμοί is carried on in τὸν ἐμόν, which is = τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ. — πάρα : = πάρεστι. The force here is that of 'must' rather than 'may'. 1348 ff. The relative clause is = ἐγὼ γὰρ κτέ., a mere explanation and statement of fact, not a characterisation ; else we should have the neg. μή, if not also ὅστις. — οὔτε : followed by οὐ instead of οὔτε, as not unfrequently. — λέκτρων νεογάμων : = γυναικὸς νεογάμου. — ὀνήσομαι : for the special sense cp. Alc. 335, when Admetus says to Alcestis σοῦ γὰρ οὐκ ὠνήμεθα, because she is dying before her time. 1350. ἔξω : = δυνήσομαι. — προσειπεῖν ζῶντας : i.e. he can only bid farewell (προσειπεῖν) to their dead bodies.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μακρὰν ἂν ἐξέτεινα τοῖσδ' ἐναντίον
λόγοισιν, εἰ μὴ Ζεὺς πατὴρ ἠπίστατο
οἷ' ἐξ ἐμοῦ πέπονθας οἷά τ' ἠργάσω.
σὺ δ' οὐκ ἔμελλες τἄμ' ἀτιμάσας λέχη
τερπνὸν διάξειν βίοτον ἐγγελῶν ἐμοί,   1355
οὐδ' ἡ τύραννος οὐδ' ὁ σοὶ προσθεὶς γάμους —
Κρέων — ἀνατὶ τῆσδέ μ' ἐκβαλεῖν χθονός.
πρὸς ταῦτα καὶ λέαιναν, εἰ βούλῃ, κάλει
καὶ Σκύλλαν ἢ Τυρσηνὸν ᾤκησεν πέδον ·
τῆς σῆς γὰρ ὡς χρὴ καρδίας ἀνθηψάμην.   1360

ΙΑΩΝ

καὐτή γε λυπῇ καὶ κακῶν κοινωνὸς εἶ.

1351. **μακράν**: sc. ῥῆσιν. — **ἐξέτεινα**: a picturesque ἔλεξα. We should logically have ἐκτείναιμι, but the unreal tone of the protasis, although the latter is placed after the apodosis, affects the whole conditional period. For the phraseology cp. *Hec.* 1177 ὡς δὲ μὴ μακροὺς τείνω λόγους and *I.A.* 420 μακρὰν ἔτεινον. — **ἐναντίον** seems clearly to be feminine. 1353. **οἷ'**: = ὡς ἀγαθά. — **οἷα**: = ὡς κακά. 1354. The emphatic σύ helps with the adversative δ' to make the transition to the body of the speech (cp. vv. 526, 872), and is also contrasted with τἄμ' and with ἐμοί in the next verse. — **οὐκ ἔμελλες**: 'you were not going to' means 'I was not going to let you'. 1356. **ἡ τύ-**

ραννος: cp. v. 42. — **ὁ σοὶ προσθεὶς γάμους**: cp. v. 288. 1357. **ἀνατί**: = the idiomatic χαίρων. 1358. **πρὸς ταῦτα**: defiant. Cp. Aesch *Prom.* 1043 (which may have helped to set the tone for the phrase in later tragedy) πρὸς ταῦτ' ἐπ' ἐμοὶ ῥιπτέσθω μὲν | πυρὸς ἀμφήκης βόστρυχος, 'upon me then he hurled fire's two-edged curl' (*i.e.* the lightning). — **καί**: emphatic ('even'). 1359. See on v. 1342. — **ᾤκησεν**: 'lived in' as an historical fact. In a different context (and commonly) the aorist might be ingressive, 'took up her abode in', 'went and lived in'. 1360. **ὡς χρή**: *i.e.* χαλεπῶς, as in Eng. sometimes 'properly'. 1361. **λυπῇ**: an appropriate retort;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σάφ' ἴσθι· λύ⟨σ⟩ει δ' ἄλγος, ἢν σὺ μὴ ἐγγελᾷς.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

ὦ τέκνα, μητρὸς ὡς κακῆς ἐκύρσατε.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ὦ παῖδες, ὡς ὤλεσθε πατρῴᾳ νόσῳ.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

οὔ τοί νυν ἡμὴ δεξία σφ' ἀπώλεσεν.   1365

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἀλλ' ὕβρις οἵ τε σοὶ νεοδμῆτες γάμοι.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

λέχους σφε κἠξίωσας οὕνεκα κτανεῖν;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σμικρὸν γυναικὶ πῆμα τοῦτ' εἶναι δοκεῖς;

for τῆς σῆς καρδίας ἀνθηψάμην is = σ' ἐλύπησα. — Jason seeks a feeble comfort in Medea's grief.

1362. λύσει: sc. τὰ τέλη, 'will be worth while', 'will pay'. — ἐγγελᾷς: sc. μοι. 1363. ἐκύρσατε := ἐτύχετε. 1364. Closely copies in form the preceding verse, as often in the retorts of a stichomythy. Shakespeare sometimes makes his characters retort in similar fashion. Cp. below vv. 1370-3. — νόσῳ: explained in v. 1366. 1365. τοί νυν: 'however', 'though'. — ἡμή: em-

phatic. — Jason's answer ignores his responsibility. 1366. ὕβρις: 'lust'. — νεοδμῆτες: there is in the expression here a conflation of νεοδμὴς γυνή and νέοι γάμοι. 1367. λέχους: sc. ἠτιμασμένου. Cp. v. 1338. — κἠξίωσας: 'did you really stoop?' Jason was slow to learn that (in Congreve's words) "Heaven has no rage like love to hatred turned | Nor Hell a fury like a woman scorned" (bad rhyme where 'spurned' would have suited). 1368. τοῦτ': i.e. the violation of wedlock (λέχος).

ΙΑϹѠΝ

ἥτις γε σώφρων· σοὶ δὲ πάντ' ἐστὶν κακά.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οἶδ' οὐκέτ' εἰσί· τοῦτο γάρ σε δήξεται.   1370

ΙΑϹѠΝ

οἶδ' εἰσὶν ὠμοὶ σῷ κάρᾳ μιάστορες.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

ἴσασιν ὅστις ἦρξε πημονῆς θεοί.

ΙΑϹѠΝ

ἴσασι δῆτα — σήν γ' ἀπόπτυστον φρένα.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

στύγει· πικρὰν δὲ βάξιν ἐχθαίρω σέθεν.

ΙΑϹѠΝ

καὶ μὴν ἐγὼ σήν· ῥᾴδιοι δ' ἀπαλλαγαί.   1375

1369. **σώφρων**: *i.e.* not over-passionate. Cp. v. 635 ff. 1370. An abrupt transition. Taunt is answered by taunt, but the subject of the taunt is different. — **γάρ** marks the latter half of the verse as giving the reason for the utterance of the former half. 1371. Cp. v. 1364 for the parallelism in form with the preceding verse. — The meaning is that the avenging spirits called up by the murder of the children (**ἐρινύες**), or perhaps their ghosts, will hound Medea. 1372 f. Another parallelism in re-

tort. — **ἦρξε πημονῆς**: = ἦρξε πη-μαίνων = ἦρξεν ἀδικῶν 'was the first to wrong the other'. 1373. **δῆτα**: 'indeed'. — **ἀπόπτυστον**: with reference to the expression of loathing by spitting upon the ground, a custom still common among Greek peasants. The word is = 'loathsome', 'abhorrent', and is naturally answered by στύγει 'loathe', 'abhor'. 1374. **ἐχθαίρω**: we should say 'scorn'. 1375. **ῥᾴ-διοι κτλ.**: 'but it is easy for us to settle our differences'. The plural suggests mutual relations.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

πῶς οὖν; τί δράσω; κάρτα γὰρ κἀγὼ θέλω.

IACШN

θάψαι νεκρούς μοι τούσδε καὶ κλαῦσαι πάρες.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὐ δῆτ᾽, ἐπεὶ σφᾶς τῇδ᾽ ἐγὼ θάψω χερί
φέρουσ᾽ ἐς Ἥρας τέμενος Ἀκραίας θεοῦ,
ὡς μή τις αὐτοὺς πολεμίων καθυβρίσῃ   1380
τύμβους ἀνασπῶν · γῇ δὲ τῇδε Σισύφου
σεμνὴν ἑορτὴν καὶ τέλη προστάξομεν
τὸ λοιπὸν ἀντὶ τοῦδε δυσσεβοῦς φόνου ·

1376. **θέλω**: sc. ἀπαλλαχθῆναι, as though we had had before ῥᾴδιον δ᾽ ἀπαλλαχθῆναι. Medea wants to be done with Jason forever and leave him behind her in every sense. 1378. **σφᾶς**: emphatic as contrasted with αὐτή in v. 1384. 1379. **φέρουσ᾽**: related to the fut. θάψω as to an aorist denoting the culmination of the participle's action.—The sanctuary of Hera of the Promontory would seem to have been remote and was perhaps on the promontory now called Perachora over against Corinth. The words Ἥρας Ἀκραίας are to be taken as appositive to θεοῦ: cp. θεὰ Κύπρις Hipp. 2. 1381. **ἀνασπῶν**: 'tearing open'.— **γῇ δὲ τῇδε Σισύφου**: cp. v. 404. There seems to be the same contempt for Corinth

here as there. — Euripides here gives the reason for a festival held at Corinth in his own time at which rites were performed in expiation of the death of Medea's children. Euripides was something of an antiquarian and was fond of making his plays explain local cults and usages. So the origin of the cult of Hippolytus at Troezen is explained in *Hipp.* 1423 ff. (quoted below on v. 1383), the origin of the worship of Ἀφροδίτη ἐφ᾽ Ἱππολύτῳ at Athens in *Hipp.* 29–33, the origin of the rites of the Brauronian Artemis in *I.T.* 1449 ff., the origin of serpent amulets for children in *Ion* 21 ff. 1382. Seemingly echoed in *Hipp.* 25 σεμνῶν ἐς ὄψιν καὶ τέλη μυστηρίων. 1383. **τὸ λοιπόν**: 'for the fut So *Hipp.* 33. — **ἀντὶ τοῦδε**

αὐτὴ δὲ γαῖαν εἶμι τὴν Ἐρεχθέως
Αἰγεῖ συνοικήσουσα τῷ Πανδίονος.   1385
σὺ δ', ὥσπερ εἰκός, κατθανῇ κακὸς κακῶς
Ἀργοῦς κάρα σῆς λειψάνῳ πεπληγμένος
πικρὰς τελευτὰς τῶν νέων γάμων ἰδών.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

ἀλλά σ' Ἐρινὺς ὀλέσειε τέκνων
φονία τε Δίκη.   1390

βοῦς φόνου: cp. *Hipp.* 1423, where
Artemis appoints honours for
Hippolytus at Troezen thus: Σοὶ
δ', ὦ ταλαίπωρ', ἀντὶ τῶνδε τῶν
κακῶν | τιμὰς μεγίστας ἐν πόλει
Τροζηνίᾳ | δώσω · κτέ. — Though
the Corinthians had not committed
the 'impious murder', they are
made responsible for it because
it was caused by the plight into
which Medea had been brought
by their king giving his daughter
to Jason to wife. For the old
legend see Introd. p. 39.

1384. γαῖαν τὴν Ἐρεχθέως:
contrasted with γῇ τῇδε Σισύφου
in v. 1381. 1385. συνοικήσουσα:
implying that Medea is to be the
de facto, if not the *de jure*, wife
of Aegeus. 1386. ὥσπερ εἰκός:
sc. ἐστιν. — κακὸς κακῶς: the κα-
κός is fairly otiose, but the Greek
likes to point out how the pen-
alty fits the crime. 1387. For the
legend of Jason's death see p.
42. 1388. Briefly and obscurely
put. Jason, it seems, is to live to

old age (cp. v. 1396), but is to
have no other wife, no other chil-
dren; then he is to be killed by
a fragment of the ship that had
borne away the woman, his faith-
lessness to whom had brought
about all his misery.—With this
speech, in which prophecy is
made to explain local rites, cp.
the speech of Artemis at the close
of the *Hippolytus* and that of
Athena at the close of the *I.T.*
1389-1414. To the measure of the
anapaests the machinery begins to
move that slowly swings Medea,
mounted in her car, out of sight.
She probably disappears after v.
1404. Vv. 1405-1414 keep time
to Jason's exit. Only the Chorus
remain, and the Coryphaeus
chants them out with vv. 1415-
1419. Vv. 1389-1414 thus form
the ἔξοδος of the play in the strictest
and properest sense. 1389. ἀλλά:
'well'. — Ἐρινὺς τέκνων: cp. v.
1371. 1390. φονία Δίκη: *i.e.* the
justice that avenges murder,

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

τίς δὲ κλύει σοῦ θεὸς ἢ δαίμων,
τοῦ ψευδόρκου καὶ ξειναπάτου;

ΙΑϹΩΝ

φεῦ φεῦ, μυσαρὰ καὶ παιδολέτορ.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

στεῖχε πρὸς οἴκους καὶ θάπτ᾽ ἄλοχον.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

στείχω δίσσων γ᾽ ἄμορος τέκνων.   1395

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὔ πω θρηνεῖς · μένε καὶ γήρασ⟨κ᾽⟩.

ΙΑϹΩΝ

ὦ τέκνα φίλτατα.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

μητρί γε, σοὶ δ᾽ οὔ.

1392. **ξειναπάτου**: Medea had been, in a sense, Jason's host at Colchis, and by falsity to her he had made himself a 'host-cheater'. The form is Ionic for ξεναπάτου, which the metre would not have allowed. 1393. Cp. v. 1346. Jason answers taunt with taunt. 1394 f. Another pair of balanced taunts. Medea hints that Jason is chiefly concerned for his dead bride and points to her as the cause of the death of the children. Jason sticks to the reproach of the unnatural murder, though he couches it in terms of his own loss. 1396. **οὔ πω θρηνεῖς**: *i.e.* you have not yet felt the full bitterness of your loss. Cp. *Alc.* 145 οὔ πω τόδ᾽ οἶδε δεσπότης, πρὶν ἂν πάθῃ. — **μένε καὶ γήρασ⟨κ᾽⟩**: it is with the approach of old age that Jason will feel the lack of children to be his γηροβοσκοί. Why he might not marry and have other children Euripides does not say. It was not part of his myth that Jason should. 1397. Jason addresses the dead bodies of the children.

ΙΑϹШΝ

κᾆπειτ' ἔκανες;

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

σέ γε πημαίνουσ'.

ΙΑϹШΝ

ὤ μοι, φιλίου χρῄζω στόματος
παίδων — ὁ τάλας — προσπτύξασθαι.   1400

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

νῦν σφε προσαυδᾷς, νῦν ἀσπάζῃ,
τότ' ἀπωσάμενος.

ΙΑϹШΝ

δός μοι — πρὸς θεῶν —
μαλακοῦ χρωτὸς ψαῦσαι τέκνων.

ΜΗΔΕΙΑ

οὐκ ἔστι· μάτην ἔπος ἔρριπται.

ΙΑϹШΝ

Ζεῦ, τάδ' ἀκούεις, ὡς ἀπελαυνόμεθ'   1405
οἷά τε πάσχομεν ἐκ τῆς μυσαρᾶς

1398. κᾆπειτ': *i.e.* εἰ σοι φίλ-
τατ' ἦν. κᾆπειτα and κᾆτα are both
used with this emphasis of surprise
and indignation. — πημαίνουσ': =
πημῆναι πειρωμένη. 1399 f. Two
phrases are blended, φιλίου χρῄζω
στόματος παίδων and φίλιον χρῄζω
στόμα παιδων προσπτύξασθαι.
1400. προσπτύξασθαι: like French
embrasser in the sense of 'kiss'
(φιλεῖν, κυνεῖν). 1402. τότ': *i.e.*
when you married Glauce. Ja-
son's thrusting away of the chil-
dren is meant figuratively. Fact is
sacrificed to point. 1403. μαλα-
κοῦ χρωτός: he means their hands,
see v. 1412. 1405-1407. Jason
in his extremity invokes Zeus
against Medea. The tables are
thus completely turned. At the

καὶ παιδοφόνου τῆσδε λεαίνης;
ἀλλ', ὁπόσον γ' οὖν πάρα καὶ δύναμαι,
τόδε καὶ θρηνῶ κἀπιθεάζω,
μαρτυρόμενος δαίμονας ὥς μοι   1410
τέκν' ἀποκτείνασ' ἀποκωλύεις
ψαῦσαί τε χεροῖν θάψαι τε νεκρούς,
οὓς μή ποτ' ἐγὼ φύσας ὄφελον
πρὸς σοῦ φθιμένους ἐπιδέσθαι.

ΧΟΡΟΣ

πολλῶν ταμίας Ζεὺς ἐν Ὀλύμπῳ,   1415
πολλὰ δ' ἀέλπτως κραίνουσι θεοί·

beginning of the play it was Medea that was invoking Zeus against Jason. With the terms in which Jason reproaches Medea here cp. vv. 1342, 1346, 1393.

1408. ἀλλ': 'well'. Jason resigns himself to his fate. — πάρα (= πάρεστι) καὶ δύναμαι : 'may and can'. 1409. τόδε : correlative to ὁπόσον and = τόσον. 1410. μαρτυρόμενος δαίμονας : tautological after ἐπιθεάζω. It is a fine irony of fate that Jason now θεοὺς μαρτύρεται οἵας ἀμοιβῆς ἐκ Μηδείας κυρεῖ (cp. v. 22 f.). 1412. νεκρούς : = τεθνεῶτας. 1413. οὕς : for ἅ, sex prevailing over grammatical gender. — ὄφελον : the augment is omitted *metri gratia*. 1414. ἐπιδέσθαι : 'live to see' is generally, as here, the force of this compound. — We should express the force of this and the preceding

line, in which the participle bears the weight of the thought, by, 'Whom would to Heaven I had never begotten only to see them destroyed by your hand!'. The play closes, as it opened, with a prayer, and the two prayers have a certain similarity of form. 1415. It is hard to say whether ταμίας is thought of here rather as 'dispenser' or as 'treasurer'. Taken in connection with the following line, with which it seems to form a pair like the pair of phrases in 1417 f., it should seem that v. 1415 means, 'Many things does Zeus hold in store in Olympus'. He is like the officials so well known to the Athenians as keepers of the state treasury (ταμίαι). The thought was primarily suggested, perhaps, by Homer's two jars that stand at Zeus's

καὶ τὰ δοκηθέντ' οὐκ ἐτελέσθη,
τῶν δ' ἀδοκήτων πόρον ηὗρε θεός·
τοῖον δ' ἀπέβη τόδε πρᾶγμα.   1419

threshold filled with fates for men, some good and some bad (Ω 527 ff.).

1419. τοῖον: *i.e.* ἀδόκητον. — ἀπέβη: 'turned out'.— The concluding verses of *Medea* (1415–1419) appear, with only the difference that πολλαὶ μορφαὶ τῶν δαιμονίων, 'many are the forms of things supernatural', stands in the stead of πολλῶν ταμίας Ζεὺς ἐν Ὀλύμπῳ, at the end of the *Alcestis*, the *Andromache*, the *Helen*, and the *Bacchae*. They are most appropriate to the *Alcestis* and the *Bacchae* — particularly the former. The lines here are certainly not very suitable to the plot of the *Medea*. The concluding anapaests

of the *Hippolytus* (1462–1466) have some similarity with this close in the words, κοινὸν τόδ' ἄχος πᾶσι πολίταις | ἦλθεν ἀέλπτως. | πολλῶν δακρύων ἔσται πίτυλος. The concluding anapaests of the *Heracles* (1427 f.) and of the *Supplices* (1232–1234) have a certain family likeness. The *Tauric Iphigenia*, the *Orestes*, and the *Phoenissae* all end in the Mss. with the anapaests ὦ μέγα σεμνὴ Νίκη, τὸν ἐμὸν | βίοτον κατέχοις | καὶ μὴ λήγοις στεφανοῦσα. How much of this stereotyped tagging of the ends of plays (something like the rather set prologue form at the beginning) is due to Euripides himself no man can say.

APPENDIXES

1. ON THE METRES

Vv. 1–95 are iambic trimeters acatalectic (commonly called simply trimeters), the ordinary dialogue metre of developed Greek tragedy. Like all the trimeters of the *Medea* they are carefully and strictly written and have few three-syllable feet. Thus we have the dactyl in the third place (D 3) in vv. 2, 18, 21, 31, 51; the tribrach in the first place (T 1) in v. 10, in one whole word, as regularly (πατέρα); the tribrach in the fourth place (T 4) in v. 9.

Vv. 96–130 are three anapaestic hypermetra (or systems), vv. 96–110, 111–114, and 115–130. Each hypermetron ends in a paroemiac.

Vv. 131–137 are sung by the Chorus (or the Coryphaeus) and form a proöde to the following strophe (vv. 148–159) and antistrophe (vv. 173–184). They fall into three sequences, thus:

Vv. 131–132 anapaestic dimeter followed by anapaestic monometer.

Vv. 133–136 dactylic hypermetron in tetrapodies, thus:

```
‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑
‒ ‒ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑
‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑
‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑
```

V. 137 trochaic tetrapody, thus:

```
‒ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒
```

Vv. 138–147 are anapaestic hypermetra, vv. 138–143 and 144–147.

Vv. 148–159 are a choral strophe = 173–184, the antistrophe. The strophe falls into four sequences, thus:

Vv. 148–150 (=vv. 173–175) two anapaestic dimeters followed by a monometer.

Vv. 151–154 (= vv. 176–179) logaoedic, thus:

— ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ⏜
— ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ⏜
— ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ⏜
— ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ⏜

Vv. 155–159 (= vv. 180–182) chiefly logaoedic, thus:

⏜ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜   trochaic tripody catalectic.
⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ⏜
— ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ⏜

Vv. 158–159 (= vv. 183–184) logaoedic, thus:

⏜ — ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ — ⏜ — ⏜
⏜ — ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ — ⏜

Vv. 160–172 are three anapaestic hypermetra, vv. 160–167, 168–170, 171–172.

Vv. 173–184 are the antistrophe to vv. 148–159.

Vv. 184ᵃ–203 are an anapaestic hypermetron.

Vv. 204–212 are the epode to the strophic couplet, thus:

204   — ⏜ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ | ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜   dochmius + iambic tripody.
205   ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ⏜   iambic dimeter (or tetrapody), mostly resolved.
206   ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ —   dactylic tetrapody.
207   ∪ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪   trochaic dimeter, partly resolved.
208–210 not, perhaps, certain.
[If the text is sound, the verses should probably be divided thus:

τὰν Ζηνὸς ὁρκίαν θέμιν ἁ
νιν ἔβασεν Ἑλλάδ᾽ ἐς ἀντίπορον,

and be scanned

— ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜   logaoedic.
∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜   logaoedic.]
211   ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ∪ ⏜   iambic dimeter (or tetrapody) with resolutions.
212   ⏜ — ⏜ ∪ ∪ ⏜ ⏜   logaoedic (pherecratean, the familiar close of the glyconic system).

Vv. 214–354 are trimeters. They contain three-syllable feet as follows: T 1, v. 273; T 2 (tribrach in second place), v. 324; T 3 (tribrach in third place), vv. 255, 293, 376; T 4, vv. 224, 324. V. 324, it will be noted, has two three-syllable feet. V. 237 has *caesura media* with the regular elision.

Vv. 358–363 are an anapaestic hypermetron.

Vv. 364–409 are trimeters, containing three-syllable feet as follows: T 1, v. 378; T 2, v. 375; T 3, v. 376; A 1 (anapaest in first place), v. 397.

Vv. 410–445 are a choral ode made up of two strophes with corresponding antistrophes (strophic couplets). The metrical scheme of the strophes is as follows:

Vv. 410–420 = 421–430.

410 ⏒ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒
411 ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒
412 ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ‒ ‒ ⏑ ‒
413 ‒ ⏑̇ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏒

414 ‒ ⏑ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒
415 ⏑ ⏑ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒

416 ‒ ⏑ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ‒
420 ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ‒ ‒ — ‒ ⏑ ‒ —

There seem to be three sequences, as indicated by the spaces. The metre is logaoedic save in v. 416, which is a trochaic trimeter (or hexapody) catalectic. The varying quantities indicate the differences between strophe and antistrophe. The first half of v. 410 is specially marked as being a movement that occurs quite frequently in this play and which may have had the same musical accompaniment at each occurrence. It is a fine example of an ascending rhythm. It is similarly marked in the sequel. Note that v. 412 is metrically v. 411 backwards.

Vv. 431–438 = 439–445.

431 ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒
432 ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ —

433 ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒
435 — ‒ ⏑ ⏑ ‒ ⏑ ‒ ‒

436   — ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
437   ⏒ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
438   ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ⏓

There seem to be two sequences, as indicated. The metre is logaoedic.

Vv. 446–626 are trimeters. Three-syllable feet occur as follows: D 3, vv. 455, 502, 504, 509, 547, 554, 557, 578, 607; T 2, vv. 483, 497; T 3, vv. 481, 580, 594; T 4, vv. 479, 505, 508, 572, 579, 597; A 1, v. 486.

It should be noted with what fine artistic effect the comparatively frequent three-syllable feet are employed in Medea's powerful and passionate speech vv. 465–519.

Vv. 627–662 are a choral ode of two strophic couplets. The metrical schemes of the strophes are as follows:

Vv. 627–634 = 635–642.

627   ⏒ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓
628   — ⏓ ∪ ⏓ — ⏓ ∪ ⏓
629   ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
630   ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
631   ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ —
632   ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓
633   — ⏓ ∪ ⏓ — ⏓ ∪ ⏓
634   — ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏒

The metre is logaoedic and iambic. Vv. 628 and 634 are iambic dimeters catalectic. V. 633 is the same acatalectic. The type of verse employed in vv. 629 and 630 is repeated in the latter halves of vv. 631 and 632 (the second time with catalexis), each time with ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓ prefixed; cp. v. 411. On v. 630 see the Appendix on the Text.

Vv. 643–651 = 652–662.

643   ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓
644   ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
645   ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓
646   ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ⏓ ⏓
647   ⏒ ⏓ ∪ ∪ ⏓ ∪ ∪

648　∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± ∪ ± ±
649　± ∪ — ± ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ±
650　± — ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ±
651　± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± ±

These verses seem to fall into three sequences. In the first the metre is iambic, partly in the form of choriambi; in the second it is logaoedic and iambic (v. 646); in the third it is logaoedic, the last two verses being a very brief glyconic system made up of a second glyconic and a first pherecratean.

Vv. 663–758 are trimeters, containing three-syllable feet as follows: D 3, vv. 706, 710, 746, 752; T 1, v. 697; T 2, v. 734; T 3, v. 684; A 1, vv. 692, 710. V. 710 has two three-syllable feet.

Vv. 759–763 are an anapaestic hypermetron.

Vv. 764–823 are trimeters containing three-syllable feet as follows: T 3, v. 781; T 4, vv. 783, 796.

Vv. 824–865 are a choral ode with two strophic couplets. The scansion of the strophes is as follows:

Vv. 824–834 = 835–845.

824　⏖ ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± ∪ ±
825　± ∪ ± — ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ±
826　— ± ∪ ± — ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ±
827　± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ± — ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ±
830　— ± ∪ ∪ ± ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ± ±
831　± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ± — ± ∪ ± ±
834　± — ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± —

The metre is logaoedic. Note the recurrent motive of v. 410 in vv. 824, 826, 827.

Vv. 846–855 = 856–865.

846　— ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ∪ ±
847　± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ±
848　± ∪ ± ∪ ± ±
849　— ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± ±
850　— ± ∪ ∪ ± ∪ ± ⏕
851　— ± ∪ ∪ ± — ±

852 — ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́
853 — ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́
854 — ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́
855 ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́

There seem to be two sequences here. The first is logaoedic with the exception of v. 848, which is trochaic. V. 846 is the recurrent motive and is used here, as in the first instance, of water.

The second sequence is also logaoedic and ends with the familiar *versus adonius* ("terruit urbem").

Vv. 866–975 are trimeters with three-syllable feet as follows: D 3, vv. 872, 957 ; T 1, v. 896 ; T 4, v. 960.

Vv. 976–1001 are a choral ode of two strophic couplets. The scheme of the strophes is as follows:

Vv. 976–981 = 982–988.

976 — ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́
977 ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ —
978 ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ —
979 ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́

980 ⏑́ — ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ — ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́
981 ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ | ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́

There seem to be two sequences. The first is logaoedic. The sequence begins with the recurring motive. The second sequence is logaoedic (v. 980) and trochaic (dimeter catalectic) with prefixed cretic.

Vv. 989–995 = 996–1001.

989	∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́	logaoedic.
990	∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́	iambic dimeter catalectic.
991	⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́	ithyphallicus.
992	∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́	anapaestic.
993	∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ∪ ⏑́	logaoedic (= 989).
995	— ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́	⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ∪ ⏑́ ⏑́	iambic monometer + ithyphallicus.

The metre is, as indicated, logaoedic, iambic, and trochaic.

Vv. 1002–1079 are trimeters.   Three-syllable feet occur as follows: D 3, vv. 1003, 1037, 1065 ; T 2, v. 1046.   On the division between two speakers of v. 1009 see the Commentary.

Vv. 1081–1115 are anapaests in four hypermetra, vv. 1081–1089, 1090–1097, 1098–1104ᵃ, 1105–1115.

Vv. 1116–1250 are trimeters. Three-syllable feet occur as follows : D 3, vv. 1158, 1160, 1192 ; T 3, v. 1192 ; T 4, v. 1176.

Vv. 1251–1292 are a commos. Vv. 1251–1270 may have been sung by the whole chorus ; vv. 1273–1292 seem to have been delivered by the coryphaeus and by the boys (or an actor representing them) behind the scenes. The death of the boys prevents their taking part in the antistrophe — an unique and effective dramatic stroke. There are two strophic couplets, vv. 1251–1260 = 1261–1270 and vv. 1273–1281 = 1282–1292, the latter couplet forming the commos in the stricter sense of the term. The metrical scheme of the strophes is as follows :

Vv. 1251–1260 = 1261–1270.

1251	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmius + cretic.
1252	‒ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1253	∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	" "
1254	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	" "
1255	‒ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒	dochmius + cretic (paean).
1256	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1257	∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmius.
1258	‒ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1259	∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	" "
1260	∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	" "

Vv. 1273–1281 = 1282–1292.

1273	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1274	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	" "
1271	iambic trimeter.	
1272	" "	
1274	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1275	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmius.
1276	iambic trimeter.	
1277	" "	
1279	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.
1280	∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ∪ ∪ ∪	dochmius + cretic (paean), the last syllable being anceps.
1281	∪ ∪ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ∪ ‒ ‒ ∪ ‒	dochmiac dimeter.

This is a good example of dochmiac metre and shews its highly emotional character (ἦθος).

Vv. 1293–1388 are trimeters with three-syllable feet as follows : D 3, vv. 1322, 1332, 1348, 1355, 1379, 1380 ; T 2, v. 1347 ; T 4, vv. 1305, 1322, 1341. Here, again, we have in v. 1322, as in vv. 324 and 710, two three-syllable feet in one trimeter. These are the only examples in the play.

Vv. 1389–1419 are anapaests in two hypermetra, one of which is divided between Medea and Jason (vv. 1389–1414) — divided even to the extent of assigning the halves of a dimeter to different speakers (vv. 1397, 1398, 1402), whereas the other (vv. 1415–1419) is delivered by the coryphaeus. On the latter passage see the Commentary.

The unique form of the parodos of this play is to be noted. With it should be compared, as steps in the developement, the parodi of Aeschylus's *Supplices* and *Persae* and Sophocles's *Ajax* and *Antigone*. This remark has special reference to the use of the anapaests.

2. ON THE TEXT

a. THE MANUSCRIPT TESTIMONY

The most scientific and accurate critical edition as yet published of the extant plays of Euripides, exclusive of the fragments, that of Prinz and Wecklein (*Euripidis Fabulae. Ediderunt R. Prinz et N. Wecklein. Lipsiae in aedibus B. G. Teubneri*) was completed in 1902 by the issue of the sixth part of vol. III containing the (spurious) *Rhesus*. The *Medea* appeared, edited by Prinz alone, as vol. I, pars I, in 1878 ; the second edition, by Wecklein, appeared in 1899. In the matter of manuscripts the principles which govern this great edition of Euripides are primarily due to Adolf Kirchhoff's famous critical edition of 1855 (2 vols., Berlin, Reimer). Professor Kirchhoff had previously published a critical edition of the *Medea* (Berlin, Hertz, 1852). Kirchhoff is justly

to be regarded as the father of the systematic study of the text of Euripides. In his edition of 1855 he distinguished two classes of Euripidean codices, one of which he held to represent an ancient edition of nine plays (*Hecuba, Orestes, Phoenissae, Medea, Hippolytus, Alcestis, Andromache, Troades*, and the spurious *Rhesus*); the other, an edition of the nineteen plays (including the *Rhesus*) that have survived. To the codices of the former class, especially to *Codex Marcianus* 471 (which he called A) in the library of San Marco at Venice and to *Codex Vaticanus* 909 (which he called B) in the Vatican library, both of which codices were written in the twelfth century, Kirchhoff assigned much greater authority than he did to the codices of the second class. Of this latter class the chief representatives are two: *Codex Laurentianus* 32, 2 (fourteenth century, called by Kirchhoff *Florentinus*) and *Codex Palatinus* 287 (fourteenth century). The former is preserved in the Biblioteca Mediceo-Laurenziana at Florence, the latter in the Vatican library. Von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff (in his *Analecta Euripidea*) affirmed that both these codices are directly derived from a lost codex written not earlier than the twelfth century. From this he thought that the *Codex Laurentianus* was copied early in the fourteenth century, the *Codex Palatinus* towards the end of the same century (*op. cit.* pp. 3–9).

Later investigation has made it quite plain that Kirchhoff was wrong in assigning as great authority as he did to the codices of the former of his two classes. August Nauck in his *Euripideische Studien* (two parts, St. Petersburg, 1859 and 1862) had occasion to notice the superiority of the text of the Laurentian and Palatine codices (cp. *e.g.* II, p. 63). Von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff wrote (*op. cit.* p. 2, note 2): "In Alcestide et aliquotiens in Troadibus codicibus PC [the Palatine and the Laurentian, Kirchhoff's *BC*] vere fidem a Kirchhoffio derogatam restituit Nauckius". Prinz in the preface to his edition of the *Medea* (see above) wrote thus (p. ix): " Pretium duarum classium non prorsus par est, cum numerus vitiorum et interpolationum primae classis minor sit, :

secunda classis non multo deterior ac nequaquam hercle contem-
nenda est ". What we have, in fact, as it now appears, in
Kirchhoff's two classes of Euripidean codices are the surviving
representatives (in a garbled form, it is true) of two very ancient
forms of the text, or a part of the text, of Euripides's plays. The
two forms would appear to be as early as Ennius's time (*i.e.* to
go back at least to the time of the Byzantine scholars) ; for in *αλ.α.*
Medea 58 Ennius seems clearly (see Introduction, p. 51) to have
had before him the (corrupt) text of the second class of codices
and in v. 215 to have followed the same text.

The study of the second class of codices has been advanced
since the issue of Prinz's edition of the *Medea* (1878) by the
demonstration by Vitelli (see Wecklein's Praefatio to the new
critical edition of the *Medea*, p. viii) that the codex *P* (as the
Palatine will hereafter be designated, with Prinz and Wecklein)
was derived in the *Medea* not from the same archetype as *L* (the
Laurentian) but from *P* itself. Though this view, with its natural
corollary, was opposed (see Hayley's *Alcestis*, p. xxxvi ff.), it has
been most searchingly examined by Wecklein and apparently con-
firmed. Wecklein's conclusion (Praefatio, p. ix) is that " liber *P* ex
codice *L* derivatus in fabulis quas altera codicum familia habet et
in Bacchis ex altera familia nunc deperditis correctiones et supple-
menta accepit et nisi in Bacchis nullam propriam ad recensendas
fabulas habet vim nisi quod prima manus libri *L* eis locis quos
manus correctrices mutaverunt saepe ex libro *P* certius cognosci
potest ". The symbol, therefore, that Prinz had used to represent
the inferred reading of the archetype of *L* and *P* is used by Weck-
lein (and in this I follow him) to represent the agreement of the
codices *L* and *P* in any reading. The symbol might be used more
often than it is, if the inaccuracies of the scribe of *P* were to be
disregarded.

In the critical notes on my text (see below) I follow faithfully,
in citing and quoting the codices, Wecklein's critical notes. The
following table, derived from the Praefationes of the Prinz-Weck-
lein critical edition of the *Medea,* will make plain the symbols

used and give information about the other codices cited and
quoted besides those that have been already referred to.

B = Codex Vaticanus 909 (Kirchhoff's B; see above).
> B^1 = the first hand. b = the second and third hands.
> b^s = a reading written over the original reading in B.
E = Codex Parisinus 2712 (thirteenth century; contains, besides
>   seven plays of Sophocles and Aristophanes respectively,
>   Euripides's *Hecuba, Orestes, Phoenissae, Andromache, Me-
>   dea,* and *Hippolytus*; belongs, with B, to the first class of
>   codices).
> E^1 = the first hand. E^2 = the second hand.

a = Codex Parisinus 2713 (thirteenth century, elegantly and
>   clearly written).
> a^1 = the first hand. a^2 = the second hand. a^3 = sev-
>   eral more modern hands.

This beautiful codex, which I examined for the text of the
Alcestis and *Phoenissae* in 1894, is ranked by Kirchhoff (vol. I,
p. v f.) among the representatives of a Byzantine grammarian's
edition of the text of the first class of codices. But this is an
unjust estimate. *a* contains valuable readings found in no other
codex. Prinz's view that *a* holds a sort of middle place between
the codices of the former and those of the latter class ("medium
quendam locum tenet *a*") is probably about the truth.

S = the consensus of the two following codices that represent the
>   second class (see above):
L = Codex Laurentianus 32, 2.
> L^1 = the first hand. l = a more modern hand.
P = Codex Palatinus 287.
> P^1 = the first hand. p = a more modern hand.

To these codices are to be added the following:
F = *Codex* Marcianus 31, 15 (fourteenth century; cont

among other things, Euripides's *Hecuba, Orestes, Phoenissae, Medea* 1–42 [together with the hypotheses] ; shews a mixed text).

d = Codex Florentinus 31, 15 (fourteenth century; contains Euripides's *Hippolytus, Medea, Alcestis*, and *Andromache*).

c = Codex Florentinus 31, 10 (fourteenth century; contains, besides the extant plays of Sophocles, Euripides's *Hecuba, Orestes, Medea, Phcenissae, Alcestis, Andromache, Hippolytus,* and the *Rhesus* ; corrected by a Byzantine grammarian).

These two codices are classed by Kirchhoff (vol. I, p. vi f.) with *a*, which they are said to resemble closely.

C = Codex Havniensis 417 (at Copenhagen, whence the name ; fifteenth century ; contains the *Medea, Hecuba, Orestes, Phoenissae, Hippolytus, Alcestis, Andromache, Troades,* and the *Rhesus* ; derived from the same source as *B*, but contaminated and interpolated).

Von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff (*Analecta Euripides*, p. 2, note 2) treats this codex with contempt ("arbitror librum illum omni auctoritate carere "), but it sometimes alone bears witness to the right reading.

h = Codex Hierosolymitanus, a palimpsest of the tenth century at Jerusalem containing parts of the *Orestes, Hippolytus, Medea* (76–255), *Phoenissae, Hecuba, Andromache.* Its noteworthy readings and some lines in facsimile are given by Papadópoulos-Kerameús in his Ἱεροσολυμιτικὴ Βιβλιοθήκη, St. Petersburg, 1891, I, pp. 108–112. It appears to approach most nearly to *B*.

It has been noted above that Ennius in the extant fragments of his *Medea* bears witness about the Greek text in a not unimportant way. A purer text than that of the codices was that referred to by the Scholia, as may be seen in several places in the critical notes. The text followed by the writer of. ʰᵉⁿˢ

(Χριστὸς Πάσχων) (see Introduction, p. 30) was often older and purer, it would seem, than any other known to us directly (see the critical notes, and especially on vv. 790 and 1317). That text was, it seems (if it was a single one), nearer to that of *S*, and in some places may represent the purer form of the text represented in the codices by *S*. At v. 737 it seems to stand alone with *a*; at v. 743 alone with *C*. (Cp. Brambs's Teubner edition, 1885, which I follow. See also his Praefatio, p. 7.) Though the text of Euripides was perhaps most seriously corrupted in the period between the author's death and the time of the orator Lycurgus (see Haigh, *The Attic Theatre*, p. 100 [second edition]), yet it is not true that the corruption of the text by the substitution of synonymes and the like belonged entirely to that period. (Cp. the scholion on v. 739.) But the antiquity of corruptions in the text may be seen not only from what we know of Didymus's text (see critical note on v. 739), but also from the two following sources — our oldest direct witnesses to the text of the *Medea*. The Papyrus Didot (see Weil, *Un Papyrus inédit du Louvre*, Paris, 1879, and Blass, *Rhein. Mus.*, new series, 35, 82 f.) of the third century B.C., which contains *Medea* 5–12, written apparently by some ignorant person, shews δέρος in v. 5, but it also shews the corrupt ἀρίστων in the same vs. The mutilated papyrus fragment of the third century A.D. of *Medea* vv. 710–715 lately discovered at Oxyrhynchus (see *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri*, part III, London, 1903, p. 103) seems to contain an ancient error in v. 713 (see the critical note *in loc.*).

It may be noted here that a valuable addition and, in a sense, commentary to Wecklein's critical apparatus is to be found in his *Beiträge zur Kritik des Euripides*, published in five parts in the *Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-philologischen und der historischen Classe der K. b. Akademie der Wissenschaften zu München* for the years 1895–1899 (cited as *Beiträge* in the notes on the text). Here may also be named Wecklein's *Studien Euripides* in the 7th *Supplementband* of the *Jahrb. f. Philologie*, pp. 307–448.

b. The Editions

In 1867–1868 Professor Kirchhoff published an editio minor (Berlin, Weidmann), which has the advantage of following Dindorf's numbering of the lines. The only other editions as yet completed (of Murray's new Oxford critical text edition only the first volume, containing *Cyclops, Alcestis, Medea, Heraclidae, Andromache, Hecuba* has appeared) of the extant plays of Euripides, besides the Prinz-Wecklein edition, cited above, that belongs strictly speaking to what may be called the Kirchhoffian period of Euripidean study are those of F. A. Paley and W. Dindorf. Paley's edition with English introductions and commentary appeared in three volumes, London, 1858 and 1860 (second edition, 1872, 1874, 1880). The edition is not without value, but is on the whole disappointing. Dindorf's edition forms the third part of the fifth edition (1869) of his *Poetae Scenici Graeci* (Leipsic, Teubner). Practically to the Kirchhoffian period belongs the text edition of August Nauck (Leipsic, Teubner, 1854; second edition, 1858; third edition, 1871). The first volume contains a valuable treatise, *De Euripidis Vita Poesi Ingenio* (see Introduction, p. 31). The third volume contains the fragments (of which the edition *par excellence* is Nauck's *Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta*, second edition, Leipsic, 1889). Nauck's important *Euripideische Studien* may be named again here (I. 106–139 deals with the *Medea*). Very important in this period is Weil's *Sept tragédies d'Euripide* (Paris, 1868; second edition, 1879; third edition of *Medea*, 1899) with French introductions, critical notes, and commentary. A small edition of Weil's *Medea* was prepared for school use by Dalmeyda (Paris, 1896). Of separate editions of the *Medea* we have in this period a considerable number, which may be briefly mentioned as follows. In 1871 appeared Bauer's small school edition with German notes (Munich); in 1873 Hogan's school edition (London and Edinburgh), a work of small value, and Wecklein's school edition with German introduction, commentary, al   odix (Leipsic, Teubner;

second edition, 1880; third edition, 1891), an admirable piece
of work. In 1876 Paley issued a small school edition (London)
and F. D. Allen likewise a small exegetical edition useful for
young students but of little independent value. In 1881 appeared
Mr. A. W. Verrall's brilliant and valuable but somewhat erratic
edition (London). The same scholar issued a small edition, con-
taining some matter not in the larger edition, in 1883 (London).
In 1886 Siegfried Mekler, who had published a valuable little
volume of *Euripidea* at Vienna in 1879, issued at Gotha (in the
Bibliotheca Gothana) a school edition of the *Medea* with brief
German notes; and Th. Barthold, whose *Kritisch-Exegetische
Untersuchungen zu des Euripides Medea und Hippolytus* (Ham-
burg, 1887) are important for our play, issued his critical text
edition, the metrical schemes of which are by W. v. Christ (Prague
and Leipsic). In the same year appeared at Berlin (Weidmann)
Hans v. Arnim's *Medea* with German commentary (including
some notes by von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff) and an over-brief
critical appendix, at Oxford C. B. Heberden's little edition (re-
issued in 1891). The latter is without independent value. In
1887 K. Kuiper published his edition of the *Medea* (Leyden,
Brill) with brief commentary in Dutch and a valuable Latin ad-
notatio critica. The Greek edition, of some critical value, of
G. M. Sakorráphos appeared at Athens in 1891. Another Greek
exegetical and critical edition of the *Medea* (very conservative in
the treatment of the text) appeared, together with the *Hecuba*
and *Hippolytus*, in the second volume of D. N. Bernardákes's
edition of Euripides at Athens in 1894. (The first volume, a huge
edition of the *Phoenissae* with προλεγόμενα, had been issued in
1888.) Professor Clinton E. S. Headlam's *Medea* (Cambridge,
1897) is careful and scholarly, though it offers little that is new.
A revised edition of Allen's *Medea* by Professor C. H. Moore
appeared at Boston in 1900. The latest edition of the *Medea*
known to me is the text edition of Oskar Altenburg, published at
Leipsic in 1902. This editor follows Wecklein and Bart
but with independence of judgement in constituting the

Blaydes's *Adversaria Critica in Euripidem* (Halle, 1901) should also be mentioned here. Before reverting to the earlier editions the recent publications of the Italian scholar L. A. Michelangeli may be noted here. Michelangeli's *Saggio di note critiche al testo della Medea di Euripide* and his *Note critiche alla Medea di Euripide* (two series) were issued at Messina in 1898, 1900, and 1902 ; and his Italian translation (*La Medea di Euripide Volgarizzamento in prosa*), based on his revision of the text and close enough to shew what that text is, appeared at Bologna in 1901. W. Dindorf's edition of the scholia appeared at Oxford (4 vols.) in 1863 ; Schwartz's edition at Berlin in 1887.

Though Kirchhoff's great critical edition of 1855 (or rather his edition of the *Medea* of 1852) marks the beginning of the systematic critical study of Euripides, the modern period of Euripidean study begins one hundred years earlier with the publication of Valckenaer's edition of the *Phoenissae* at Franeker in 1755, — or, perhaps, rather with the publication at Leipsic in 1754 of Reiske's *Animadversiones ad Euripidem et Aristophanem.* Valckenaer followed up his *Phoenissae* with his famous *Diatribe in Euripidis deperditorum dramatum reliquias* (Leyden, 1767) and an edition of the *Hippolytus* (*ibid.*, 1768). Heath's *Notae sive Lectiones ad Tragicorum Graecorum veterum Aeschyli, Sophoclis, Euripidis, quae supersunt, dramata, deperditorumque Reliquias* was issued at the Clarendon Press, Oxford, in 1762. In the same year Samuel Musgrave published at Leyden his *Exercitationes in Euripidem*, a forerunner of his edition of Euripides, Oxford, 1778 (4 vols.). In 1779 Brunck published at Strasburg his *Aeschyli Tragoediae Prometheus Persae et Septem ad Thebas, Sophoclis Antigone, Euripidis Medea.* A valuable review of the *Medea* in these two publications appeared in Wyttenbach's *Bibliotheca Critica*, vol. II, pars I, Amsterdam, 1780, pp. 36–76. An important year in the annals of Euripidean study is 1797, when Richard Porson (Richard the Third among the great Cambridge Hellenists) issued at London his edition of the *Hecuba.* The edition was attack ᴜ a nearly contemporaneous publication,

Gilbert Wakefield's hasty *Diatribe Extemporalis in Euripidis Hecubam* (London, 1797). Porson proposed Wakefield's health with a Shakespearian quotation : " I'll give you my friend Gilbert Wakefield ; 'What is Hecuba to him, or he to Hecuba?' ". (See Kidd's *Tracts and Miscellaneous Criticisms of the Late Richard Porson, Esq.*, London, 1815, p. lxxii.) Porson's *Hecuba* marks an epoch in the study of the dialogue metres of Greek tragedy. What had been put too briefly in the first edition appeared at length in the second edition of the *Hecuba* (Cambridge, 1802). Porson's *Medea* appeared first at Cambridge in 1801. I have used the edition of 1808 and Scholefield's third edition of 1851 (with the *Hecuba*, *Orestes*, and *Phoenissae*). The great Oxford Hellenist Peter Elmsley, the worthy successor of Porson in England, published his *Medea* in 1818 at Oxford. It is one of the most thorough, accurate, and scholarly editions of a Greek text ever made. I have used the second (posthumous) Oxford edition of 1828 (issued together with the second edition of Elmsley's *Heraclidae*), which has appended to it Gottfried Hermann's *Annotationes ad Medeam ab Elmsleio editam*, extracted from the English *Classical Journal.* Elmsley was surely Hermann's master at that time. The *Annotationes* may also be found in the third volume of Hermann's *Opuscula*. The other editions of Euripides's extant plays and of the *Medea* that belong to this period may be more briefly mentioned. Matthiae's edition of Euripides (Latin notes) appeared at Leipsic in nine volumes, 1813–1829 (a tenth volume, containing the Greek index, by Kampmann in 1837). The great Glasgow variorum edition of Euripides of 1821 (9 vols.), containing, besides much else, Valckenaer's *Diatribe* and Porson's *Supplementum ad Praefationem*, is a most valuable repertory of Euripidean scholarship to the time of its publication. Bothe's two-volume edition of Euripides (Latin notes) was issued at Leipsic in 1825 and 1826. Hermann's edition (Latin notes), never completed and not embracing the *Medea*, was issued at Leipsic, 1831–1841. Pflugk and Klotz's edition (Latin notes) was begun by Pflugk in 1829, but Wecklein's new edition of Klotz's

Hercules Furens appeared in 1877. Klotz's third edition of the *Medea* appeared in 1867. A sort of forerunner of Wilhelm Dindorf's edition of Euripides in the *Poetae Scenici* of 1869 (the first edition of which work appeared in 1830) was Ludwig Dindorf's edition of 1825 (2 vols., Leipsic). Other editions by Dindorf (as the Oxford edition of 1860) contain a Latin commentary. Fix's edition (Greek and Latin) published by Didot, Paris, 1843, contains a discussion of the dates of the plays, a subject to which Hermann Zirndorfer's prize dissertation *Chronologia Fabularum Euripidearum*, Marburg, 1839, is a contribution worthy of mention. The discussion of this period of Euripidean study may be closed here by the mention of Hartung's Greek and German edition of Euripides, Leipsic, 1848–1878 (*Medea*, 1848 and 1878) ; Schöne's edition of the *Medea*, Berlin, 1853 ; and Witzschel's text edition of Euripides, Leipsic, Tauchnitz, 1855–1857.

The earliest period of modern Euripidean study, from the first printing of any portion of Euripides to the eighteenth century, may be summed up briefly as follows. About 1496 (the book is undated) the Greek scholar Janus Lascaris edited four plays of Euripides (*Medea, Hippolytus, Alcestis, Andromache*) at Florence. The book (to which I have not had access) is printed in capitals and the copies vary. It is extremely rare. According to Kirchhoff (ed. mai. I, p. xi) Lascaris used a fifteenth century (" sec. XVI. ineuntis ", *ibid.* p. x, note, is plainly an error for " sec. XV. ineuntis ") copy of the Laurentian (which copy is now Parisinus 2888) and in the *Medea* also Paris. 2818. Aldus's edition, Venice, 1503 (" editio Aldina "), 2 vols., contained eighteen plays (the *Electra* not being included). This edition followed for the most part the Palatine codex, but took account of the readings of the editio princeps of Lascaris in the plays which that contained. The *Helena* and *Hercules Furens* were added from a copy of the Laurentian (now Paris. 2817). Aldus's editor appears to have been the Cretan Marcus Musurus. It is interesting to observe that the earliest editions followed the *S* class of codices. Next come the three editions published by Hervagius, Basle, 1537.

1544, 1551. The first is a reprint of the Aldine, the second a reprint of the first with some changes. In the third (said to be otherwise a reprint of the second) the *Electra* appears for the first time as part of a collected edition — unless, perhaps, this honour belongs to the Brubach edition, which was issued, apparently, about the same time as the third Hervagian, but without date, at Frankfort. The *Electra* had been first published by Victorius at Rome in 1545 (a second edition the next year). The scholia were published by Hervagius (ed. Arsenius) in 1544. The next edition was that of Stiblinus, Basle, Oporinus, 1562 — the first Graeco-Latin Euripides, containing, besides the editor's notes, Micyllus's collectanea on the life of Euripides and some notes by Brodaeus. This was followed by Canter's little edition issued at the Plantin press, Antwerp, 1571, and containing emendations by the brilliant editor. An edition exhibiting for the first time the spurious fragment of the *Danaë* and containing notes by Aemilius Portus and a revision by the same of Stiblinus's Latin version was issued by Commelin at Heidelberg in 1597. The edition of Paulus Stephanus, a compilation of the earlier editions beginning with the third Hervagian, appeared at Geneva (though no place is indicated on the title-page) in 1602. In 1694 Joshua Barnes published an edition of Euripides at Cambridge, containing, besides the scholia, a Latin translation and notes (including some by Scaliger, on the source of which see Barnes's Index in Scholia et Annotationes *s.v.* Scaliger), a scanty collection of the fragments (first satisfactorily treated by Valckenaer in his *Diatribe*), and the letters attributed to Euripides. About the letters Barnes, whose "great perseverance" was coupled with an "incredible want of judgement", had an amusing controversy with the great Bentley, who shewed excellent reasons for regarding the letters as forgeries. (See Monk's *Life of Bentley*, I, p. 53.) Barnes's edition was republished with additions (including, among other things, Musgrave's notes and Beck's index verborum), at Leipsic in 3 vols., 1778, 1779, 1788. This brings us into the second period of the history of the printed text of Euripides. Τοῖον δ' ἀπέβη τόδε πρᾶγμα.

[For the bibliography of Euripides from 1496 to 1830 see especially the first part of F. L. A. Schweiger's *Handbuch der classischen Bibliographie*, Leipsic, 1830.]

c. NOTES ON THE TEXT OF THIS EDITION

In the following notes on the text that I have adopted the Greek words that follow the sign : are either the reading of the manuscript authorities, when only a reading with an editor's or other scholar's name precedes the sign ; or the reading of the rest of the manuscript authorities, when one or more such are cited before the sign ; or the reading of certain authorities, when such are cited with the reading that follows the sign.

I have tried to furnish information useful to students of Euripides by indicating, so far as it was necessary and possible, the places where the scattered corrections of the text are to be found. That I have not been more fully successful in this is due to the wide dispersion of the material. In some cases I have relied solely on Wecklein's apparatus. I note here that Scaliger is quoted by me from Barnes (see above), Reiske and Heath (see above) from the Glasgow variorum edition, Tyrwhitt from the edition of his *Conjecturae in Euripidem* appended to the Leipsic ed. of 1823 of Valckenaer's *Hippolytus*.

2. Perhaps Κόλχων ἐς Αἶαν 'to Aea of the Colchians'. So Fuldner (*Adnotationes in Euripidis Medeae prologum.* Marburg, 1855, p. 6) and, hesitantly, Weil⁸ ("Peut-être Αἶαν. Cp. Hérodote, I. 2, *passim*."). That the interpretation is old is shewn by the scholion on the words cited : πόλις ἐν Σκυθίᾳ οὕτω καλουμένη.

5. ἀριστ(έ)ων Wakefield (at the end of the third vol. of his Lucretius): ἀρίστων. The same blunder occurs *Alc.* 921 (*corr.* Dobree). See further Porson and Elmsley on the present passage. — ὥρος papyrus Didot, *L*, Eustathius on Il. p. 600: δέρας. Porson first printed δέρος here.

12. First rightly explained by Weil.

13. ἀπὸ Sakorraphos and Earle (see *Class. Rev.* IX. 336): ἀπὸ τῆ.

16. καίρια (for φίλτατα) Chr. Pat. 37, which may well be what Euripides wrote.

18. λέκτροις Nauck (according to Wecklein): γάμοις.

21. τε Lenting and Elmsley ("olim"): δὲ. What the author of Chr. Pat. read (see his v. 51) cannot be determined; for he avoids three-syllable feet and therefore gives ἀνακᾱλεῖ (sic) δεξιάς. — δεξιᾶς (*BEFL*) is commonly read for δεξιάς.

24. ἀλγηδόνι is the reading of *a* and the Schol. on v. 97. But 'pains', not 'grief', seems to be the meaning; see the Commentary and cp. v. 1029.

30. κάρα (for δέρην [also Chr. Pat. 974]) *L*.

31. ἀποιμώξῃ *F* (ἀποιμώζει *BE*): ἀποιμώξῃ.

32. Wecklein's suggested ἀπῴχετο for ἀφίκετο (footnote in ed. crit.) may be right. (ἀφίκετο also Chr. Pat. 946.)

34. συμφορῶν (for συμφορᾶς) Chr. Pat. 53, 950.

35. The reading of Chr. Pat. 54 is due to a gloss on οἷον.

37. For βουλεύσῃ (also read by the author of the Chr. Pat. [1075, 1172]) I am inclined to think βουλεύει should be read. — νέον *ESb*ᵃ and Chr. Pat. 489, 1075, 1172: κακόν.

38–43. For a defence of these verses, deleted by several scholars in whole or in part (cp. Wecklein), see *Transactions of the Am. Philological Association* 30, p. 16.

39. ἐγῷδα, τῇδε Verrall: ἐγῷδα τήνδε.

42, 41. The transposition was made by Lenting and suggested as possible, but not adopted, by Elmsley.

45. ἄσεται Muretus (*Variae Lectiones* III. xi): οἴσεται.

57. καὶ πόλῳ (for κούρανῷ) Chr. Pat. 57 and 787 — perhaps rightly.

58. μολοῦσαν (for μολούσῃ) *Lb*ᵃ — apparently by conjecture. See the Commentary. — Μηδείας (for δεσποίνης) Ennius (see Introduction, p. 51), *B* ("ἢ δεσποίνης superscr. *B*¹"), *S*.

68. παλαίτεροι Chr. Pat. 1181 (θώκους προσελθὼν ἔνθα δὴ παλαίτεροι), Verrall: παλαίτατοι.

71. μέλλοι *BE*: μέλλει.

73. τάδε Chr. Pat. 1186: τόδε ("ά supr. ό scr. *b*").

77. ἐκεῖνα and φίλα Tournier (*Exercices critiques de la conférence de philologie grecque de l'École pratique des hautes études* (1ᵉʳ août 1872– 1ᵉʳ août 1875), Paris, 1875, p. 102): ἐκεῖνος and φίλος (also Chr. Pat. 1195).

80. τάδε *S*: τόδε.

84. κακός γ' *S*: κακός.

86. Elmsley proposed τῶν πέλας, referring to the Scholia (which see). Perhaps this is right.

87. Rejected by Brunck (cp. the Scholia). The sense would be 'some unselfishly, others even selfishly' (cp. *Heracl.* 2–5). The verse is plainly unsuitable to the context, though it may be by Euripides and derived from another play by way of a marginal parallel. The original form may well, as Reiske thought, have had τοῦ in place of καί.

89. ἔστω Koíčala (*Studien zu Euripides*, Vienna, 1879, p. 6): ἔσται.

96. δύστανος *Ea*: δύστηνος.

98. μάτηρ (for μήτηρ) *S*.

100. σπεύσατε (for σπεύδετε) *S*. But the children are already on their way.

106. γὰρ γῆς Earle: δ' ἀρχῆς *B* (" ἐξ sup. ἀρ. scr. *B*¹ "), δ' ἐξ ἀρχῆς *P*, δ' * * ἀρχῆς *L*.

107. οἰμωγαῖς Plüss (*De Cinciis*, Bonn, 1865, p. 48, acc. to Weckl.) : οἰμωγῆς. — ἀνάξει (for ἀνάψει) *L*. Cp. the Scholia and Elmsley.

109. μελανόσπλαγχνος (for μεγαλόσπλαγχνος) van Herwerden and Naber (see *Mnemosyne* [N.S.] 10. 10). Perhaps this is right; cp. the variants μέλας and μέγας Soph. *O.T.* 742, of which the former is right. — δυσκαταπαύστο(ι)ς) Kuiper (*Mnemosyne* [N.S.] 15. 336): δυσκατάπαυστος.

111. τλάμων *S*: τλήμων.

113. ματρὸς *S*: μητρὸς.

119 and 121. The joining of πως and χαλεπῶς in construction (see the Commentary) is advocated also by Bernardákes.

122. γ' ἄρ' (cp. the following γ' οὖν v. 123) indicates the sense better than the traditional γὰρ. Brunck (followed by Porson) wrote δ' ἄρ'.

123. ἐν μὴ μεγάλοις Mikkelsen (acc. to Weckl.): εἰ μὴ μεγάλως (with Chr. Pat. 506). Mikkelsen's reading is a correction (which had occurred to me also independently) of the ἐπὶ μὴ μεγάλοις of Barthold (in the Sententiae Controversae appended to his dissertation *De Scholiorum in Euripidem veterum fontibus*, Bonn, 1864; see also *Rhein. Mus.* 21, p. 63) and Weil.

124. ὀχυρῶς Musgrave: ὀχυρῶς τ'.

128. Doubtful Greek. For the various conjectures see Wecklein.

130. ὄγκοις Jacobs (*Animadversiones in Euripidis tragoedias*, Gotha, 1790, p. 23; cp. the same author's *Curae Secundae*, p. 101) and Hone-

man (*Class. Rev.* IV. 9) : οἴκοις. Cp. Aesch. *Ag.* 469 f., where ὄγκοις should be read for ὄσσοις in a passage of similar sense.

132. τῆς (for τᾶς) *B*.

133. ἠπίου Earle : ἤπιος. — ἀλλά Hermann : ἀλλ᾽ ὦ.

135. Perhaps we should read μελάθρων (for μελάθρου). — γόον Elmsley : βοὰν *Sa* (and Chr. Pat. 810, with the variant φωνήν), βοὴν *B*, μολὼν *E*.

136. γύναι (for ὦ γύναι) *Pl*.

137. εἴ τι μή Badham (acc. to Weckl.) : ἐπεί (εἰπέ *E*) μοι (μὴ *bl*). — φίλα κέκραται is read by *l* for φίλον κέκρανται.

140. τὸν Musgrave : ὁ.

141. τήκει (for τάκει) *E*. — βιοτὴν Dindorf : βιοτὰν.

143. παραθαλπομένη *B* : παραθαλπομένα.

144. μοι (for μου) Naber (*Mnemosyne* [N.S.] 10. 10) — perhaps rightly. Naber would make the same correction at *Hipp.* 1352. — κεφαλῆς (for κεφαλᾶς) *B* and Hierocles (on *Aur. Carm.* p. 99).

148. Γᾶ and Φῶς Leo (*Hermes* 15, 317).

149. ἀχὰν Elmsley : ἰαχὰν. The blunder is a very common one in the Mss.

151. ἀπλάτου Elmsley : ἀπλάστου *BEa*, ἀπλήστου *Sa*[2].

153. σπεύσει was corrected to σπεύδει by *B*[1] or *b*. This is perhaps right. It is accepted by Wecklein. — τελευτά Weil : τελευτάν.

157. κοινὸν τόδε· μὴ χαράσσου Verrall : κείνῳ τόδε μὴ (om. *E*) χαράσσου.

158. Ζεύς σοι σύνδικος ἔσται Nauck : Ζεύς σοι τόδε (τάδε *S*) συνδικήσει.

159. δυρομένα Musgrave and Brunck : ὀδυρομένα. — εὐνάταν Tyrwhitt (εὐνήταν Brunck) : εὐνέταν.

160. ὦ μεγάλε Ζεῦ καὶ Θέμι πότνια Weil : ὦ μεγάλα θέμι καὶ πότνι᾽ ἄρτεμι (flagrantly inconsistent with v. 169). Weil's conjecture is accepted merely as a possible restoration of an impossible verse.

162. ἐνδησαμένη (for ἐνδησαμένα) *ES* (ἐνδυσαμίνη *h*).

163. Blaydes (*Adversaria Critica in Eur.*, Halle, 1901) would prefer ἐπίδοιμ᾽ (for ἐσίδοιμ᾽) — perhaps rightly.

164. αὐτοῖς τοῖς (for αὐτοῖς) *B*.

165. γ᾽ ἐμὰ Brunck : γέ με.

166 f. The order of the text is Heimsoeth's (acc. to Weckl.) for the traditional ὧν ἀπενάσθην | αἰσχρῶς τὸν ἐμὸν κτείνασα κάσιν.

170. θνατοῖς· (for θνητοῖς) *S*.

171. κοὐκ (for οὐκ) *B* (as though the preceding verse were not a paroemiac!). — ϲμικρῷ (for μικρῷ) *S* ("μικρῷ *l*"), against the metre.

174. αὐθαδέντων (for αὐθαδέντων) *E*.

176. οὗ πως (for εἴ πως) *B*[1]. — ὀργὴν (for ὀργὰν) *B*.

178. τό γε σὸν I believe to be a certain correction of τό γ' ἐμὸν. It occurred to me too late to find a place in the text. The verse should read, together with the following, μή μοι τό γε σὸν πρόθυμον | φίλοισιν ἀπέστω. The reading μή τοι rests on the authority of *BaL* (μοι is written over τῖ of *L* [for τοι] by *l*).

182. φίλα καὶ τάδ' αὖδα corrupt. Verrall's ,φίλα, εἰ τάδ' αὐδᾷ (meaning ,φίλη, εἰ τοιάδε λέγει) gives excellent sense and may be right.

183. σπεῦσαι (for σπεῦσον) *Ea*.

184. μέγ' ἄλαστον Wecklein ("fort.") : μεγάλως τόδ'.

185. Omitted by *a* (added by *a*[1]). The omission was approved by Elmsley ("non male"). I am inclined to think the words may not be by Euripides. — ἐμάν (for ἐμήν) *L*.

189. προσφέρων (for προφέρων) *BE*. — ὁρμηθῇ Brunck : ὁρμαθῇ.

191. πρόσθεν (for πρόσθε) *BE*. — ἁμάρτῃς ("οι sup. η scr. *b*") *BL*. A good example of scribal syntax.

193. Perhaps we should read ἔν τ' εἰλαπίναις. The traditional scheme of prepositions here is ugly.

194. ηὕροντ' ὄλβου Leo (*Hermes* 15. 318, comparing *I.T.* 454) : εὕροντο βίου.

202. ἐφ' αὑτοῦ (*per se*) Earle : ἀφ' αὑτοῦ. The context demands the correction.

203. γαστρὸς Nauck (*Mélanges Gréco-Romains tirés du Bulletin de l'Académie impériale des Sciences de St.-Pétersbourg* V. 209) : δαιτός.

204. ἀχὰν Dindorf : ἰαχὰν. See note on v. 149. — πολυστόνων (for πολύστονον) *a*[2]. — γόων *l* and Chr. Pat. 809 (γό * * *L*) : γόον ("ου sup. ον scr. *E*[1]").

206. λέχεος and κακονύμφου Earle : ἐν λέχει and κακόνυμφου.

207. δ' ἄδικα *Eal* : δέ τ' ἄδικα (δὲ τἄδικα *P*). Possibly δ' ἄδικα is corrupt.

208. τὰν is deleted by v. Christ. — Ζανὸς (for Ζηνὸς) Brunck — perhaps rightly. The fact that this passage is in an epode makes it impossible to correct with much certainty. For the division of lines see the Appendix on the Metres.

211. μύχιον Lenting : νύχιον. "Idem vitium Hesiod. *Theog.* 991" (Wecklein) — where, unfortunately, Rzach retains νύχιον in the text.

212. Milton's conjecture ἀπεράντου (for ἀπέραντον) (see *Museum Criticum* I. 285) is probably wrong. See the Commentary.

215. μέμψησθ' Ennius (see Introd. p. 51), *L*: μέμψοισθ' *P* (seemingly a mere blunder for μέμψησθ'), μέμφησθ *Ea*, μέμφοισθ' *B*.

218. δύσνοιαν Prinz, apparently supported by the Scholia (see his note): δύσκλειαν (where we require a word that denotes temper, habit, or disposition). Ennius seems to have read δύσνοιαν (see Introd. p. 51).

219–221 placed between 224 and 225 by Earle. Wyttenbach (*Bibliotheca Critica* I. i. 52) perceived that γὰρ in v. 219 is wrong with the traditional context. See further *Proceedings Am. Philol. Assoc.* 32. xxix.

223. οὐ δ' Earle: οὐδ'.

224. The right interpretation of ἀμαθίας ὕπο is due to Weil.

219. ἔνεστιν *BL*, lemma of a scholion *ad loc.*, Gnomologicum Euripideum cod. Marc. 507 (acc. to Wecklein): ἔνεστ' ἐν. — ὀφθαλμοῖσι (for ὀφθαλμοῖς) *BE*.

220. πᾶς τις Earle: ὅστις. The traditional text means that there is no justice in the eyes of any man that (βροτῶν ὅστις) hates a man at sight. This is nonsense in the context. πᾶς τις has already occurred at v. 86 and is common enough.

228. γίγνεσθαι Reiske: γιγνώσκειν (though the Scholia seem to indicate a different original reading; see Elmsley, Hermann, and Weil). Reiske's correction occurred to me independently. Cp. for the construction and phraseology Heindorf on Plat. *Protag.* 313 A.

234. λαβεῖν — ⟨λαβεῖν⟩ γὰρ οὔ, τόδ' Verrall: λαβεῖν· κακοῦ γὰρ τόδ' (*C*, τοῦδ' ἔτ' *L*, τοῦδε τ' *P*, τοῦτ' *BE*, τοῦτό γ' *a* Stobaeus *Flor.* 73. 27).

236. εὐχερεῖς (for εὐκλεεῖς) Nauck and Blaydes (*op. cit.* at v. 163). Perhaps this is right. Cp. Aesch. *Suppl.* 338, where εὐμαρὴς ἀπαλλαγή (perhaps the original of Euripides's phrase) is used of a husband's putting away of a wife, and *Med.* 1375.

240. ὅπως Meineke (*Philologus* 19. 145) and H. Schrader (*De notatione critica*, Bonn, 1863 — acc. to Weckl.): ὅτῳ.

241. εὖ πονουμένοισιν Earle: ἐκπονουμένοισιν. Cp. Hayley on Eur. *Alc.* 333; also Soph. *Ant.* 904, where καίτοι σέ γ' εὖ 'τίμησα τοῖς φρονοῦσιν εὖ is required for the traditional καίτοι σ' ἐγὼ 'τίμησα τοῖς φρονοῦσιν εὖ.

243. χάρις Wecklein: χρεών.

245. καρδίας ἄσην (for καρδίαν ἄσης) Olympiodorus on Plat. *Alcib.* p. *188 Creuzer.* The Aldine gives καρδίας χόλον (on which see Elmsley).

246. φίλων *dC* (see also Elmsley): **φίλον** (changed from φίλων in *L*). — **ἥλικας** *C* (so too Porson by conjecture): **ἥλικα**. Porson understood, as one naturally would, the sense to be "ad aequalium coetum". One thinks of the modern parallel of the club. But von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff (*Analecta Euripidea* p. 207) condemns the verse as "plane inutilis, amicae enim aequalesque etiam mulieri sunt: Medea viros **ἄσην παύειν** in pelicibus dicit, quod et doctissimos correctores et indocti interpolatoris pudicitiam fugit". Weil accepts this without observing that the omission of the verse ruins the symmetry of the speech. Thus symmetry and modesty together defend the traditional text.

252. αὐτός Porson: **αὑτός**. See further Wecklein's critical note.

253. πόλις πάρεστι (for **πόλις θ' ἥδ'** [**πόλις ἥδ'** *a*]) is suggested by Wecklein in the Appendix. Perhaps right.

257. οὐδὲ (for **οὐχὶ**) *S*.

259. οὖν *S*: **δὲ**. Nauck (*Eur. Stud.* I. 116) advocates **τοσόνδε δὴ** here.

259. Perhaps **δεήσομαι** (for **βουλήσομαι**). Paley conjectured **αἰτήσομαι**. But see the Commentary and Lenting's note.

261. δίκην *d*: **δίκῃ**.

262. Condemned by Lenting as made out of v. 288. It spoils the symmetry. It is old and corrupt. Porson corrected the traditional **ἦν τ' ἐγήματο** to **ἥ τ' ἐγήματο**. **δόντα γ'** (for **δόντα τ'**) is read by *S*.

264. κακή τ' Tyrwhitt: **κακὴ δ'**. The **δ'** spoils the **μὲν ... τε ... δέ** scheme, for which cp. vv. 12–16, 125–8, 232–240, *Androm.* 7–12, Thuc. 7. 32. 2, Lys. I. 6, Xen. *Anab.* 1. 9. 5.

267. δράσω *Pb*: **δρᾶσον** (or **δράσον**).

275. πάρειμι τοῦδε κοὐκ ἄπειμι πρὸς δόμους Earle: **τοῦδ' εἰμὶ κοὐκ ἄπειμι πρὸς δόμους πάλιν**. The sense demands **πάρειμι**.

280. λέγουσ' (for **πάσχουσ'**) *E*.

291. καταστένειν Earle: **μέγα στένειν** (apparently due to a gloss; cp. my critical note on Soph. *O.T.* 83).

292. νῦν γε Hirzel (*De Euripidis in componendis diverbiis arte*, Bonn, 1862, p. 43): **νῦν με**. The statement is general; and even.if it were particular, **ἐμὲ** would be required, which the metre will not tolerate.

293. γλῶσσα (for **δόξα**) Stobaeus *Flor.* 36. 3. The context proves **δόξα** to be right.

298. ἔπη (for **σοφὰ**) *a²* and *a⁸*, perhaps rightly.

300. τῶν Earle: τοῖς (due to v. 298 and to failure to divide the clause properly).

301. ἐν πόλει λυπρὸς (for λυπρὸς ἐν πόλει) *S.*

304. Apparently made out of v. 808 on the basis of a gloss θατέρου τρόπου (= ἐναντία: see Commentary on v. 808) and v. 808 written as a marginal parallel. Pierson (*Verisimilia*, p. 53) writes of the verse: "Sciolo debetur, qui hunc versum male repetiit ex v. 808 ".

305. εἰμὶ κοὐκ Musgrave from the scholion ἐναντία εἰμὶ τοῖς ἀπαιδεύτοις καὶ οὐκ ἄγαν σοφή (which I would read τοῖς δ' ἀπαιδεύτοις ἐναντία εἰμὶ καὶ οὐκ ἄγαν σοφή, or εἰμὶ οὐδ' ἄγαν σοφή): εἰμὶ δ' οὐκ.

307. ἔχω τοι Earle: ἔχοιμι or ἔχει μοι (*Sa*). The sense requires the correction ; see the Commentary.

308. The general sentiment that Medea is not inclined to *lèse-majesté* is utterly out of place here. Medea is dealing with her personal relations with Creon. Incidentally the verse breaks the symmetry. I have been anticipated in condemning the verse by Kuiper, whose critical note should be compared.

309. σὺ γὰρ τί μ' (for τί γὰρ σύ μ') *S.*

310. ὅπως Earle: ὅτῳ. The sense requires the correction. The same corruption and correction in v. 240.

314. ἐᾶτ' ἔμ' Earle: ἐᾶτέ μ'. But the contrast requires the emphatic form of the pronoun. See the Commentary.

315. One might have expected ἡσσώμενοι instead of νικώμενοι.

317. βουλεύεις Wecklein (as I had also conjectured): βουλεύσῃς. Elmsley proposed βουλεύῃς.

318. γ' (for δ') *S.*

320. σιωπηλόστομος Housman (*Class. Rev.* IV. 10): σιωπηλὸς σοφός. Mr Housman's brilliant conjecture adds a word to the lexicons.

323. μενεῖς *Sb*: μένῃς (a charming bit of syntax).

329. κἄμοιγε Bothe: ἔμοιγε. — πόλις *a²*: πολύ. The context seems clearly to decide in favour of πόλις.

334. πόνοι μέν Beck (πόνος μέν Musgrave): πονοῦμεν. — ἡμεῖς δ οὐ πόνοις partly Musgrave (ἡμεῖς δ' οὐ πόνῳ), partly L¹ (πόνοις): κοὐ πόνων (" οις sup. ων scr. L¹").

336. ἄντομαι Wecklein (" fort."): αἰτοῦμαι. The corruption is a pretty frequent one ; see Wecklein *Beiträge* V. 319 f.

339. δαί Housman (*Class. Rev.* IV. 10): δ' αὖ (δ' οὖν *P*). — χερός von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff (*Analecta Euripidea* 247): χθονός.

341. οἳ (for ᾗ) Elmsley, perhaps rightly. For the uncertainty about such forms see Wecklein *Beiträge* I. 540 f.

343. τινα Earle (*Class. Rev.* X. 3) : τέκνοις.

345. An expansion of πέφυκας supplied as verb to πατήρ (v. 344). The rest of the verse is flat and poorly expressed (Kuiper proposed δέ σφιν for δ' ἐστίν [*Mnemosyne* (N. S.), 15, 329], a change that occurred also to me independently). The whole verse weakens the close of the preceding. O. Menzer (acc. to Weckl.) has anticipated me in condemning it.

351. σε (for σοι) E.

355 f. Condemned by Nauck. The verses were read by the author of the Chr. Pat. ; see Chr. Pat. 326 f. Perhaps Housman (*Class. Rev.* IV. 10) is right, as I am now inclined to think, in transposing and emending thus : μὴ γάρ τι δράσῃς, δεινὸν ὡς φόβος μ' ἔχει. | νῦν δ', εἰ μένειν δεῖ, μίμν' ἐφ' ἡμέραν μίαν.

357. Omitted in *S* and deleted by Seidler (acc. to Weckl.).

359. προξενίαν (for πρὸς ξενίαν) *P*.

361. Due to the reading προξενίαν in v. 359. Deleted by Wecklein following Elmsley ("non male abesset ἐξευρήσεις ").

364. πανταχοῦ (for πανταχῇ) Chr. Pat. 1063.

367. σμικροί *S* : μικροί.

368. ποτ' ἄν (for ποτέ) *S*.

373. ἐφῆκεν Nauck : ἀφῆκεν.

377. ὁποίαν Blaydes (*op. cit.* at v. 163) : ὁποίᾳ (ὁποῖα *B*, ὁποία *B*[1] "ι subscr. et ν superscr. *b v* del. m. rec."). The accus. is used as in v. 384 (αὐτοὺς κτανεῖν being understood).

382. ὑπεσβαίνουσα Housman (*Class. Rev.* IV. 10) : ὑπερβαίνουσα.

383. θανοῦσ' ὀφλήσω Nauck : θανοῦσα θήσω.

384. τὴν σοφίαν (for τὴν εὐθεῖαν) *E.* — ᾧ Earle : ᾗ.

385. σοφοί Tate and Dalzel (see *Museum Criticum* I. 329) : σοφαὶ (which Porson mistakenly defended, *ibid.* p. 334). See further Elmsley. — κτανεῖν (for ἑλεῖν) *Sᵇ* (a gloss).

386. δόμος (for πόλις) *E.*

388. δέμας τόδε (for τοὐμὸν δέμας) Chr. Pat. 890, quite possibly rightly (as Weckl. also thinks).

393. αὐτὸ (for αὐτὴ) Barthold. — κἂν Hartung (so *c* : see Wecklein's Appendix) : κεἰ. The sense is *etiam si moriturus ero*, not *etiam si moriturus sum*, the whole case being in the future.

403. τὰ δεινὰ (for τὸ δεινὸν) Chr. Pat. 481.

404. καὶ *L*: οὐ (due to failure to appreciate the fine rhetorical question).

405. τοῖς τ' ἀπ' Αἴσονος γόνοις Weil (hesitantly): τοῖς τ' Ἰάσονος γάμοις.

412. τ' Lenting: δ'. Continuation, not contrast, is to be expressed. We have the scheme μὲν (. . . τε) . . . δέ. See on v. 264.

416. στρέψουσι Elmsley: στρέφουσι.

421. λήξουσ' Heath: λήξουσιν. I now see that Stadtmüller's conjecture (*Beiträge zur Texteskritik der Euripideischen Medea*, Heidelberg, 1876, p. 32 f.) is probably right and that we should probably read (with a beautiful strophic rhyme, as Stadtmüller notes) παλαιγενέων δὲ σοφῶν λήξουσι μοῦσαι. Cp. Barthold, *Kritisch-exegetische Untersuchungen zu des Euripides Medea und Hippolytus*, Hamburg, 1887, p. 4.

423. εἰ γὰρ Lenting: οὐ γὰρ. Perhaps γέννᾳ should be read for γνώμᾳ. The word γενεᾷ is written over γνώμᾳ of *a*[1] by *a*[2].

425. ἔπνευσε (for ὤπασε) *a* (ὤπασε *a*[2]).

431. πατρίων Aldine edition: πατρώων.

432. The sense requires Πόντου, as I have printed, not πόντου.

435. τᾷδ' ἀνάνδρου⟨ς⟩ Earle: τᾶς ἀνάνδρου.

436. λέκτρων Earle: λέκτρον. Note the strophic rhyme in v. 443.

440. μίμνει (for μένει) *ES*.

444. ⟨τ'⟩ Earle.   τ' ἀλ- here echoes τάλ- in v. 437 (strophic rhyme).

445. ἐπέστα *S*: ἐπέστη *Ea*, ἀνέστη *B*.

451. μὴ ⟨οὐ⟩ (for μὴ) Sauppe (acc. to Weckl.). I am inclined now to think this right.

452. Ἰάσον' Elmsley: Ἰάσων. Normal syntax requires, and the metre allows, the accus.

457. ἀνιεῖς Brunck: ἀνίῃς or ἀνίεις.

458. Deleted by Vitelli. This may well be right, as I now think. The latter part of the verse is pretty flat; and v. 457 read without stop, so that ἀνιεῖς (= παύῃ) shall construe directly with λέγουσ', is vigorous and self-sufficient. ˙ The verse probably arose from the filling out of the meaning of λέγουσ' (by κακῶς τυράννους). But cp. v. 622.

459. φίλους Earle: φίλοις (with Chr. Pat. 246). See the Commentary.

460. τὸ σόν γε (for τὸ σὸν δὲ) *L* and Chr. Pat. 247, 1976.

463. σύ γε Earle: σύ με.

464. σὺν Patákes (acc. to Weckl.): σοί. This conjecture with σύ

γε in the preceding verse puts the contrast right. The traditional text is impossibly ill-balanced.

468. Deleted by Brunck as derived from v. 1324. It was read by the author of Chr. Pat. (see Chr. Pat. 287). Incidentally the dropping of this verse brings Medea's speech to exactly the same length as Jason's reply.

469. οὔ τοι τόδ' ἐστὶ θάρσος " Rom. B in margine " (Elmsley) : οὔ τοι (οὔτι S) θράσος τόδ' ἐστίν. The familiar distinction between θάρσος (= εὐτολμία) and θράσος (nearly = ἀναίδεια) makes it little short of monstrous that θράσος should stand in this verse. It makes Euripides say οὔ τοι ἀναίδεια τόδ' ἐστὶν οὐδ' εὐτολμία, ἀλλ' ἀναίδεια. But the blunder of a copyer, if such it be, as I assume it to be, is old (how old, we cannot say) ; for we read in the Scholia τινὲς δὲ ἐπιλαμβάνονται Εὐριπίδου ὡς κακῶς εἰρηκότος· τὸ γὰρ θράσος ἔδει μᾶλλον εἰπεῖν θάρσος. Chr. Pat. 292 ταῦτ' οὔτι θάρσους ἐστὶν οὐδ' εὐτολμίας cannot be taken as an argument that the author of the cento read θάρσος placed where it would scan ; for our verse begins οὔτι θράσος in S, and b has οὔτοι θάρσος (sic).

470. δρῶντ' εἶτ' Wecklein (to avoid caesura media) : δράσαντ' (with Chr. Pat. 293, probably).

471. καλλίστη (for μεγίστη) E (whence Halbertsma [*Adversar. Crit.*, Leyden, 1896] conjectures κακίστη as the original text).

479. ζεύγλῃσι (for ζεύγλαισι) S.

480. δ' (for θ') BP.— ἀμφέπων (for ἀμπέχων) the Aldine edition. This may be right ; cp. *I.T.* 1245-1248 (of the Python) δράκων . . . ἄμφεπε | μαντεῖον χθόνιον.— δέρος Lb : δέρας. Cp. the critical note on v. 5.

482. κοιμῶσ' (for κτείνασ') Barthold (cp. *op. cit.* on v. 421, p. 5, and his edition). This is ingenious and may be right. A fragment of Ennius (see Introd. p. 53) seems to favour it, as does the contrast with ἄϋπνος ὤν in v. 481.

483. αὐτὸν Earle : αὐτή. Medea does not contrast herself with any one else here ; αὐτὸν gives a pathetic touch.

487. ὑφ' αὑτοῦ Elmsley : ὑπ' αὐτοῦ. — τ' (for δ') S. — δόμον (for φόβον) S and a¹ marg.

491. συγγνώστ' ἂν S : συγγνωστὸν.

493. νομίζω (for νομίζεις) Scholia Aeschin. p. 350 Sch. (acc. to Weckl.).

494. θέσμι' ἐν B, θέσμ' ἐν a (for θέσμι'). Hence Weil — very plausibly — conjectures ἐν βροτοῖς for ἀνθρώποις. Cp. Chr. Pat. 250.

498. ἐλπῖδος (for ἐλπίδων) *E*.

503. ἀπῳχόμην (for ἀφικόμην) Wecklein ("fort."). Cp. v. 32 and the critical note thereon.

504. γ' ἂν οὖν *S*: τ' ἂν οὖν *BE*, τὰ νῦν *a*.

505. πατέρ' ἀπέκτανον (for πατέρα κατέκτανον) *E*.

509. ἐν' Ἑλλάδα *a* : Ἑλλάδα (Herodian *De Schematis* p. 590 Walz and Zonaeus *De Schematis* p. 678 Walz) or καθ' Ἑλλάδα (*BE*) or Ἑλληνίδων (*Sb^a* and Alexander *De Schematis* p. 451 Walz) (see Wecklein's critical notes). This is an excellent example of haplography and subsequent (and strikingly stupid) attempts to restore the text.

511. σεμνὸν (for πιστὸν) Alexander *De Schematis* (see preceding note). This may indicate corruption. But it seems too bad to spoil the grim pun (as it looks to be) in πόσιν (*maritum* and *potionem*) καὶ πιστὸν (*fidelem* and *potabilem*; cp. Aesch. *Prom.* 480). (See also J. B. Bury, *Class. Rev.* III. 220.)

512. ἦ (for εἰ) Elmsley, perhaps rightly. — γε *C* and the Codex Havniensis of Herodian *De Schematis* (see preceding notes) : τε *BEa*, δὲ *S*.

513. δόμων (for φίλων) Herodian and Zonaeus (see Weckl.). — μόνοις μόνη (for μόνη μόνοις) Zonaeus and several codices of Herodian.

514. τῷ νεωστὶ νυμφίῳ *aPl*: τῶν νεωστὶ νυμφίων *BE* (" ι sub utroque ω scr. *b* ") *L*.

527 f. σωτηρίας | ναύκληρον Nauck (σωτηρίας ναύκληρος καὶ αὐτὸς γενόμενος) : ναυκληρίας | σώτειραν.

529. σὺ Earle: σοί. See the Commentary.

531. τόξοις ἀφύκτοις *BEa* (*l* marg.) : πόνων ἀφύκτων *Sb^a*.

532. αὐτὰ (for αὐτὸ) *S*.

533. ⟨μ'⟩ added by F. W. Schmidt (*Krit. Studien z. den. Gr.-Dramatikern* II. 336).

537. δίκῃ τ' (for δίκην) Elmsley.

538. The scholion τὸ χάριν γράφεται θράσει perhaps preserves the true text, χάριν being a gloss on πρὸς. The sense of the verse with πρὸς ἰσχύος θράσει at the end would be 'and to use laws, not boldness in the interest of strength'.

545. μέν σοι *S*: μέντοι.

547. δ Wecklein: ἆ. See *Beiträge* II. 508.

550. τοῖσι σοῖσιν Earle: τοῖς ἐμοῖσιν. — ἡσύχως (for ἥσυχος) *BE*.

561. φεύγει(ν) and φιλεῖ Earle (*Class. Rev.* X. 3) : φεύγει and φίλος.

562. τε (for δὲ) S.

565. εὐδαιμονοῖμεν Elmsley: εὐδαιμονοίην. — μέλει Elmsley: τί δεῖ;.

568. κνίζει (for κνίζοι) S.

573. ἄρ' Porson: γὰρ.

575. οὕτως δ' S : χοῦτως. The latter I now see to be preferable.

577. λέγω (for ἐρῶ) S.

588. οἶμαι Nauck: οὖν σὺ BE, οὖν μοι S, οὖν a. — ὑπηρέτουν Earle: ὑπηρέτεις (ἐξυπηρέτεις a). — γάμῳ Barthold ("fort.") : λόγῳ.

589. λόγον Barthold ("fort.") : γάμον.

593. νυν (for νῦν) Wecklein, probably rightly.

594. βασιλέων Elmsley: βασιλέως.

596. τοῖσι σοῖς Bothe: τοῖς ἐμοῖς. The change seems demanded by the context. Cp. v. 550 and the critical note thereon.

600. μέτευξαι Elmsley: μετεύξη. See Cobet *Variae Lectiones*, p. 572.

601 f. φαίνεσθαι and δοκεῖ(ν) Reiske: φαίνεσθαι and δοκεῖ.

604. φεύξομαι Dindorf with *d*: φευξοῦμαι. The less heavy form seems preferable.

608. ἀραῖος οὖσα (for ἀραία γ' οὖσα) Blomfield (acc. to Weckl.). I think now that we should read καὶ σοῖς γ' ἀραῖος οὖσα.

610. σαυτῇ φυγῇς *L*: σαυτῇ φυγῆς (σαυτῇ φυγῇ *E*). The context seems to decide in favour of the reading adopted.

617. μηδ' *S*: μήθ'.

620. πᾶν Wecklein (see, besides his critical note, *Beiträge* V. 325): πάντ' (πάνθ' *EaL*).

626. ὥστ' ἀναίνεσθαι (ὥς σ' ἀναίνεσθαι Camper) (for ὥστε σ' ἀρνεῖσθαι) van Herwerden (*Revue de philologie*, 18. 73), quite possibly rightly.

630. I now see that both sense and metre demand the restoration of δώμασιν for ἀνδράσιν.

633. ἀφείης (for ἐφείης) Naber and Blaydes. This is pretty certainly right; cp. v. 373 and the critical note thereon.

635. στέργοιμι δὲ σωφροσύνα(ν) van Herwerden (*Mnemosyne* 5 [N.S.]. 24): στέργοι δέ με σωφροσύνα.

642. λάχη Earle: λέχη. The same corruption probably occurs Soph. *Ant.* 1225 (corr. Bergk), *Trach.* 27 (corr. van. Herwerden), and elsewhere.

643. δόματα Nauck: δῶμα (δώμα * * *L*, δῶμα τ' ἐμόν *l*).

645. ἀμαχανίας Elmsley, perhaps rightly.

647. οἰκτρότατον Musgrave: οἰκτροτάτων.

649. I would now read ⟨μὴ⟩ τόδ' ἆμαρ for ἱμέραν τάνδ'. Cp. the conjectures in Wecklein.

650. τίς (for δ' οὐκ [οὐκ a]) Elmsley — rightly, as I now believe.

654. μῦθον Nauck : μύθων.

656. ᾤκτισεν Musgrave : ᾤκτειρε (ᾤκτειρεν S).

659. παρίστη Badham (*Philologus* 10. 338) : πάρεστιν *Sa*, πάρεστι *BE*, παρέσται *l*.

660. καθαρὰν Badham (*loc. cit.* on preceding v.) : καθαρὰν.

660 f. ἀνοίξαντι (for ἀνοίξαντα) *Sa*².

664. προσφέρειν φίλοις (for προσφωνεῖν φίλους) Barthold — rightly, I am now inclined to think. Cp. Wecklein's critical notes.

668. ἱκάνεις (for ἐστάλης) *S* (sic).

681. πατρῷον Wecklein (" fort.") : πατρῴαν. On the whole question of such forms in Euripides, see Wecklein *Beiträge* IV, *Ueber die Femininform der Adjectiva in* -ος. I should make an exception of δόλιαι βουλαί in v. 412 because of the parallel ἀμετέρᾳ γνώμᾳ (or γέννᾳ) in v. 424.

686. ἀνὴρ Porson : ἀνήρ.

687. δὲ (for γε) *S*.

695. οὔ που Witzschel : ἦ που (and Chr. Pat. 144).

698. πίσθ' ὃς οὐκ ἔφυ φιλεῖν Earle : πιστὸς (πιστὸς δ' *B*) οὐκ ἔφυ φίλοις. The traditional text seems senseless.

699 and 700. I have assumed a lacuna between these two verses because of their utter lack of connexion ; and I assume the lacuna to be of only two verses because of the symmetry of the whole stichomythy ; see Commentary on v. 688. The dropping of a pair of verses must be due here, as in other places (and the same remark applies to the transposition of couplets in stichomythy), to the wandering of the scribe's eye from one to another abbreviation of the name of the same speaker.

703. μὲν γὰρ *S* : γὰρ. I have printed γ' ἄρ' here as in v. 122 ; but see Wecklein's critical note.

705. ἐμοί Earle : κακόν (which is worse than otiose after the euphemistic καινόν).

706. γῆς Κορινθίας is thought by Hartung (with much plausibility) to be a gloss derived from v. 702. The Aldine edition's τῆσδ' ἔξω χθονός may well be right.

708. καρδίᾳ δὲ βούλεται *a*¹ marg., *b*ᵃ : καρτερεῖν δὲ βούλεται. The author of the Chr. Pat. seems to have read (see his v. 289) γλώσσῃ μὲν

οὐχί, καρδίᾳ δέ. Heimsoeth (*Kritische Studien zu den Gr. Tragikern*, Bonn, 1865, p. 225) reads καρδίᾳ δὲ καρτερεῖ — rightly perhaps.

713. δόμων Wecklein (comparing, in his German commentary, Eur. *Cycl.* 370; Aesch. *Suppl.* 365, *Eum.* 577 and 669): δόμοις all codices, Chr. Pat. 776, and the Oxyrhynchus fragment (δομο[). The reading of the fragment seems merely to testify to the antiquity of the corruption.

714 f. ·Deleted by L. Dindorf (see Weckl.). But the verses are in all the codices, were read by the author of the Chr. Pat. (cp. Chr. Pat. 778 f.), and appear in the Oxyrhynchus fragment (]s ἔρως σοι προς θεων [|] το παδων και αυτ [).

717. παύσω σ' ἄτεκνον ὄντα (for **παύσω δέ σ' ὄντ' ἄπαιδα**) Kuiper — rightly, as I am now persuaded.

724. **'γὼ** (for σου) Porson, perhaps rightly.

725-728. Condemned by Kirchhoff. The verses appear clearly to be a doublet of 723, 724, 729, 730. See Introduction p. 40. The verses may possibly have been taken from another play of Euripides.

735. τούτοις Wecklein: τούτοις δ' (τούτοισι δ' *Ba*).

736. μεθεῖ' *L*: μεθεῖς *aP*, με * * * *B*, μεθῆς *Eb* (μεθ' ἧς *C*).

737. ἀνώμοτος *d* and *La*[2] (apparently): ἐνώμοτος. Reiske's κοὺ θεῶν ἐνώμοτος is apparently unjustified.

738. φαῦλος (for φίλος) Badham (*l.c.* on v. 659), a conjecture which occurred to me also, but seems needless; see the Commentary. — **κἀπικηρυκεύματα** Didymus (first century B.C.) and the Scholia: κἀπικηρυκεύμασιν (or κἀπὶ κηρυκεύμασι(ν)). See on the next verse.

739. τάχ' ἂν Jacobs (*Curae Secundae in Eur. Tragoedias*, Leipsic, 1796, p. 45) and Wyttenbach (*Bibliotheca Critica* I. i): οὐκ ἄν. — **πίθοι σε** Nauck (with τάχ' ἂν): πίθοιο. Altenburg's προσεῖο (cf. Thuc. 4. 38. 1) is perhaps right. We have to do in this and the preceding verse with a very old corruption and with an attempt at a correction of it that has infected the entire tradition of the codices. This appears from the scholion on v. 738 τῇ δ' εὐθείᾳ ἀντὶ δοτικῆς (nominativo pro dativo, *i.e.* ἐπικηρυκεύματα in κἀπικηρυκεύματα) κέχρηται (sc. ὁ Εὐριπίδης) · ἔδει γὰρ εἰπεῖν (cum opus esset dicere) · καὶ τοῖς ἐπικηρυκεύμασιν οὐκ ἂν πίθοιο. Δίδυμος δέ φησιν ἐλλείπειν τὴν διά, ἵν' ᾖ. καὶ διὰ τὰ ἐπικηρυκεύματα. It is plain that κἀπικηρυκεύματα | οὐκ ἂν πίθοιο was the only text known to Didymus and to the writer of the scholion, and it seems extremely probable that the reading κἀπικηρυκεύμασι(ν) was introduced at a later date and possibly out of the scholion. It is certain that the text of Didymus is not what Euripides

wrote, unless (what seems highly unlikely) something is lost between verses 738 and 739.

741. ἔδειξας Sigonius (according to Elmsley) and Valckenaer: ἔλεξας. Cp. Chr. Pat. 270. — ἐν λόγοις (for ὦ γύναι) *S* — possibly a gloss on the original ἔδειξας. But perhaps we should read ἔδειξας ἐν λόγοις.

744. Doubtful Greek. Reiske's ἔχειν προδεικνύναι (for ἔχοντα δεικνύναι) may be right.

745. δ' (for τ') *S*.

746. γρ. ἡλίου θ' ἁγνὸν σέβας "in marg. *a¹l*".

752. Γαίας δάπεδον Ἡλίου τε φῶς Badham (acc. to Weckl.) γαῖαν λαμπρὸν ἡλίου τε φῶς *ES*, γ. λαμπρόν θ' ἡλίου φάος *B*, γ. λ. ἡ. φάος *a*. The variant of v. 746 (quoted above) was probably a variant of this verse. In the variant σέβας is probably a scribe's blunder for σέλας. But it is possible that we should read here (as Musgrave suspected) ὄμνυμι Γαῖαν Ἡλίου θ' ἁγνὸν σέλας.

753. ἐμμενεῖν G. H. Schäfer (acc. to Weckl.): ἐμμένειν.

755. βροτοῖς (for βροτῶν) is the (false) reading of *S* and Chr. Pat. (789).

767. Condemned by Bothe. The verse is a mere gloss on v. 765 f. Incidentally it helps to ruin what seems to have been the original symmetry of the speech; see Commentary at v. 763.

768. ἀνὴρ Porson: ἀνήρ.

777. τἄλλα Earle: ταῦτα.

778 f. Condemned by Porson (v. 778 condemned already by Reiske). These impossible verses are seemingly made out of a gloss on v. 777. Cp. the critical note on v. 767. V. 779 shews in *S* the interesting variant εἰργασμένα; see my critical note on Soph. *O.T.* 1369.

781. λίπω σφε Burges (see Elmsley): λιποῦσα.

782. Rightly condemned by Brunck as derived from v. 1060 f.

785. Omitted by *C* and condemned by Valckenaer (on *Phoeniss.* 1286. 87. 88).

786. στέφος (for πλόκον) *E*.

790. μέν σοι Chr. Pat. 837 and the scholion on the present verse. This shews that the author of the *Christus Patiens* used a text older than any known to us directly. μέν σοι may well be the right reading.

798. ζῆν *S*: ζῆν ἔτι. The variation is interesting. The writing of ἔτι ζώσαιν for ζώσαιν seems to be responsible for the corruption of Soph. *Ant.* 3.

799. "γρ. ἀπαλλαγή superscr. *a¹b*."

802. δώσει (for τ⟨ε⟩ίσει) *S.* Cp. Wecklein *Beiträge* V. 318 f.

805. σπέρμ' (for παῖδ') F. W. Schmidt (*Kr. Stud.* II. 338). This I now believe to be right. — κακὴν κακῶς *Eab*: κακῶς κακήν.

811. ἐκοινώσω (for ἐκοίνωσας) *E.*

816. σὸν σπέρμα *S* : σὼ παῖδε (σὸν παῖδα *a*).

822. λέξῃς Elmsley : λίξεις.

840. ἡδυπνόους omitted except in *S* and in *b* (where it is added after αὔρας). — ⟨τ'⟩ Earle.

847. ἢ πόλις ἢ φίλων *S* : ἢ φίλων ἢ πόλις.

850. μεθ' ἀγνῶν Elmsley : μετ' ἀλλῶν.

852. ἀρῇ Wecklein : αἱρῇ.

853 f. πάντῃ πάντως van Herwerden (*Mnemosyne* 5 [N.S.]. 25) : πάντως πάντες *BEa*, πάντες πάντως *S* (but πάντως πάντες *l*). Verrall proposes πάντως πάντῃ θ', which Wecklein accepts.

855. φονεύσῃς Brunck : μὴ φονεύσῃς.

857. τέκνοις Reiske : τέκνων.

858. σὺ (for τε) Kayser (acc. to Weckl.), perhaps rightly.

862. φόνου (mostly written φόνον in the codices, see Wecklein) may be due to a gloss on μοῖραν. — Van Herwerden proposes (*Mnemosyne* 5 [N.S.]. 25) σχήσεις ποτέ; πῶς δυνάσει [= -σῃ].

865. τλάμονι *S* : τλήμονι.

867. οὔ τᾶν Porson : οὐκ ἂν. Barthold suggests οὐ μὴ 'ξαμάρτῃς here — perhaps rightly. — τοῦδέ γ' *S* and Chr. Pat. 1988 : τοῦδε τ' *Ea*, τοῦδ' ἔτ' *B*.

871. εἰκός γ' (for εἰκός σ') *S.*

882. ἐννοηθεῖσ' (for ἐννοήσασ') *S* and Chr. Pat. 806. This is accepted by Wecklein. "Semel activ. El. 639" (Barthold).

884. ⟨σ'⟩ Barnes. — τέ μοι Lascaris : τ' ἐμοί.

887. συγγαμεῖν σοι (for ξυμπεραίνειν) *L.* This bold expression may be what Euripides wrote; it is = συμπεραίνειν σοι τὸν γάμον.

890. ἀμείβεσθαι Sakorráphos : ὁμοιοῦσθαι (ἀξομοιοῦσθαι *B*).

893. τάδε (for τόδε) *Sb*.

894. δεῦρο Elmsley : δεῦτε (with Ch. Pat. 688).

895. προσέλθῃτ' (for ἐξέλθετ') Chr. Pat. 468.

899. λάζεσθε Chr. Pat. 469. Hence Elmsley proposed (perhaps rightly) λάζυσθε.

904. τὸ πάρος (for πατρὸς) Mekler — rightly, as I am now inclined to think.

905. τέρειναν Barnes : τερεινὴν (τερείνην *B*).

906. θερμὸν (for χλωρὸν) Chr. Pat. 479.

907. μὴ καὶ Dindorf: καὶ μὴ. —'τοβαίη Earle: τροβαίη. — μᾶσσω
(for μᾶζον) Cobet (*Variae Lectiones* 600). — τὰ (for τὸ) Elmsley. I
am now inclined to think that the verse read μὴ καὶ τροβαίη μᾶσσω ἢ
τὰ νῦν κακόν.

910. See the Commentary. The antiquity of the text is testified to
by the scholion ἰδίως δὲ εἴρηκε πόσει ἀντὶ τοῦ πόσιος. αἱ δὲ ἱπακριαὶ
ἀγνοήσαντες γράφουσιν ἀντὶ τοῦ πόσει ἐμοῦ, ὅπερ οὐ δεῖ. Our codices
shew no trace of this acting version. Altenburg's note (in his preface)
is worth comparing.

912. τῷ *Sab*: νῦν. Porson's σὺν may be right.

913. Condemned by Lenting. βουλήν was a supplement (and a
wrong one) to τὴν νικῶσαν; the rest was added to make out a verse.

915. Θηκα Earle: ἔθηκε. For the idiom (often obscured in the
codices) cp. v. 926 and *Alc.* 167. — σωτηρίαν (for προμηθίαν) S.

918. ἐξεργάζομαι Earle: ἐξεργάζεται. See the critical note on v. 915.
Cp. *Beiträge* I. 482.

926. εὖ τὰ τῶνδε θήσομαι πατήρ Earle (εὖ τὰ τῶνδε θήσεται πατήρ
Prinz): εὖ γὰρ τῶνδ' ἐγὼ θήσω πέρι B (" θήσω in θήσομαι corr. et γρ.
θήσω superscr. b") EL, εὖ γὰρ νῦν τῶνδ' ἐγὼ θήσω πέρι P, εὖ γὰρ τῶνδε
θήσομαι πέρι d, εὖ γὰρ τῶνδε νῦν θήσομαι πέρι a. The author of the
Chr. Pat. seems to have read θάρσησον· εὖ γὰρ τῶνδ' ἐγὼ θήσω πέρι
(see his v. 761 ; his v. 230 is a conflation of *Med.* 926 and *Hipp.* 709).
Prinz's ingenious correction is based on the assumption that a ΠΗΡ
(= πατήρ) at the end of the verse was not understood. Cp. the critical
note on v. 904 and *Class. Rev.* VII. 450. For the first person in the
present verse cp. the critical notes on vv. 915 and 918.

927. οὔτι (for οὔ τοι) S.

928. ⟨χρῆμα⟩ κἀπὶ δακρύοις Earle: κἀπὶ δακρύοις ἔφυ (so Chr. Pat.
748; cp. Chr. Pat. 357).

929–931. Transposed by J. Ladewig (acc. to Weckl.). Burges seems
to have been the first to take offence at the impossible traditional
order. (See *Classical Journal* II. [1810], p. 611, a reference which is
wrongly given by Wecklein, following Kirchhoff.) For the origin of
the corrupt order see the critical note on v. 699 f.

929. δῆτα λίαν *S*: δή, τάλαινα. The Chr. Pat. testifies to δῆτα λοι-
πὸν (737), but this seems to be due to a gloss λοιπὸν (= οὖν) on δῆτα.
— For τοῖσδ' *a* has σοῖς, which seems to have been read by the author
of Chr. Pat. (see his v. 737). — Barthold reads (with δή, τάλαινα,) ἔτι
for τέκνοις. Perhaps he is right.

930. ἐξήχου Scaliger : ἐξήχουν.

931. τόδε Wecklein ("fort.") : τάδε.

933. δὲ νῦν van Herwerden (*Mnemosyne* 5 [N.S.]. 26) : δ' ἐγώ.

938. ἀπαροῦμεν Elmsley : ἀπαίρομεν. Cp. Cobet *Variae Lectiones*, p. 606.

939. παῖδας Brunck : παῖδες.

942. κέλευε συνδεῖσθαι (for κέλευσον αἰτεῖσθαι) Wecklein ("fort."), a conjecture that I am inclined to think may be right.

943. Condemned by Barthold (see *op. cit.* on v. 421, p. 14).

945. πολλῶν (for ἄλλων) Nauck, perhaps rightly.

949. Condemned by Bothe as derived from v. 786. It contains the variant στέφος (*S*) like v. 786.

953. For τ' (*BE*) there is a variant γ' in *S*. *a* omits the particle.

955. πατὴρ πατρός (for πατρὸς πατήρ) *S*. — ἐκγόνοις γέρας (for ἐκγόνοισιν οἷς) Stadtmüller (*Beiträge zur Texteskritik der Euripideischen Medea*, Heidelberg, 1876, p. 6).

960. βασιλικὸν Wunder (acc. to Weckl.) : βασίλειον (βασιλείων *Pb*).

963. ὅτι (for ἐγώ) *L*.

964. λόγος is supported by Soph. *Trach.* 1.

965. κρεῖσσον Naber (*Mnemosyne* 10 [N.S.]. 11) : κρείσσων.

969. εἰσελθόντες (for -ε) *BE* (sic). — πλησίους *S* : πλουσίους.

970. δ' Elmsley : τ'.

971. φυγεῖν (for φεύγειν) *S*.

972. φέροντες (for διδόντες) *L*.

976. ζόας Porson : ζωᾶς.

978. ἀναδεσμᾶν Elmsley (ἀναδεσμῶν Porson) : ἀναδέσμων.

979. δύστανος Aldine edition : ἁ δύστανος *S*, δύστηνος *BEa*.

980. Ἅιδα Brunck : Ἀΐδα.

981. αὑτὰ *Sb* : αὐτὴ *BE*, om. *a*.

982. πέπλου (for πέπλων) *Sb*. Elmsley's πέπλον (with χρυσότευκτόν ⟨τε⟩ στέφανον in the next vs.) I now think right.

983. χρυσοτεύκτου ⟨τε⟩ (add. Reiske) στεφάνου Klotz : χρυσεότευκτον (χρυσότευκτον *C*) στέφανον.

985. δ' omitted by *BE*. — πάρα νυμφοκομήσει Aldine edition : πάρα νυμφοκοσμήσει *Lb*, παρανυμφοκομήσει *BE*, παρανυμφοκοσμήσει *E¹aP*. Lehrs's πάρα νυμφοκομῆσαι (with which ἤδη would have the commoner meaning of 'already') is accepted by Wecklein and may be right.

988. ὑπεκφεύξεται (for ὑπερφεύξεται) *L* (ὑπεφεύξεται *P*). — ⟨δραμοῦσα⟩ Rauchenstein (a conjecture that had occurred to me independently).

The metre makes it plain that there is a lacuna here. The fact that ⟨δραμοῦσα⟩ introduces a strophic rhyme is in its favour.

992. ὄλεθρον L: ὀλέθριον.

993. βιοτᾶς Earle: βιοτᾷ ab⁴, other codices βιοτάν (including a²).

994. σὰν (for σᾷ) L. — στυγερᾷ στυγερόν (for στυγερὸν θάνατον) E (sic).

996. καταστένομαι Wecklein ("fort."): μεταστένομαι (possibly for μέγα στένομαι [see the critical note on v. 291], but μετα- and κατα- are a good deal alike). It is doubtful whether the verb μεταστένειν ever existed (in Hom. δ 261 κατέστενον is an easy correction). Cp. Wecklein *Beiträge* I. 540.

1001. ἄλλᾳ Matthiae: ἄλλῃ.

1004. δόμοις (for τέκνοις) C (sic).

1006. Condemned (together with 1007) by Valckenaer (see Pierson *Verisimilia*, p. 59). But v. 1007 repeated from v. 924 (before which I retain v. 923) explains how v. 1006 got here and seems better retained.

1012. δαὶ *EaLp*: δὲ *BP*, δ᾽ αὖ Chr. Pat. 731. Cp. the critical note on v. 339. — κατηφὲς Cobet (*Variae Lectiones* 591): κατηφεῖς (with Chr. Pat. 731).

1013. τοῖα (for ταῦτα) Weil — perhaps rightly.

1015. κάτει Porson: κρατεῖς.

1017. τῶνδ᾽ (for σῶν) E.

1018. δεῖ (for χρή) E (cp. also Chr. Pat. 1030).

1021. ἐστιν ἥ (for ἔστι δή) E. In three successive verses E is manifestly uniquely incorrect.

1026. λουτρὰ Burges (acc. to Weckl.): λέκτρα.

1037. ἐγώ F. W. Schmidt (*Analecta Sophoclea et Euripidea*, Neustrelitz, 1864, p. 85): ἐμοί.

1040. τέκνα *Sa*: φίλοις (from v. 1038).

1043. τερπνὸν (for φαιδρὸν) *aC*.

1045. Rejected by Kvičala. Cp. the Scholia.

1046. χρή (for δεῖ) Wecklein ("fort."). Perhaps this is right; cp. the critical note on v. 1018.

1048. The scholion in *a* γρ. δὲ καὶ παύομαι βουλευμάτων may preserve the true reading; cp. the critical note on v. 1040.

1052. προσέσθαι Badham (*loc. cit.* on v. 659): προέσθαι. — φρενί *S* with the scholia in *BE*: φρενός (even in *l*).

1054. θύμασιν *S*: δώμασιν (including *l*).

1056. μὴ σύ γ᾽ S: μήποτ᾽.

1058. καὶ ⟨μὴ⟩ Barthold (κεἰ μὴ Hermann): ἐκεῖ.

1059. Ἄιδη Ba: Ἀιδην (including b⁴).

1060. τόδ᾽ (for τοῦθ᾽) B.

1064 I have placed instead of v. 1240. Two passages, in some ways parallel, have been jumbled together. See on v. 1240.

1065. τε (for δὲ) S.

1067. νῦν τληπαθεστάτην (for δὴ τλημονεστάτην) Florence codex of the Etymologicum Magnum (see Miller *Mélanges de litt. grecque*, Paris, 1868, p. 247). This may be right.

1068. Condemned by Pierson (*Verisimilia*, p. 60).

1071. στόμα (for κάρα) S and Chr. Pat. 1322. But Medea kisses their hands (v. 1070).

1073. εὐδαιμονοίτην (for εὐδαιμονοῖτον) Elmsley. But see Lautensach *Grammatische Studien zu den gr. Tragikern u. Komikern, I. Personalendungen*, Gotha, 1896, p. 20 f. — τὸ δ᾽ van Herwerden (acc. to Weckl.): τὰ δ᾽.

1077. τε παῖδας Wecklein: τε * * * * * * B, τε πρὸς ὑμᾶς B¹Ea², τε προσμᾶς a, τ᾽ ἐς ὑμᾶς S, πρὸς ὑμᾶς Chr. Pat. 875, 1611. Cp. Stadtmüller *op. cit.* on v. 955, p. 29 ff. — πόνοις (for κακοῖς) Chr. Pat. 595, 875.

1078. δρᾶν μέλλω L and all the quite numerous quotations of the verse save one (see Wecklein's critical note and cp. also Chalcidius in Baehrens *Fragmenta poetarum Rom.* 409): τολμήσω. Mekler's conjecture (see Bursian's *Jahresbericht* 70 [1879], p. 76) δρασείω may be what Euripides wrote. — The reading that the author of Chr. Pat. knew in this verse may have been somewhat different from that of our codices; cp. Chr. Pat. 596, 876.

1079. Stadtmüller (*op. cit.* on v. 955, p. 31 note) would read καλῶν for ἐμῶν.

1080. Suspected by Sauppe (acc. to Weckl.), though it is quoted by Stobaeus, *Florileg.* 20. 38. I have removed it from the text. Cobet (*Variae Lectiones* 564) thought it inapposite but genuine. If the verse were genuine, it ought to have βροτοῖς κακῶν at the end to rhyme with the preceding verse. The verse seems perhaps not to have been read by the author of the Chr. Pat. (see his vv. 597 and 722).

1083. ἤλυθον (for ἦλθον) E.

1087. δὲ Reiske: δὲ δὴ (δὲ τι Sb⁴, δή l). — I now think μέρος should be written for γένος.

1089. I have shewn in the Commentary that κοὐκ (for which Reiske's

1130. διαὶ Ἰωσίαον '¨ἦτ.'' πίμω. See Beitrāge V.

1131. ἔσιλαι ᴀᎻ ᴡᴡίαυ

1130 f. ἠκατιάτη χαίρας αλάσσσέ τ' οἱ Kaiper a conjecture that had occurred to me independently: ἡκατιάτημ χαίρας αλίκσσοι καί.

1132. τέτοίκι Laseatía: τόκι γε ʹτόκπ C).

1134. λε τότι (κεπ ᵹ ᵹκαι) S.

1136. διαὶ Ἰκωνατι (κεπ καὶ καφᾖλις) is suggested by Barthold (after Stadtmüller's διαὶ Ἰκωντε, op. cit. on v. 421, p. 36). Ingenious and quite possibly right.

1139. οἴκων Weil (from the scholion -πολὺς ἦν ὁ λόγος κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν διαλελύσθαι ὑμᾶς): ὤτων.

1150. ὀργάς τ' (for ὀργὰς) *S*, possibly rightly. — χόλον νεάνιδος (for v. χόλον) *S*.

1156. ὡς *S*: ὥστ'.

1158. τέκνα (for παῖδας) *BE*. The strangeness of the phraseology of the traditional text here (see the Commentary) did not escape Elmsley (whom see). πατέρα καὶ τέκν' ἀσμένη Stadtmüller (*op. cit.* on v. 421, p. 37), perhaps rightly (σέθεν was omitted in *L* and added by *l*).

1159. ἠμπίσχετο *C*: ἠμπέσχετο (including *C²*).

1160. βοστρύχους (for βοστρύχοις) *L*.

1161. δέμας (for κόμην) *B* (sic).

1164. κινοῦσα πάλλευκον πόδα (for βαίνουσα παλλεύκῳ ποδί) *C* seems to be due to would-be correction of the last two words after βαίνουσα had been made to look (in minuscules) like κίνουσα by the careless omission of the first a. See further the Commentary.

1167. φρικτὸν (for δεινὸν) Chr. Pat. 1209, possibly the original text; but see Soph., *O.T.* 1267 (where we should probably read, as I now think, δεινὸν ἦν τοὐνθένδ' ὁρᾶν, assuming an imitation of the present passage).

1169. τρέχουσα (for τρέμουσα) *S* (sic).

1173. ἀνωλόλυξε Earle: ἀνωλόλυξε.— κατὰ (for διὰ) *S*.

1174. τ' *S*: δ'. — ἄνω Reiske: ἄπο·

1179. συμφοράν (for συμφοράς) *S*. Cp. the Scholia.

1180. δραμήμασιν Cobet (*Variae Lectiones* 604): δρομήμασιν.

1181. ἐκπλέθρου Reiske: ἔκπλεθρον (ἔκπλεθρον *L*).

1183. ὄμματα Chr. Pat. 906 and (as a v. l.) 1332: ὄμματος (σώματος *E* [sic]). Cp. Duebner *Philologus* 25. 236.

1184. ἀπώλλυτο (for ἠγείρετο) *S* ("γρ. ἠγείρετο *l* in marg.").

1186. κόσμος (for πλόκος) *B* (sic).

1188. δὲ *S*: τε.

1189. λευρὰν Earle (from Aesch. *Prom.* 369: see the Commentary): λεπτὴν or λευκὴν (*aC*). I conjecture λεπτὴν to have been a gloss on λευρὰν.

1190. ἀνάξασ' Wecklein: ἀνάστασ'. — δόμων (for θρόνων) *E* (sic).

1193. χρυσοῦν van Herwerden (*Revue de philologie* 18. 75): χρυσὸς.

1194. τόσῳ 'ξελάμπετο Earle: τόσως τ' ἐλάμπετο *E*, τοσῶς τ' ἐλ. *Ba*, τόσως ἐλ. *L*, τόσον τ' ἐλ. *b⁺a²*, τόσον ἐλ. *P*. A blunder seems to have been made in reading uncials.

1195. πιτνεῖ (= πίτνει Elmsley) *BEa*, πίπτει *S.* — ἐπ' *S* with Chr. Pat. 1089, 2102: ἐς.

1196. δυσμαθὴς συνιέναι may have been read by the author of the Chr. Pat. (see his v. 604).

1202. φρικτὸν (for δεινὸν) Chr. Pat. 1105, 1220; see on v. 1167.

1205. παρελθὼν Nauck: προσελθών. — προσπιτνεῖ (= προσπίτνει Elmsley) *Sb*: προσπίπτει.

1206. χέρας *S*: δέμας (from v. 1212).

1208. τίς σ' *Lab*: τίς (τίς δ' *E* — by conjecture, it would seem).

1209. ὀρθανόν (for ὀρφανόν) *E* (sic).

1212. ἐξαναστῆσαι I now think corrupt. Either ἐξανασπάσαι or ἐξαπαλλάξαι, which Nauck conjectures in v. 1215, would suit well here. δέμας at the end of this verse and γόνυ were, to a certain extent, confused (see Wecklein's critical notes), as were χέρας in v. 1206 and δέμας in the present verse (see above). Perhaps it were better to read here ἐξαναστῆσαι γόνυ (for it is rising that is primarily thought of) and ἐξανασπάσαι δέμας in v. 1215 (where separation is primarily thought of).

1214. λεπνοῖσι (for λεπτοῖσι) *E* (sic).

1215. See on v. 1212.

1216. ἀντελάζυτ' *S* (and the lemma of a scholion): ἀντελάζετ' including *p*. Cp. the reading of Chr. Pat. in v. 899.

1218. ἀπέσβη Scaliger: ἀπέστη (but Chr. Pat. 880 seems to testify to ἀπέπτη).

1225-1227. Suspected by Prinz as made out v. 580 f. That need not be the case, but the verses clearly do not belong in this context. They were read by the author of the Chr. Pat. (see his vv. 1012 ff.).

1228. ὄλβιος φύσει (for εὐδαίμων ἀνήρ) Chr. Pat. 800. It is now clear to me that we should accept this and should also read ὄλβιος δ' ἂν οὖ in v. 1230 (so Chr. Pat. 1018); cp. the passage in Herodotus cited in the Commentary. See also Valckenaer on Eur. *Hipp.* 750.

1229. εὐκλεέστερος (for εὐτυχέστερος) Chr. Pat. 1017.

1230. See on v. 1228.

1232. ξυνάπτειν *BE*: ξυνάψειν (including *l*).

1233-1235. Condemned by Weil. They are a bit of misplaced pathos and may well be the work of an actor. In v. 1234 δόμους (for πύλας) is read by *S* and Chr. Pat. 878, 1505, 1537.

1237. Made impossible by τοὖργον in the preceding verse. It seems to be but the extension of a gloss on τοὖργον. Therefore I have condemned the verse. See further the Commentary.

1240 f. Derived from v. 1062 f. At that place, as I conjecture, v. 1064 (placed here after v. 1239) was written as a marginal parallel and thus got into the text; in the present passage, on the other hand, v. 1064 originally stood between vv. 1239 and 1242 and v. 1062 f. were written opposite as a marginal parallel; after a time some one substituted them for v. 1064, as though they had been meant as a correction of it. V. 1240 f. were first condemned by Valckenaer (on *Phoeniss.* 1286, 87, 88).

1064. πέπρωται *Lᵇ*: πέπρακται. The rightness of πέπρωται appears when the verse is put in its proper context.

1242. κακοῦ (with; at the end of the preceding verse) Weil: κακά.

1247. ⟨σφ⟩ Brunck. — σε (for γε) *S* (γε *p*) (sic).

1250. γ̓ *P* ("in ras."): τ̓ (δ̓ *L*).

1252. Ἀλίου Hermann: Ἀελίου.

1253. γυναῖκαν (for γυναῖκα) *E* (sic). — φοινίαν Aldine edition: φονίαν.

1254. τέκνοισι (for τέκνοις) *S*.

1256. θεῶν (for θεοῦ) *a¹* — perhaps rightly. — π⟨ίδοι⟩ πίτνειν Wecklein. The τι that follows αἷμα in *E* and (as part of the same word) αἷμα in *Ba* may be an original π. At any rate Wecklein's conjecture seems to be right.

1259 f. φονῶ | σαν (so previously Kirchhoff) ἀλαόν τ̓ Heimsoeth (acc. to Weckl.): φονίαν τάλαιναν τ̓. It may be noted that φονίαισιν for φονώσαισιν is the corrupt reading of the codices in Soph. *Ant.* 117 f.

1262. μάταν *S*: μάταν ἄρα. The latter is a poor attempt to fill a gap that should probably be filled with Barthold's δὴ or Hermann's τοι.

1266. ⟨αμενὴς Porson: δυσμενὴς. The ⟨ ⟩ should perhaps be filled with Wecklein's tentative φόνου.

1269 f. For the various conjectures see Wecklein's critical notes and Appendix. Perhaps the original text was χαλεπὰ γὰρ βροτῶν (Earle) ὁμογενῆ μία | σματ̓ ἐπέγειρεν (Weil) αὐτοέντaις (suggested by Wecklein) ξυνῳ | δὰ θεόθεν πίτνοντ̓ ἐπὶ δόμοις ἄχη — a sentence in which βροτῶν ὁμογενῆ μίασματ̓ would be the subject.

1271 f. Transposed as in the text by Schenkl, who proposed to insert ΠΑΙΔΕC. αἰαῖ αἰαῖ between 1270 and 1273.

1276. τέκνοις σοι δοκεῖ; Earle (τέκνοις μοι δοκεῖ Weil): δοκεῖ μοι τέκνοις. The strophic rhyme demands the transposition; the context, the σοι.

1277. ἀρήξετ̓ (for ἀρήξατ̓) *S*.

1280. ὃν Seidler (*De versibus dochmiacis*, p. 293) : ὧν.

1283. γυναῖκ᾽ ἐν *Pl* : γυναικῶν. — προσβαλεῖν (for βαλεῖν) *S*.

1285. ἐξέπεμπε *aC* : ἐξέπεμψε.

1288. ποντίου Wecklein ("fort.") : ποντίας.

1290. δῆτ᾽ Elmsley : δή ποτ᾽.

1292. ὅσα Seidler (acc. to Weckl.) : ὅσσα (ὅσα *B*) δὴ.

1295. τοῖσδ᾽ ἔτ᾽ Lenting : τοῖσδε γ᾽ *B* ("σι sup. σδ scr. *b*") *Ea*, τοῖσιν *S*. Perhaps the verse is spurious.

1296. τανῦν ἢ (for νιν ἤτοι) Chr. Pat. 281.

1299. τυράννους (for κοιράνους) *BE* — a common gloss.

1303. ἐγὼ Bauer : ἐμῶν.

1304. νιν (for μοι) Elmsley — rightly, as I now think.

1307. Condemned together with v. 1308 by Lenting, condemned alone by Hogan. I am inclined now to think the verse spurious.

1308. οὔ που Barthold : ἦ που. See Wecklein *Beiträge* I. 533 ff.

1313. μόρον (for φόνον) Chr. Pat. 122. Cp. *ibid.* 441.

1315 f. Wecklein suggests ("fort.") ἰδὼν and τοὺς μὲν στενάζω. This may be right ; cp. v. 1377. Schenkl would delete v. 1316 ; see Barthold.

1317. τούσδε and λόγους Chr. Pat. 121, 437 : τάσδε and πύλας. See the Commentary, Porson's note, and, especially, Döring in *Philologus* 25. 223 ff. Döring's conclusion about the text that the author of the Chr. Pat. used I believe to be perfectly sound — as sound as his conclusion about what Euripides wrote here is unsound and false.

1320. λέξον τί βούλῃ (-ει) (for λέγ᾽ εἴ τι βούλῃ [-ει]) Barthold (see *op. cit.* on v. 421, p. 23) — rightly perhaps.

1326. ἑκοῦσα (for τεκοῦσα) Hirzel (*op. cit.* on v. 293, p. 9), rightly, I now think.

1328. δρῶσα (for τλᾶσα) *S*.

1330. δόμου (for δόμων) *S*. Verrall's ἔκνομον (for ἐκ δόμων) may be right.

1333. οἷόν σ᾽ Earle (τοῖόν σ᾽ Kirchhoff) : τὸν σὸν (τὸν σὸν δ᾽ *S*).

1339. οὐκ ἔστι τοῦτ᾽ ἥτις (for οὐκ ἔστιν ἥτις τοῦτ᾽ ἄν) *B*, whence Hermann οὐκ ἔστι τοῦτ᾽ ἄν ἥτις — rightly perhaps.

1350. ἀπώλεσα⟨ς⟩ Wecklein.

1351. ἢ μάκρ᾽ ἂν ἐξέτεινα τοῖσδ᾽ ἐναντία *S*.

1353. οἷα δ᾽ εἴργασαι Elmsley.

1356. οὐδ᾽ . . . οὐδ᾽ Elmsley : οὔθ᾽ . . . οὔθ᾽.

1357. Possibly spurious; see the variants in Wecklein.

1359. The author of the gloss σπη̂ (= σπήλαιον) on πέδον in E is thought by Musgrave to have had reference to a text in which σπέος occupied the place of πέδον. Possibly this is right. Possibly, too, the whole verse is spurious, as Verrall thinks. For a difficulty in the syntax see the Commentary.

1360. χρῆν (for χρὴ) Reiske — perhaps rightly.

1362. λύ(σ)ει Earle. — γελᾷς (for ἐγγελᾷς) BE.

1367. σφε κήξίωσας S: σφέ γ᾽ ἠξίωσας.

1369. σοῦ (for σοι) Weckl.

1370. γοῦν (for γάρ) Wecklein ("fort.") — rightly perhaps.

1371. ὤμοι Burges (acc.. to Weckl.): οἴμοι the codices except B (ὦμοι) and E (ὤμοι). Perhaps we should accept (with Barthold) Tyrwhitt's ‚οἴμαι‚.

1374. στύγει Weil: στυγῇ.

1380. αὐτούς Sb: αὐτῶν. — δυσμενῶν (for πολεμίων) Chr. Pat. 1280, but probably only to avoid the three-syllable foot.

1382. τέλος (for τέλη) the Homeric scholia (K 56), the Etymologicum Magnum, and Choeroboscus (see Wecklein). But the parallel in the *Hippolytus* (see the Commentary) seems to prove that the Euripidean codices are right. — προστάξομεν Naber (*Mnemosyne* [N.S.] 10. 11): προσάξομεν Etymologicum Magnum and Choeroboscus (as above), προσάψομεν BE¹a, προσάψομαι Esb ("b rursus μεν superscr.") and Chr. Pat. 968. I had hit upon the same conjecture (right, I am sure) with Naber.

1387. σῆς Wecklein ("fort."): σὸν.

1388. νέων Weil: ἐμῶν.

1390. Perhaps we should write φόνιός for φονία. Cp. on v. 681.

1392. ξειναπάτου B (with a over ου by B¹) l: ξειναπάτα Sa (ξειναπάτα E). A curious misplaced Doricism.

1396. γήρασ⟨κ⟩ Porson: γῆρας. The scansion seems clearly to demand this, and the sense is rather improved by the correction.

1398. ἔκανες Elmsley: ἔκτανες (ἔκτας l). — πημαίνουσ᾽ l: πημαίνουσα.

1404. λόγος (for ἔπος) Sbᵃ (sic).

1405. On the variant in the scholia ὁρᾷς (for ἀκούεις) see Wecklein. — ἀπελαυνόμεθ᾽ Bentley *Phalaris*, p. 142: ἀπελαυνόμεθα.

1409. κἀπιθεάζω Blomfield: κἀπιθοάζω. See Cobet *Variae Lectiones*, p. 590.

1411. τέκνα κτείνασ᾽ (for τέκν᾽ ἀποκτείνασ᾽) S.

1414. φθινομένους (for φθιμένους) S (sic).

1416. ἄελπτα (for ἀέλπτως) Stobaeus *Florileg.* III. 6. This is probably wrong.

1419. τοῖον δ' Earle: τοιόνδ'. We have not a reversal of cause and effect here, but a putting of a species under a genus. Hence the connective (δ') is demanded.

INDEXES

1. GREEK

[The Arabic numerals refer to the lines of the text.]

γάρ 'at all events' 56; 'then' in question 59; γάρ *explicativum* regularly used 319, 792; irregularly used 448, 506; γάρ *admirantis* 670.

γ' ἄρ' 122, 703.

γε 80, 88, 292, 495, 512, 514, 698.

γελῶν ὀφλεῖν 383; γελωτ' ὀφλεῖν 404.

γέρων τύμβος 1209.

γῆς πέδον 666.

δαί 1012.

δέ explicative 717.

δεδόκησαι = δέδοξαι 763.

δεδορκώς = ἐξ ὄψεως 221.

δεινός 44.

δεσπότης σώματος 233.

δή 1021.

δῆτα 674, 678.

διογενής 'skyborn' 1258.

δόμοι 'family' 139, 327; 'families' 198.

δόμος 'family' 794.

δόμων ἐφέστιος 713.

δοξάζω = πέποιθα 944.

δορύξενος = σύμμαχος 687.

δρασείειν 93.

δύρεσθαι = ὀδύρεσθαι 159.

δῶμα 'family' 136.

δῶμα νυμφικόν 378.

δωμάτων ἐξώπιος = δωμάτων ἔξω 624.

εἶεν 386.

εἴτε . . . εἴτε in double question 1103 f.

ἔκβασις 279.

ἑκουσίῳ τρόπῳ = ἑκών 751.

ἐκτείνειν 'floor' 585.

ἕλκειν κῶλον 1181.

ἐμέ at end of trimeter 736.

ἐμὴν χάριν 1155.

ἐμός, form of, at head of trimeter before pause 793.

ἐναντίος feminine 1351.

ἐν μέσῳ 819.

ἐννοεῖσθαι = φροντίζειν 47.

ἐξανέχεσθαι 74.

ἐξελίμπανον = ἐξέλειπον 800.

ἐπ' ἐμοί for ἐπ' ἐμέ 632.

ἐπιδεῖν 'live to see' 1025.

ἐπικηρυκεύματα 738.

ἐπίσημος 544.

ἐπ' οὖδας = χαμαί 1195.

ἐρασθῆναι 'crave' 491, 700.

ἐρετμοῦν 4.

ἐρημίαν ἄγειν = ἔρημον εἶναι 50.

ἔρυμα 597.

ἔρωτες 627.

ἔτικτον = ἔτεκον 930.

εὐδαίμων βίος = εὐδαιμονία 598.

ἐφέλκειν 552; ἐφέλκεσθαι 462.

ἐφ' ἡμῖν = ἀντ' ἐμοῦ 694.

ἐφιέναι 373.

ἔχ' ἥσυχος 550.

ἥβης τέλος 920.

ἤδη = εὐθύς 985.

ἦ μήν 1032.

Ἥρα Ἀκραία 1379.

θανάσιμος γύης 479.

θανάτου τελευτά = θάνατος 153.

θατέρου τρόπου 808.

θέσπις 425.

θῆλυ γένος 'womankind' 909.

θυμὸν ἐκπλῆξαι = ὀργίσαι 639.

καί = καίτοι 488.

καὶ αὐτός 302.

καὶ δή = ἤδη 386, 1065.

κακόνυμφος 'unfortunate in wedlock' 989.

2. MISCELLANEOUS

[The Arabic numerals refer to the lines of the text.]

Accusative, double 95, 261 ; of inner object 120, 158, 893, 952 ; of goal (without preposition) 649; of specification 729; of result 1202; of extent 25, 92, 143.

Adjectives in -ιος in Euripides 1122 f.

Aeschylus 263.

Afterthought by Euripides 592.

Anapaests 96.

Anaxagoras 220, 293.

Antiquarianism in Euripides 1381.

Antithesis, false 17 ; forced 1024.

Aorist = aoristic present 223, 272, 707, 791; for perfect 78, 214, 467.

Aphrodite with bow 634.

Arrangement of words 12, 669.

Asyndeton 120, 157, 182, 183, 403–406, 476, 551, 721, 892, 966 f., 971, 978, 1044.

Attraction 544, 599.

Augment omitted *metri gratia* 1413.

Balanced phrases 216 f.

Browning 278 f.

Chiasmus 17, 255, 366 f., 412 f., 483–485, 786, 1025.

Chorus, entrance of, motived 131 ff.

Cicero 480–482.

Circumlocution 1060 f.

Dative 6, 18, 25, 45, 93, 260, 283, 503.

Deus ex machina 1317.

Divorce 235–237.

Doric forms 96 f., 523.

Dual among plurals 969.

Ejaculations 20, 34, 957, 979.

Exclamation, indirect 23, 35, 852.

Ennius 214.

Epanaphora 960 f.

Future condition 78 f., 346; doubly future 393; potential 323, 1101 f.

Genitive 48, 104; ablatival 258, 633, 799; of cause 358.

Herodotus 125, 1228–1230.

Hesiod 439 f.

Hölderlin 843.

Homer 119.

Homeric style 141 f., 410, 422, 957 f., 1111, 1337.

Horace 130.

Imperfect 310.

Indirect exclamation 23, 35, 852.

Infinitive 316, 1061.

Interlocked order 39 f., 340, 669.

Ionic form for sake of metre 1392.

Irony 958, 1016.